Leadership in the Workplace

Leadership in the Workplace

CLAYTON SMITH; CARSON BABICH; AND MARK LUBRICK

Leadership in the Workplace Copyright © by Clayton Smith; Carson Babich; and Mark Lubrick is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, except where otherwise noted.

This book is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. The only exceptions are Part 1, and sections 1.3, 2.1, and 8.2, which are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

Contents

Leadership in Office Administration xv

Introduction 1

Authors 2

Clayton Smith 2

Carson Babich 3

4

Mark Lubrick 4

Acknowledgements v

Part 1: Understanding Leadership and Management in Organizations

Chapter 1: Introduction to Leadership and Management

1.1 What is Leadership and Management? 15

1.2 Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs 19

OpenStax and Lumen Learning

1.3 Self-Awareness in Leadership and Management 23

1.4 What is the General View of Leadership and Management? 26

Activity: Leadership and Management Concept Map 27

1.5 The Use of Metaphors to Understand Organizational Complexity 29

Conclusion 34
References 35

Chapter 2: Management Theories and Practice

2.1 Classical Management Theories 41
Bureaucratic Theory 41
Scientific Management Theory 42
Behavioral Management Theory 43
Human Relations Theory 45
2.2 Functions of Management in Organizations 47
Theory X and Theory Y 49
2.3 Contemporary Management Theories 52
Technology 52
Diversity 54
Globalization 55
Activity: Management Factors 57
Conclusion 58
References 59

Chapter 3: Leadership Styles, Roles, and Traits

3.1 Leadership Styles 65
3.2 Roles of a Leader 68
Path-Goal and Leader-Member Theories 74

3.3 Behavioral Traits of a Leader 76

The Ohio State Studies 77

The Michigan Studies 78

Activity: Case Study 79

3.4 Power and Leadership 82

3.5 Cultural Understandings of Transformative and Transactional Leadership 86

Transformational 86

Transactional 86

Combining the Styles 87

Conclusion 88

References 89

Part 2: Conceptualizing Leadership and Management in Learning Organizations

Chapter 4: Entrepreneurship and Small Business Management

4.1 Entrepreneurship 97

Entrepreneurship 100

4.2 The Risks and Rewards of Entrepreneurship 102

4.3 Small Business and Entrepreneurship: Similarities and Differences 106

4.4 Social Entrepreneurship 110

Market Expansion 111

Resource Acquisition 111

Relating Social Entrepreneurship to the Learning Organization 111

Activity: Case Study 112

4.5 Entrepreneurial Diversity 117

Conclusion 119

References 120

Chapter 5: Ethics, Social Responsibility, and Sustainability

5.1 What is Ethics? 125

Justice 125

Utilitarianism 126

Deontology 127

Human Rights 128

5.2 What is Business Ethics? 131

Activity: Ethical Dilemma Case Study 135

5.3 Corporate Social Responsibility 139

5.4 Modern Corporate Social Responsibility 141

5.5 Sustainability in Global Organizations 145

Conclusion 148

References 149

Chapter 6: Learning Principles

6.1 The Learning Cycle 155

Prepare 156

Absorb 156

Capture 157

Review 157

How Memory Works 158

Key Concepts with Adult Learners 158

6.2 Epistemology and Basic Methodology 160

6.3 Theories of Learning within Organizations 164

Motivation Theories 167

Bringing the Theories Together 170

6.4 The 3 C's 172

Cognitivism 172

Constructivism 173

Connectivism 174

6.5 Indigenous Pedagogy 175

Bridging the Gap and Finding Common Ground 177

Activity: Old Cherokee Story. 178

Conclusion 179

References 180

Part 2 Reflection 183

Part 3: Evaluating and Experiencing Leadership and Management in Learning Organizations

Chapter 7: Motivation and Job Design

7.1 Job Design 189

7.2 Job Design Characteristics 192

Job Simplification 192

Job Rotation 192

Job Enlargement 193

Job Enrichment 193

Measuring Motivation in a Learning Organization 194

7.3 External Organization Environment 197

7.4 Corporate Culture 201

Activity: Case Study 203

Conclusion 212

References 213

Chapter 8: Decision-Making

8.1 Overview of Decision-Making 219

8.2 Decision-Making Styles 221

Activity: Pitching For Better 224

8.3 Challenges to Effective Decision Making 225

Activity: Interview Analysis 230

8.4 Group Decision Making 243

Conclusion 246

References 248

Chapter 9: Teams, Teamwork, and Collaboration

9.1 Teamwork and Collaboration 251

9.2 Using Experiential Education to Foster Teamwork and Collaboration 255

Project-Based Learning 258

Inquiry-Based Learning 259

Activity: Blindfold Drawing 260

9.3 Online Collaborative Learning 262

9.4 Common Features in Organizations 265

Characteristics of High Performing Teams 268

9.5 Teamwork in the Workplace 270

Dynamisms and Interdisciplinary Teams 273

Conclusion 275

References 277

Part 3 Reflection 280

Part 4: Constructing and Reflecting on Leadership and Management in Learning Organizations

Chapter 10: Communication

10.1 The Communication Process 287

10.2 Organizational Communication 289

10.3 Opening Channels of Communication: Elton Mayo and the Hawthorne Study 294

10.4 Communicative Media and Technology in Education 297
Activity: Elephant and the Blind Men 301
Conclusion 302
References 303

Chapter 11: Multicultural Leadership

11.1 Introduction to Workplace Diversity 309
11.2 Understanding Legislation and Diversity 314
Canada 314
United States 315
Europe 318
Why Know About Legislation? 319
Contemporary Arguments in Diversity Legislation 319
11.3 Respecting the Rights of All 321
People with Disabilities 321
People with Diverse Religious Practices 323
People with a Different Race, Gender, and Ability 325
Discrimination 327
11.4 Team Diversity and Multicultural Teams 329
11.5 Leadership in a Modern and Diverse Society 332
Conclusion 337
References 339

Chapter 12: Leadership and Management Redux

12.1 Leadership and Management: Past and Future 347

Aristotle 348

Francis Bacon 349

From Past to Future 350

12.2 Achievement of Organizational Culture in a Learning Organization 353

12.3 Is There a Difference between Management and Leadership? (The Redux) 356

Activity: Leadership Map Before and After 359

Conclusion 361

References 363

Part 4 Reflection 365

Transcripts for embedded videos

Transcript for Transactional vs Transformational Leadership Video 369

Glossary 385

Leadership in the Workplace

South Puget Sound Community College Edition

Clayton Smith – Carson Babich – Mark Lubrick

In conjunction with:
University of Windsor Office of Open Learning
With Support From:
University of Windsor OER, ACE: Adopt, Create, Extend Open Educational Resources Grant
eCampus Ontario

Cover Image from: https://exploringyourmind.com/iceberg-theory-decisions/

Welcome to ***Leadership in Office Administration***. This book has been copied and updated from an Open Education Resource book called ***Leadership and Management in Learning Organizations***, a comprehensive, online, open education resource available for students, educators, and administrators who would like to learn more about leadership and management within learning organizations. From a classical to contemporary understanding of leadership and management, to learning principals and ethical implications, to teamwork and building a cohesive nature through diversity. ***Leadership and Management in Learning Organizations*** will provide a concise framework to allow reflection on these imperative topics.

Our philosophy incorporates the idea of providing thoughtful educational content, without the financial burden of a for-profit textbook. We feel the content provided in this resource will engage, enhance, and construct new and deep learning within the readers, forging a connection between the leadership and management material, the world of education and, the learning organization.

Much of this book was influenced by Schermerhorn and Wright's ***Management: Third Canadian Edition*** (2014) along with concepts from Senge's ***The Fifth Discipline (1990)***. The world of business management and the learning organization are tied together in a true, interdisciplinary way to draw connections, contextualize, and create a framework to how the concepts complement each other. The connection of these two factions creates a multi-faceted structure that applies to individuals in many disciplines.

We hope you enjoy your journey through ***Leadership and Management in Learning Organizations***, and develop personal connections along the way.

-Clayton Smith, Carson Babich, and Mark Lubrick

Clayton Smith

Clayton Smith, Ed.D., is an associate professor at the University of Windsor in the Faculty of Education where he teaches at both the undergraduate and graduate levels. Dr. Smith's research interests include comparative strategic enrollment management, change management, promising practices for teaching international students, internationalization of higher education, and mentoring in postsecondary education. He spent more than 30 years engaged in higher education administration at four postsecondary education institutions in Canada and the U.S. Most recently, he completed two terms as vice-provost at the University of Windsor where he oversaw a far-reaching portfolio that included academic governance, campus internationalization, enrolment management, and student affairs. Dr. Smith earned his Ed.D. at Florida State University.

Carson Babich

Carson Babich is currently a graduate student in the Faculty of Education at the University of Windsor. His research interests include the interdisciplinarity of instructors at institutes of higher learning, and the effectiveness of Open Education Resources (OER) in interdisciplinary classrooms. Before academia, he spent 10 years within the hospitality sector as a manager, rooms controller, and department trainer at properties that include The Fairmont Banff Springs, Fairmont Jasper Park Lodge, and EPCOT at Walt Disney World in Florida. Carson earned his B.A., and is working towards his MEd. In Educational Administration both at the University of Windsor.

Mark Lubrick

Mark Lubrick is an Online Learning Design Specialist within the Office of Open Learning. He is a University of Windsor alumnus with bachelor's and master's degrees in Physics, a bachelor of Education, and is currently working on a PhD in Education. Mark is thrilled to be a part of the Office of Open Learning team as he loves teaching and believes that online learning provides exciting opportunities for students and faculty alike. Furthermore, he believes that online multimedia courses will be an integral part of the University's future and is glad to help lead the charge in their development. Prior to moving to the Office of Open Learning, Mark worked as a sessional instructor for the Department of Physics, where he still teaches online astronomy courses. He has taught courses ranging in size from 30 students up to around 950 students, and has enjoyed every class. Mark enjoys contributing his passion for education and course development to the Office of Open Learning.

We would like to thank Scott Cowan from the University of Windsor Library for helping find numerous existing resources that we could include in this book; as well as his advice around copyright. We would also like thank Shannon Smith for all the work she did in editing the text of the book. Finally, we would like to thank the Office of Open Learning at the University of Windsor for funding this work via their OER, ACE: Adopt, Create, Extend Open Education Resources Grant.

PART 1: UNDERSTANDING LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN ORGANIZATIONS

This is the beginning of a four-part journey into understanding leadership and management. From the concepts of self-awareness within leadership to the classical and contemporary theories within a management landscape, individuals will develop a sense of practical and theoretical understanding within an organization that fosters learning. By focusing on understanding leadership and management within an organization, learners will shift preconceived notions about the art of organizational behavior toward a new way of seeing.

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, U.S. House of Representatives leading in the charge for a Green New Deal. This file is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 Generic license. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:GreenNewDeal_Presser_020719_(26_of_85)_(46105848855)_(cropped).jpg

Senge suggests that relationships form from a cause and effect

chain, rooted in an interdisciplinary understanding connected to outside factors. This leads to development of a new sense of leadership and management connected to the outside world, which incorporates an enhanced way of understanding of how systems-thinking can impact complexity within an organization.

Throughout the part introductions and conclusions, we will make reference to Peter Senge's influential work The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization. Citation for the work is below

Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. Doubleday/Currency

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION TO LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT

*Pictured is **Lester B. Pearson**. A Nobel Peace Prize winner and former Canadian Prime Minister is considered one of the most influential leaders in human history.*

What is a leader? What is a manager? Are the two subjects separate or do they follow the same thread? Most textbooks give a succinct description of the similarities and differences between leaders and managers. Ours, however, will place it in the context of the learning organization, which in turn leads to the question: What is a learning organization? Generally,

a learning organization continually adds knowledge, which is similar to an educational organization: a group of individuals located in a specific place to impart knowledge[1].

In this chapter, we will discuss leadership and management by describing the learning organization in greater depth. Self-awareness in leadership and management, an outsider's view of leadership and management, and the use of metaphors to understand organizational complexity will also be addressed. The world of leadership and management is an ever growing, ever expansive idea that changes with time. Here is an introduction to that world.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Define the classical definition of leadership and management.
2. Define what an organization is and how it functions.
3. Develop an understanding of self-awareness and how it is used by leaders and managers.
4. Contextualize the different descriptions of leadership and management.
5. Use of metaphors to understand leadership, management, and organizational complexity.

Chapter Tips:

- Attempt to develop your own understanding of leadership and management.
- Engage with the Johari Window exercise to develop a sense of your own self-awareness.
- Create some of your own metaphors to

understand leadership.

1.1 What is Leadership and Management?

How do we define leadership and management? Leadership is an act or behavior, such as developing a structure, so that group members know how to complete a task. A word that is commonly associated with leadership is "motivation," as in the ability to motivate individuals to carry out tasks. In addition, encouragement, power, and agreement to achieve certain group or organizational goals are characteristics of leadership. The level of leadership relies on the social and group relationship that is present to formulate a vision and direction for the group. A key part to being a leader is to have followers, someone wants to follow the leaders influence.

Leadership is defined as a social relationship between two or more persons who depend on each other to attain certain mutual goals in a group situation[2]. Good leadership helps individuals and groups achieve their goals by focusing on the group's maintenance needs (the need for individuals to fit and work together) and task needs (the need for the group to make progress toward attaining the goal)[3]. Leaders are the individuals who will take charge in an organization and delegate responsibility to other members to achieve the best results. Leaders provide the members of their team with the tools for success and are the emotional captains of the ship. Chapter 3 will delve into the leadership style present in organizations, along with how leaders use power to motivate individuals.

Successful organizations have productive management teams. When this is the case, companies can complete goals toward becoming more competitive in the new technical and global business world. **Management** is generally defined as the process of planning, organizing, directing, and controlling the activities of employees in combination with other resources to accomplish organizational objectives[4] In a way, management is taking the leadership concept and putting it into action.

Management is shaped by an individual's duties to the

organization. Managers have described their responsibilities in nine different factors[5]:

1. *Long-Range Planning* – critical planning and development.
2. *Controlling* – Evaluating and "following up" with action.
3. *Environmental Scanning* – Aware of organizational and global changes in the business landscape.
4. *Supervision* – Oversee employee work, but not micromanaging.
5. *Coordinating* – Coordinating the work of a department and at times an organization.
6. *Customer Relations and Marketing* – contact with current and future customers.
7. *Community Relations* – Contact must be nurtured with outside the company such as vendors, suppliers, municipal, state/provincial, and federal agencies (especially as a not-for-profit)
8. *Internal Consulting* – Use of expertise to solve organizational problems
9. *Monitoring Products and Services* – Having a hand in the development monitoring and delivery of products and services.

Management exists in virtually all goal-seeking organizations, whether they are public or private, large or small, profit-making or not-for-profit, etc[5]. All organizations have had some basis in developing from management theory. Chapter Two will look deeper into some of the classical and contemporary management theories that are present in organizations. In addition, we will use management as a tool for self-reflection.

The difference, and in many ways similarities, between leaders and managers relies on the effectiveness of the leader or manager. Many contrasts can be found between leaders and managers through conceptual ideals and notions relating to

both. First, we look at the definitions; words associated with leadership are social, influence, and relationships. This is in contrast with words linked to management, such as organizing, directing, and controlling [5]. Both require action to be taken, but it is the level of action that is needed where differences emerge.

Leadership can be regarded as a macro approach to situations requiring broad strokes of ideology in shaping an organization. Management, on the other hand, involves taking a micro approach: using systems to develop an a b = c method. Second, both leaders and managers exercise power in their organizations, however, both may take different approaches to showing power. Managers are often described as using the rewards and punishments approach; benefits and bonuses for good work, corrections and reprimands for bad work. Leaders, on the other hand, can use perks and penalties, but most leaders use a mix of expertise and aesthetic features to gain social influence within an organization[6]. In many ways, the manager will use inducements while the leader will create the ideas as to why the the awards and corrections are beneficial to success.

Review Questions

1. How do we define leadership and management?
2. What are the nine responsibilities managers have to an organization?
3. What are some of the similarities and differences you see with leadership and

management?

1.2 Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs

While the theories of motivation described earlier relate to basic biological drives, individual characteristics, or social contexts, Abraham Maslow (1943) proposed a hierarchy of needs that spans the spectrum of motives ranging from the biological to the individual to the social. These needs are often depicted as a pyramid (Figure 1).



***Figure 1**. Maslow's hierarchy of needs is illustrated here. In some versions of the pyramid, cognitive and aesthetic needs are also included between esteem and self-actualization. Others include another tier at the top of the pyramid for self-transcendence.*

At the base of the pyramid are all of the physiological needs that are necessary for survival. These are followed by basic needs for security and safety, the need to be loved and to have a sense of belonging, and the need to have self-worth and confidence. The top tier of the pyramid is self-actualization, which is a need that essentially equates to achieving one's full potential, and it can only be realized when needs lower on the pyramid have been met. To Maslow and humanistic theorists, self-actualization reflects the humanistic emphasis on positive aspects of human nature. Maslow suggested that this is an ongoing, life-long process and that only a small

percentage of people actually achieve a self-actualized state (Francis & Kritsonis, 2006; Maslow, 1943).

According to Maslow (1943), one must satisfy lower-level needs before addressing those needs that occur higher in the pyramid. So, for example, if someone is struggling to find enough food to meet his nutritional requirements, it is quite unlikely that he would spend an inordinate amount of time thinking about whether others viewed him as a good person or not. Instead, all of his energies would be geared toward finding something to eat. However, it should be pointed out that Maslow's theory has been criticized for its subjective nature and its inability to account for phenomena that occur in the real world (Leonard, 1982). Other research has more recently addressed that late in life, Maslow proposed a self-transcendence level above self-actualization—to represent striving for meaning and purpose beyond the concerns of oneself (Koltko-Rivera, 2006). For example, people sometimes make self-sacrifices in order to make a political statement or in an attempt to improve the conditions of others. Mohandas K. Gandhi, a world-renowned advocate for independence through nonviolent protest, on several occasions went on hunger strikes to protest a particular situation. People may starve themselves or otherwise put themselves in danger displaying higher-level motives beyond their own needs.

Link to Learning

Check out this interactive exercise that illustrates some of the important concepts in Maslow's hierarchy of needs.

Review Maslow's hierarchy of needs as well as the other theories of motivation in this Crash Course video.

Think It Over

- Can you think of recent examples of how Maslow's hierarchy of needs might have affected your behavior in some way?

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=436#h5p-21

Licenses and Attributions (Click to expand)
CC licensed content, Original

- Modification and adaptation, addition of link to learning. **Provided by**: Lumen Learning. **License**: *CC BY: Attribution*

CC licensed content, Shared previously

- Motivation. **Authored by**: OpenStax College. **Located at**: https://openstax.org/books/

psychology-2e/pages/10-1-motivation. **License**: *CC BY: Attribution*. **License Terms**: Download for free at https://openstax.org/books/psychology-2e/pages/1-introduction

- Accessed from https://pressbooks.online.ucf.edu/lumenpsychology/chapter/maslows-hierarchy-of-needs/ on July 19, 2022

1.3 Self-Awareness in Leadership and Management

What does it mean to be self-aware? **Self-awareness** is the inherent knowledge of one's character, emotions, and motivations, and abilities to quantify an ability for introspection. Originally, self-awareness came from the idea of self-actualization by Abraham Maslow in his development of the Hierarchy of Needs Theory. Maslow outlined five needs, with the fifth need being self-actualization:

> "[Self-actualization] is the final group of needs which is usually at the top of hierarchy. It is the need to develop fully and to realize one's capacities and potentialities to the fullest extent possible. This is activated after all other needs have been fulfilled."[13]

Maslow's view of self-actualization is that only by learning and progression through the other needs, can one's self-actualization or self-awareness be fulfilled. It would be fair to make the claim that in the world of leadership and management, that awareness of leadership and management capabilities must be achieved before becoming a truly self-aware leader or manager. But how does one become self-aware as a leader or manager? Kimani believes that the ability starts with the capacity to motivate others through passion and goals[14]; in a sense, motivation must be a mix of conscious and unconscious factors of needs, rewards, and expectations.

The role of self-awareness within the learning organization is vital. The ability as a leader and manager is important in the realm of expectations. Whether in faculty or administration, the ability to understand the needs of self to be motivated in front of peers is a valuable asset when developing learning to pass on to students. The mutual expectation between the administration and faculty is an important organizational dynamic in any learning organization.

How can self-awareness be measured? The key to that is

taking a deep look at a person's abilities, drawing from past experiences; then, making effective choices on the expectations you desire to achieve. When acknowledging abilities, and tailoring those abilities to build effective expectations, becoming self-aware will ensue.

The most common way for an individual to accomplish a greater sense of self awareness is through reflection. Using the Johari Window exercise, reflection upon the past as a manager is helpful. Figure 6 provides a template for this exercise.



Figure 4: Johari Window Exercise. "Johari Window" by laramilvain is licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 2.0

Four boxes are created which outline an individual's open area, hidden self, unknown, and blind spot. From there, organize the boxes in reference to what is known to the person, and unknown to you, and what is known and unknown to others[15]. The Johari Window exercise is used to figure out how an individual's past experiences shape her or his future managerial experiences. In addition, it provides insight to multiple parties on how one individual will manage, or how one can manage a specific individual. At first, it may be difficult due to the reluctant nature to be candid about one's self-awareness. That is why it is important to focus on the area of

the blind spot, and to gain helpful and constructive feedback from family, friends, and co-workers. However uncomfortable it is to pursue, it can be beneficial in helping to develop self-awareness.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=37#h5p-1

1.4 What is the General View of Leadership and Management?

Many have a view of leadership and management, predominantly in the differences between the two. Simon Priest describes leadership as a layer under management, in that under every manager is a leader who needs to be cultivated. This distinction is the idea that management gets things achieved through systems, while leadership gets things achieved with people[16].

This systematic view of management and leadership presents insight on how many people view both categories. Figure 6 offers some common descriptions of how leadership, management, and the area in between are seen by the general public.



Figure 5 Separate and Similar Descriptors of Leadership and Management. Long description.

The differences in how one sees management and leadership is dependent on experience. One who might have had an overbearing manager in a previous workplace may be seen as authoritative, where another person who does more delegating in organizing resources to complete the job would be considered to be a facilitator. Differences in leaders can

be encountered with one who is passionate about the work in the here-and-now, compared to another who is a visionary, constantly looking to the future for success. Experience is the main way management and leadership are interpreted and perceived.

The main factor is that many people will experience management and leadership on different levels based on how they are perceiving management and leadership. One way to help understand the complex nature of leadership and management is understand the organizational complexity that is present within organizations through the use of metaphors and creating an interconnected web of ideas to develop your own understanding of leadership and management based on your experience. Experience is the main way management and leadership are interpreted and perceived.

One way to understand the complex nature of leadership and management is to grasp the complexity that is present within organizations through the use of metaphors to create an interconnected web of ideas to develop your own understanding of leadership and management based on your experiences.

Activity: Leadership and Management Concept Map

- Feel free to use this template or create your own concept map. If possible, find connections between your ideas of leadership and management.


Leadership and Management

1.5 The Use of Metaphors to Understand Organizational Complexity

When using metaphors to understand organizational complexity, it is important to grasp the four basic structures of an organization: simple, functional, multidivisional, and matrix. Similar to the structures of formal and informal organizations previously discussed in 1.2, these four structures draw a clear outline of how an organization works and how the complexity varies between each organization. Edwards outlines the four structures and explains how each differ from one another[17]:

Executives rely on vertical and horizontal linkages to create a structure that they hope will match the firm's needs. While no two organizational structures are exactly alike, four general types of structures are available to executives: simple, functional, multi-divisional, and matrix.	
Simple Structure	Simple structures do not rely on formal systems of division of labour, and organizational charts are not generally needed. If the firm is a sole proprietorship, one person performs all of the tasks that the organization needs to accomplish. Consequently, this structure is common for many small businesses.
Functional Structure	Within a functional structure, employees are divided into departments where each handles activities related to a functional area of the business, such as marketing, production, human resources, information technology, and customer service.
Multi-divisional Structure	In this type of structure, employees are divided into departments based on product areas and/or geographic regions. The Jim Pattison Group, for example, has nine product divisions; Food and Beverage, Media, Entertainment, Automotive and Agriculture, Periodical Distribution and Marketing, Signs, Packaging, Forest Products and Port Service, and Investment and Partnerships.

Matrix Structure	Firms that engage in projects of limited duration often use a matrix structure where employees can be put on different teams to maximize creativity and idea flow. As parodied in the movie *Office Space*, this structure is common in high tech and engineering firms.

Figure 6. The Four Main Organizational Structures (Source: Janice Edwards, Managing Strategic Management – 1st Canadian Edition, Under CC BY 4.0 license)

Metaphors can be used to understand organizational complexity by how companies interact within the specific structures. Gareth Morgan (2011) explains in his work, *Images of an Organization,* that metaphors, in understanding organizational complexity, provide both partial and conflicting insights, which relies on the "interplay of multiple perspectives"[18]. Morgan describes eight metaphors of an organization[19]:

1. Organizations as Machines (Mechanization)
2. Organizations as Organisms (Nature)
3. Organizations as Brains (Self-Organization)
4. Organizations as Cultures (Social Reality)
5. Organizations as Political Systems (Interest, Conflict and Power)
6. Organizations as Psychic Prisons (Plato's Allegory of the Cave)
7. Organizations as Flux and Transformation (Logistics of Change)
8. Organizations as Domination (The Ugly Face)

These metaphors describe how organizations are viewed based on attributes that surround our everyday life. Be it natural, aesthetic, social, cultural, or ethical, the idea is that metaphors will help the understanding of how these operations function on many structural levels. Essentially, the farmer who runs his or her own produce stand in a simple structure, to the CEO of a multi-national fast-food corporation in a multi-divisional structure can develop understandings of organizational complexity through the use of a well-described metaphor.

What is an organization like? Taking a naturalistic approach,

an organization is like a budding flower. An industrial approach would be a well-oiled machine. More specifically, Morgan's use of organizations is creating a social or political system. This is done by noting that organizations create a social reality which have specific interests, conflicts, and power[19].

When understanding the impact of metaphors within a learning organization, we look at what they produce within the people of the organization. When finding clarity in complexity one, has to do with an organizations ability to shift away from traditional understanding and be more innovative, and collaborative[20]. With being innovative and collaborative, this helps develop metaphors to relate to your organizations behaviour. Metaphors find similarities to related organizational concepts, elaborating existing knowledge, and to develop deeper and more profound insight[21].

The visual representation of metaphors is important to understand organizational complexity.

Review Questions

1. What are the four basic structures of an organization, and what are their attributes?
2. How would you define organizational complexity?
3. Describe self-awareness and how it is important to understand organizational complexity.

Chapter Conclusion

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Classical definitions outline leadership as the social influence of the relationship between two or more persons who depend on each other to attain certain mutual goals. Management is the process of planning, organizing, directing, and controlling the activities of employees.
2. A learning organization is any establishment that fosters growth through learning, and continues to expand that growth in the future. A learning organization is either organic or mechanistic.
3. Self-awareness is cultivated through Maslow's understanding of self-actualization. Leaders and managers need to have expectations of themselves and others in order to be self-aware.
4. The view of leadership and management is based on experiences throughout life.
5. Many metaphors can be used to describe organizational complexity. It depends on how the individual sees the organization in relation to her or his world view.

Moving through this journey of leadership and management in learning organizations, it is important to understand how past knowledge of leadership and management in your lifetime affects perceptions. These examples can act as a reference to your own understanding of leadership and management, and how your previous encounters relate to understandings within a learning organization.

1. Kimani, G. N. (n. d.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

2. Hollander, E.P., & Julian, J.W. (1969). Contemporary trends in the analysis of the leadership process. In Openstax, *Principles of Management*, May 31, 2019. https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:OqC-QhwZ@6/13-1-The-Nature-of-Leadership

3. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:OqC-QhwZ@6/13-1-The-Nature-of-Leadership

4. Openstax, *Organizational Behavior* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/LZQauaxb@5.3:TciF5ukb@2/1-3-The-Nature-of-Management

5. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:OqC-QhwZ@6/13-1-The-Nature-of-Leadership

6. Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art & practice of the learning organization*. Doubleday/Currency

7. Burns, T, & Stalker, G. M. (1961). The management of innovation. In Openstax, *Principles of Management*, May 31, 2019. http://cnx.org/contents/c3acb2ab-7d5c-45ad-b3cd-e59673fedd4e@8.84.

8. Emery, F. E., & Trist, E. L. (1965). The causal texture of organizational environments. In Openstax, *Principles of Management*, May 31, 2019. http://cnx.org/contents/c3acb2ab-7d5c-45ad-b3cd-e59673fedd4e@8.84

9. Mintzberg, H. (1979). The structuring of organizations. In Openstax, *Principles of Management*, May 31, 2019. http://cnx.org/contents/c3acb2ab-7d5c-45ad-b3cd-e59673fedd4e@8.84

10. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:W6OQKYg9@6/10-1-Organizational-Structures-and-Design

11. University of Minnesota. (2010). *Organizational behavior*. Creative Commons Attribution- Non Commercial, ShareAlike 4.0 International License https://open.lib.umn.edu/organizationalbehavior/chapter/14-2-organizational-structure/

12. Kimani, G. N. (n. d.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

13. Maslow, A. (1943). *Psychological Review*. In Kimani, G. N. (ed.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

14. Kimani, G. N. (n. d.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

15. Schermerhorn, J. R., & Wright, B. (2014). *Management: Third Canadian Edition*. John Wiley & Sons Canada

16. Priest, S. (2019). Leadership management, e-portfolio. http://simonpriest.altervista.org/LM.html

17. Edwards, J. (n. d.). *Mastering strategic management- 1st Canadian edition*. Creative Commons, Attribution- Non Commercial, ShareAlike 4.0 International License. https://ecampusontario.pressbooks.pub/strategicmanagement/chapter/creating-an-organizational-structure/

18. Morgan, G. (2011). Reflections on *Images of organization* and its implications for organization and environment. *Organization & Environment, 24*(4), 459-478. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1086026611434274

19. Morgan, G. (1986). *Images of organization*. Sage Publications

20. Martin, A., & Ernst, C. (2005). Leadership, learning and human resource management: Exploring leadership in times of paradox and complexity. *Corporate Governance, 5*(3), 82-94. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/14720700510604724/full/pdf?title=exploring-leadership-in-times-of-paradox-and-complexity

21. Cornelissen, J. P., Kafouros, M., & Lock, A. R. (2005). Metaphorical images of organization: How organizational researchers develop and select organizational metaphors. *Human Relations, 58*(2), 1545- 1578. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0018726705061317

CHAPTER 2: MANAGEMENT THEORIES AND PRACTICE

Dusty Baker *is a professional baseball manager, and is noted as being a true "players' manager." Attribution: Blevine37 at the English language Wikipedia*

This chapter will discuss some classical and contemporary theories present in the world of management. In addition, it will focus on the work of how managers function within the world of organizations, more succinctly, learning organizations. It will also consider some contemporary management theories

that have become present in the modern workforce. This chapter will develop a more in-depth view into the world of management and how management relates to the learning organization.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Describe the historical background of the classical management theories and their relevance to the learning organization.
2. Describe the functions of management within organizations, and theories that apply to the ideal functions of management.
3. Compare, contrast, and critique the contemporary management theories to get a broad understanding of management within a modern learning organization.

Chapter Tips:

- Attempt to track a lineage of management theories with regard to the time they were created.
- Attempt to understand why there are management theories within learning organizations.
- Note technological advancements and their effects on management theory.

2.1 Classical Management Theories

The world of organizations is practical. As previously discussed, management can be regarded as a simple micro approach where the rule $a + b = c$ is a model. The theoretical side is used to understand how specific management theories relate to learning organizations. According to Kimani, and his work on the background of organizations, we show that organizations have existed in society for many years, as found in the pre-twentieth-century works of Adam Smith[1], commonly referred to as the 'Father of Economics.' Understanding organizations comes from understanding management theory, and Kimani outlines four major management theories for the basis of organizations: bureaucratic theory, scientific management theory, behavioural management theory, and human relations theory. These four theories are generalized as the classical theories of managing organizations[1].

Bureaucratic Theory

When an organization is formed, it usually sets its rules based on its structure. Similar to what was discussed in chapter 1 with regard to formal and informal, mechanistic and organic organizations; one theory relates to the role of the formal and mechanistic organizations more than the others. **Bureaucratic theory** relates to the formal hierarchy in which many tasks are delegated to individuals and departments. They are also held together by a central administration[1]. This theory was developed by Max Weber (1864-1920), who was a German historian and sociologist, and is regarded as the "father of bureaucracy"[4].

This theory is quite popular, and is used by a host of both private and public organizations. Kimani states that universities and other schools rely on bureaucracies to function, as the

compatibility of this function is relevant in the delegation of tasks[1]. The compatibility decided upon (usually by a central command) is passed down to subordinates who carry the same compatibility to their subordinates and so on. The bureaucratic model, theoretically, has a hierarchical structure along with the specialized departments, making a clear outline for **division of labor** which is the defining and break-down of work into well-defined tasks, and delegated to be manageable[1]. The idea of division of labor is a key factor in the bureaucratic theory.

Scientific Management Theory

In the modern world of technological advancement, it is no surprise that the push for understanding within organizations is the key to success. When looking at the sport of baseball in 2019, compared to the sport of baseball in 1999, there is a great influence of statistical probability and analytics introduced to the game, compared to a decade earlier. This is due to the popularity of Sabermetrics, which is the application of statistical analysis to baseball records to evaluate and compare the performance of individual players.. This idea relates to **scientific management theory** developed by Fredrick Taylor. It helps to improve an organization's level of task completion through scientific, engineering, and mathematical analysis[1]. Much like how Sabermetrics cuts through the aesthetics of baseball and focuses on the numbers, scientific management theory cuts through the aesthetics of production and focuses on the increase of production and value.

This theory can be used in organizations due to its ability to be mathematically savvy to produce the best results for an institution. Colleges and universities may use statistics such as graduation rates, acceptance rates, and research tracking to develop, change or keep their current methods, in addition, the

use of the statistics helps to compare against other institutions. Businesses also have statistics they often use to determine success such as productivity, sales, and volume of product that is being moved.

The scientific management theory is closely related to the definition of management discussed in chapter one. The theory can be harsh, as employees are considered more widgets than humans. However, Henri Fayol came along and developed the six roles of management. This brought in a more humanistic approach to the understanding of scientific management, allowing humans to be humans and focusing more on managing situations and using people to help in the process. The six roles of management are as follows[2]:

1. Forecasting
2. Planning
3. Organizing
4. Commanding
5. Coordinating
6. Controlling

Behavioral Management Theory

In the mid-twentieth-century, one theory was presented that has worked to completely remove itself from scientific theory. **Behavioral management theory**, also known as the social science movement, uses the concept that all approaches to the workplace should be in the best interest of both company and workers[3]. This theory was developed by Chester Barnhard, in the 1940's, as a way for workers to be viewed as psychological and social beings[3]. Essentially, there is no separation between 'human being' and 'worker' as they are one in the same, and

that, by following this concept, it would lead to success within a workplace.

The idea of behavioral management is about understanding the idea that managers should comprehend human or worker needs within an organization. Many theorists wanted to find out how the use of behavioral management theory would function within workplaces. One of the main theorists being Elton Mayo, and his groundbreaking experiment: *The Hawthorne Studies*, which will be discussed further in chapter ten about communication. The Hawthorne experiment essentially used special privileges, pay rewards, even company provided lunches in ways to increase employee psychological well-being, and eventually employee productivity[3].

Behavioral management theory had a great impact on learning organizations, as it provided a new view on how administrators come into learning organizations. There are two factors which are integral to the introduction of behavioral management within learning organizations, such as administrators at colleges and universities[3]:

1. Administrators can emerge from different disciplines (i.e. business, social sciences, and the arts), not specifically from education.
2. Along with specialized knowledge of education, administrators must have an **interdisciplinary** grasp of social sciences, such as economics and government.

In most education faculties, both undergraduate and graduate students develop content that is considered interdisciplinary (i.e. covering multiple branches of knowledge and understanding). This allows educational administrators the ability to take on a more holistic approach, which will lead to a better understanding of the 'human-worker'. Even when administrators and professors develop courses, interdisciplinary theory is used in development (Figure 4).



Figure 2: Education course as a form of interdisciplinary study. Long description.

Human Relations Theory

Now that the worker has become less of a product with no needs, to a human worker with needs and desires, it surprising that it took until the twentieth-century to realize that the people who work in a factory do not become less of a psychological being inside or outside the factory. Mary Parker Follet developed the human relations theory with regard to employees having a more satisfying life, and they can solve conflicts through a process of democracy and conversation. There are six points in which democratic problem solving happen in human relations theory[3]:

1. Listening to each other's views
2. Accepting other view points
3. Integrating viewpoints in pursuit of a common goal
4. Coordinating must be achieved in the early stages
5. Coordinating must have reciprocal understanding
6. Coordinating is a continual process.

Within learning organizations, we have seen the advancement of programs to help with human relations inside the walls of the establishments. From seminars discussing productivity, improving morale, and good ethical actions, to open meetings where brainstorming happens, the impact has given employees a chance to offer a holistic influence on organizations.

The idea of human relations draws comparisons to Abraham Maslow, and his theory on self-actualization (Figure 5), which was discussed in chapter one. Human relations theory allows employees to develop a sense of self-awareness to understand their places within a company and their influence.

Review Questions:

1. What are the four different classical management theories?
2. What was the famous study conducted to develop an understanding of behavioral management theory?
3. What is the final and highest step in Abraham Maslow's hierarchy of needs theory?

2.2 Functions of Management in Organizations

Planning, logistics controlling, and leading the ship into the harbour are all aspects of managing with any organization. Image: Marlow Navigation Crew & Ship Management, This file is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International license. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Marlow_Navigation_Crew_%26_Ship_Management.jpg

In order to understand management within organizations, we must have a basic understanding of what organizations consist of. Organizations in simplistic terms are a group of individuals who work towards goals and who have a common purpose or reasoning to be working together. Organizations act as loop-systems that create, reflect, and revise based on the situation. This contributes to the changing nature of organizations who take a more sustainable outlook, through valuing human capital, teamwork and technology to meet the demand of a modern and globalized world.

This extends beyond the classical theories have been presented, the focus will shift to the functions of management within learning organizations. This leads to the general

definition of management in which an individual plans, controls, leads, and organizes tasks, leading towards successful goals pertinent to the organizations mission, vision, and values. Within a learning organization, the planning, controlling, leading, and organizing is the same. It is the objectives that are different (i.e. creating learning and knowledge instead of widgets and profit)[4].

What does this mean for the roles of managers within learning organizations? First, it means that they must be flexible in the different roles required in an organization. Schmemerhorn and Wright outline three roles a manager must take on to be successful within learning organizations[4].

Informational Roles	Interpersonal Roles	Decisional Roles
How a manager exchanges and processes information • Monitor • Disseminator • Spokesperson	How a manager interacts with other people • Figurehead • Leader • Liaison	How a manager uses information in decision-making • Negotiator • Disturbance handler • Resource allocator • Entrepreneur

Figure 3: Roles of a Manager. *(Source: John R. Schermerhorn Jr. and Barry Wright, Management: 3rd Canadian Edition)*

With these roles come certain skills that employees would possess depending on their level in the management system or hierarchy. Notice that as they move up the hierarchy of management level or capability, the more ambiguous the skill set. Essentially, moving from lower level to a higher level, the skill moves from applied to theoretical through the essential skills[4].

In the last section, some key classical theories that pertain to management were discussed. There is one theory that relates to the functions of management within organizations,

especially learning organizations. It has a great deal of relevance, given that its characteristics blend nicely with the flexibility of managers within learning organizations.

Theory X and Theory Y

Douglas McGregor's 1960 theoretical concept is widely regarded in many organizational circles, and follows two distinct styles of management called theory x and theory y[5]:

- **Theory X:** Managers who believe that subordinates generally dislike work, lack ambition, lack responsibility, and prefer to be led rather than lead.
- **Theory Y:** Managers who believe that subordinates are willing to work, accept responsibility, and are capable of self-control and self-direction.

This is an interesting concept, especially in the realm of managerial function within organizations. Regarding planning, those with more theory x have a worldview that will be shaped by planning for subordinates, rather than planning with subordinates. Likewise, those who are more theory y, will be likely to focus on leading staff and leave the control to the subordinates. Looking at the essential skills, there can be a hypothesis where McGregor's theory would be placed, given the level of management and the associated skill. This is why McGregor's theory fits well with the functions of management within organizations, as it provides a clear view on how to tailor each person's management style.



Figure 9: Essential Skills with Theory X and Y Scatter.

Inside the learning organization, many functions of management can be used and different management theories can be applied to those functions. It depends on the different organizational structure and the how managers want to develop a specific mission, vision, and values. For example, inside of an educational institution, the mission would be to enhance learning, with a vision to create leaders of tomorrow. How could that be done? Would there be a heavy emphasis on controlling the processes to force a direction of learning for students, or release the reigns and accept the notion that students want to be at the institution to learn and to become leaders? The answer might not be clear, but reflection on the organization should develop the way a manager functions within it. One way to contextualize how a manager functions within an organization could be the amalgamation of effective strategies in their approach to organizational effectiveness: strategies which include, resources, processes, goals and constituencies can be effective approaches to managing and leading an organization through uncertainty.

Review Questions:

1. What are the four functions of management, and what does each function represent?
2. What are three organizational roles within an organization? What are their characteristics?
3. What are the management theories of X and Y?
4. What are the 3 skills of all lower, middle, and top level managers? Describe them.

2.3 Contemporary Management Theories

Now that there is a grasp on classical management theory, do these theories still fit in a contemporary marketplace? Perhaps, adhering to strict theoretical concepts, the classical theories will always be a base, but they need to be associated with many contemporary factors. Some of the current factors influencing the management landscape are:

- Talent
- Diversity
- Globalization
- Technology
- Ethics
- Careers

We will be diving into these topics at greater depth throughout the book, for now let us preview technology, diversity, and globalization.

Technology

Today, many organizations are being reformed where a host of technological concepts are rising to the top[6]. In a modern market, computerized technology has been the biggest advancement to work in the sense of making work easier and more effective. Globally, it has had a huge impact, considering that modern technology extends to everywhere on Earth. Focusing on the learning organization, much has changed since the advancement of technology. For example, take this book. A healthy prediction would be that about 99% of students reading this on some form of personal computer, handheld laptop, tablet, or even a smartphone. This leads to the question that in this world of expanding technology, are

classical theories obsolete in this new age of contemporary technological management? The answer relies on the comparison and contrast of the classical and the contemporary.

Take the bureaucratic model. Of course, the systems of hierarchy will remain with the division of labor. However, a manager who uses expert power in the form of knowledge to a subordinate can be equalized with the advancement of technology. Essentially, the employee with the smartphone or tablet can find answers to certain organizational questions just as quickly and effectively as the boss or manager. With this concept at its most extreme, what is the difference between a manager and an employee at this point other than a title? Technology has the opportunity to change the bureaucratic model into more of a bureaucratic matrix that focuses on specialization in a more decentralized organization. As an example, the president of a university is the head of the institution, but has to delegate, and at times defer, to the heads in the areas of other administrations (i.e. information technology, human resources , student affairs etc.).

Technology has moved society into rethinking and expanding on the theories of bureaucratic and scientific management, and into an advanced version of behavioral and human relations theory. The form of decentralization and human coordination will be effective attributes in a modern organization. Professor Jay Conger, of McGill University, claims that the advancement of new technologies will require managers to be capable of coordinating in a highly decentralized organization, and that the changes will demand not only more leadership and management, but newer forms of leadership and management[7]. Ultimately, a more expanded thinking approach, compared to a methodological approach, may be needed. Given the advancement of technology that is currently here, and does not seem to be

slowing down at any rate. Technology within education will be discussed more in-depth with Communication in Chapter 10.

Diversity

Diversity has become a widely used term in recent years. The fact is that the workplace in the 21st century is more diverse now than it has ever been. People are living longer, different genders are engaged in the workplace, and different members of society are actively involved together. This demands a knowledge of diversity, whether or not a classical theory can adapt to the changing notion of diversity.

Diversity in management focuses on people, with their backgrounds and needs within an organization. For example, a university can have a specific department (faculty of social sciences) with members from different generations (millennials, generation x, and baby boomers) [8]. This idea has been replicated many times throughout case studies, with the pre-determined idea that an organization without diversity will produce differing views and challenges between the generations. However, to have an effective organization, all groups need to be treated fairly, and seen as valued within the organization[9]. This is referred to as **managing diversity**. In many ways, managing diversity relates to behavioral management by doing what is best to really pay attention to the workers needs within the organization.

Ultimately, what is needed is to rethink what diversity is and how it is present in the many facets within the organization. In order for a modern organization to run effectively, it must use a more advanced approach of a behavioral model that embraces the diverse contrasts within institutions. Essentially, it goes beyond just listening to the employee. It attempts to listen to the employee based on her or his specific needs.

Diversity will be discussed further in Chapter 11: Multicultural Leadership.

Globalization

The term globalization became more common after the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989. With an increase in open borders and advancement in technology, businesses and corporations decided to expand in the new global marketplace. However, as the world moves steadfast through the 21st century, there are some troubling trends emerging within the global economy. In 2018, the Global Risk Perception Survey (GRPS) conducted a study which listed four trends in the global, external environment[10]:

1. Persistent inequality and unfairness
2. Domestic and international policy tensions
3. Environmental dangers
4. Cyber vulnerabilities

There is a bleak outlook by the authors of this survey, and they further state that rigid, hierarchical structures will find no place in a modern high impact environment[9]. Perhaps this is a challenge to the bureaucratic and scientific models. However, maybe this outlook can be solidified. If the idea of a hierarchical structure that works top down is no longer needed in the globalized marketplace, what about the hierarchy that moves outwards rather than top down? When discussing the organizational environment, it would be remiss to neglect all the outside **dynamic forces** that effect organizations in a global marketplace. These dynamic forces are listed as follows[11]:

1. Economic Environment
2. Legal-Political Environment
3. Technological Environment
4. Socio-Cultural Environment
5. Natural Environment

These dynamic forces are outside of the central organization, and thus outside of organizational control. Although the forces are external to the control of the institution, it can effectively change policies to stay ahead of the curve of dynamic forces. For example, a large fast food chain may introduce a 'beyond meat' hamburger to meet the needs of a changing natural and socio-cultural environment. Challenges can emerge with this format. however. For example, that fast food company may bring in order kiosk, which would benefit the technological environment, but may be a deterrent to the economic environment. It remains a constant tug-of-war to ensure a company in a global marketplace does its duty to maintain these dynamic forces in order to foster a positive organizational and social outlook.

The dynamic forces, in many ways, can act as a solution to the troubles in the GRPS survey. Acknowledging the risk that is present and putting systems in place to combat the risks is the main idea behind a globalized organization.



Figure 4: Dynamic Forces on Organizations in a Globalized Economy (Attribution:

How does this fit within the learning organization? The idea of moving outward may be a beneficial and necessary outcome to keep up in a globalized educational marketplace. With more and more colleges and universities having off-site and web campuses in other cities and countries (technological), a new generation entering post-secondary education (socio-cultural), a growing population (economic), political polarization (legal-political), and green initiatives (natural), learning organizations, much like the large fast food company will need to effectively manage these dynamic forces to maintain an advantage in a globalized marketplace. Within maintaining an advantage, a manager must have specific competencies such as communication, teamwork, self-management, leadership, critical thinking, and professionalism. These competencies allow managers to craft and create their management style to adjust in a modern workplace.

Activity: Management Factors

- Match one of the scenarios to one of the five factors below

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=60#h5p-2

Chapter Conclusion

This chapter offered a history of management through the classical theories and the contemporary theories of management. In addition, the Johari Window Exercise offered a chance for learning about personal management styles.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. The classical management theories of bureaucratic, scientific, behavioral, and human relations offer different approaches to management on a spectrum ranging from product to employee.
2. Self-awareness is just as much about finding out about what you know and what managers know and what are their blind spots only known by others. Constructive feedback on past experiences is very beneficial for developing self-awareness.
3. Classical management theory has evolved in the modern marketplace through technology, diversity, and globalization. Learning organizations are following these trends to keep ahead of the curve in an expanding organizational environment.

Management within a learning organization fits many categories, from bureaucratic to human relations, and from technological to global. Managers in learning organizations subscribe to these managerial theories to further develop their core competencies of communication, teamwork, self-management, leadership, critical thinking, and professionalism. Chapter 3 will shift our focus to leadership styles and the roles of leaders in learning organizations.

1. Kimani, G. N. (n. d.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

2. Fayol, H. (1949). General and industrial management. In Kimani, G. N. (ed.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

3. Kimani, G. N. (n. d.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

4. Schermerhorn, J. R., & Wright, B. (2014). *Management: Third Canadian Edition*. John Wiley & Sons Canada

5. McGregor, D. M. (1960). The human side of enterprise. In Maidment, F. H. (ed.) *Management 97/98* (5th ed.). Dushkin/McGraw-Hill. https://www.esf.edu/for/germain/McGregor%20-%20Human%20Side.pdf

6. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:_lXvzUcs@7/13-9-Leadership-Needs-in-the-21st-Century

7. Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1987). Toward a behavioral theory of charismatic leadership in organizational settings. In Openstax, *Principles of Management*, May 31, 2019. https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:_lXvzUcs@7/13-9-Leadership-Needs-in-the-21st-Century

8. Mujtaba, B., & Thomas, G. (2005). Leading and managing disparate generations in cross-cultural learning organizations. *College Teaching Methods & Styles Journal, 1*(1), 41-56. https://clutejournals.com/index.php/CTMS/article/view/5218

9. Thomas, R. R. (1991). Beyond race and gender. In Openstax, *Principles of Management,* May 31, 2019. https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:n3cSC9sD@6/12-1-An-Introduction-to-Workplace-Diversity

10. The Global Economic Report. (2018). *World Economic Forum*. In Openstax, *Principles of Management,* May 31, 2019. https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:7nFKObcu@6/4-6-Organizing-for-Change-in-the-21st-Century

11. Schermerhorn, J. R., & Wright, B. (2014). *Management: Third Canadian Edition*. John Wiley & Sons Canada

12. Mohr, N., & Dichter, A. (2001). Building a learning organization. *Phi Delta Kappan, 82*(10), 744-747. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/003172170108201007

13. Buchanan, J. (2008). Developing leadership capacity through organizational learning. *Journal of College Teaching and Learning, 5*(3), 17-24. https://clutejournals.com/index.php/TLC/article/view/1299

14. Gilley, J. W., & Maycunich, A. (2000). Building the developmental organization. In J. W. Gilley, and A. Maycunich (Eds.). *Beyond the learning organization* (pp. 335-348). Perseus Books.

15. Worrell, D. (1995). The learning organization: Management

theory for the information age or new age fad? *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 21*(5), 351-357. https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/0099133395900608?token=044471512E06FBEAAD6995B6800B83F119CC18DB33A5F4613EB48320B4948742C46D8C22E6DEE2410397EB27C02752DB

CHAPTER 3: LEADERSHIP STYLES, ROLES, AND TRAITS

Individuals who display traits of leadership tend to make their own path, and motivate others to follow them.

In the last chapter, all the theoretical concepts in relation to management were introduced. The focus will shift to leadership and the different styles, roles, and traits that make up a leader. In addition, we will discuss the nature of power and how to use it effectively in leadership roles will be addressed.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Classify and explain the four different leadership styles presented in this chapter.
2. Define the roles of a leader and how managers are shaped through different leadership styles.
3. Compare and contrast the different studies done on the behavioral traits of leadership.
4. Critique the understanding of power within leadership, and describe how power can be used.

Chapter Tips:

- Use some self reflection on what type of leadership style you follow.
- Engage in class discussion on leadership theories to get a feel of leadership styles.
- Develop your own understanding of power in relation to what the text outlines.

3.1 Leadership Styles

As discussed in chapter one, leadership is the social relationship that obtains certain goals within an organization though a mission, vision, and values. In these relationships it is common for leaders to exemplify a specific type of behavior to direct reports or subordinates. Over the past two decades there has been a shift in understanding a leaders emotional resonance with other members inside of an organization [1]. This behavior is called a leadership style, and below is an outline of the four major leadership styles prevalent in organizations:

- **Autocratic**
- **Participative**
- **Free-Reign (Laissez-Faire)**
- **Democratic**

Leadership styles according to Singh and Karunes derive power from certain recognition, and use Fiedler's contingency model to understand how a leader's style fits within an organizational situation [2]. To embrace a style is to embrace the romanticism of leadership and how leadership relationships can have an effect within a modern organizational landscape [3]. These four styles above can act as a bridge towards understanding the relationship of leadership. Along with romanticism, there is a sense of servitude towards other factors, such as the concept of servant leadership as a commitment to serving others within an organization and the community.

Within many ethical frameworks discussing how humans in society run a state, the concept of an autocracy may be troubling. Some may say the same about autocratic leaders. **Autocratic leaders** are directive leaders who take very little input or discussion from subordinates[4]. In this modern day, global collaboration, the concept of the autocratic leader may seem cold and dated; however, autocratic leaders tend to look

at the systems and find a path of least resistance to success based on their knowledge. It is not that autocratic leaders do not care about their staff as they treat staff like any other people. It just comes down to how to run organizational systems, using their own expert knowledge to lead their team.

In today's environment, most businesses are looking for individuals who will embrace a more global, inclusive approach. **Participative leaders** fit this mold as they are individuals who share the decision making process with other employees and embrace a brainstorming discussion on organizational issues[4]. In many ways, the participative leader within a learning organization tends to be a good fit. When fostering learning with students for example, engaging in a participative atmosphere helps learning. This approach is commonly found in many successful organizations[4].

Looking at leadership along the spectrum, on one side there is the autocratic style, and on the other is free-reign. Free-reign or **lassiez-faire**(French: Leave it alone) is a leadership style in which managers leave the control and decision making to their employees[4]. As one person may think the autocratic style is to strict, one may think the lassiez-faire style would lead to complete corporate anarchy. However, the idea behind the free-reign style still encompasses some control. Essentially, the employees have freedom to pursue their work as they see fit, so long as they stay within the confines of existing company ethics and policies[4].

In the same vein as participative leaders, **democratic leaders** embrace input from all members and have a vote on specific decisions[4]. An example would be a head of a not-for-profit board who chooses to have all board members vote on specific outcomes. Inside the democratic system there are two outlooks of running it. Figure 1 shows the different characteristics of **consensual leaders** and **consultative leaders]**[4].

Consensual Leadership	Consultative Leadership
• Encourage discussion about issues. • Require parties involved to make final decisions.	• Encourage discussion about issues. • Leader retains final authority over decision making process.

Figure 1: Consensual and Consultative Leadership.
(Attribution: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license)

Ultimately, the leadership style that is used depends on the organization, the relationships present within it, and a frequented pattern within relationships. The responsibility relies on managers to adjust their leadership styles in relation to the organizational makeup, goals, and objectives. This follows the concept of the Hershey-Blanchard Situational Leadership Model in which successful leaders adjust their styles according to the organizational makeup[5]. There are four leadership sub-styles in the Hershey-Blanchard model.

Review Questions:

1. What are the four leadership styles and their characteristics?
2. What are the difference between consensual and consultative leadership?

3.2 Roles of a Leader

When looking at leadership styles, there is a macro, almost philosophical approach to leadership. Essentially, leaders might tie their leadership style to their own world-views. However, looking deeper into what is actually required to be leader, focusing on the micro details on what a leader can do on a systems' basis is important. Three areas have been outlined in which leaders should be efficient in creating. The roles of a leader are to create:

- Plans
- Goals
- Timelines

Planning is the act of creating an outline to guide operations in a direction of the organizations' mission, vision, and values. Within groups, many plans can be devised to get a company to its desired goals. Planning within a corporation is integral to its success, but planning should be dynamic and dependent on the institution's structure. Within establishments, there are six planning styles: hierarchical plans, strategic plans, frequency-of-use plans, time-frame plans, organizational-scope plans, and contingency plans[6].

Hierarchical plans	Strategic plans	Frequency-of-use plans	Time-frame plans	Organizational scope plans	Contingency plans
Administrative Plans: allocation of resources Operating Plans: day-to-day operations	Long term vision for the organization: reason for doing what you are doing Connection to Hierarchy: adhering to the mission, vision, and values	Standing Plan: company policies, rules and procedures Single-use plan: Programs, Projects, Budgets	Short: several hours to 1 year Medium: 1-5 years Long-Range: More than 5 years	Whole Organization: Scope is on complete organization (i.e. the whole University) Subsets within organization: Scope is on one area or department (i.e. Admissions and Enrollment)	Alternative Courses: Foreseen disruptions to the plan (i.e. budgetary restrictions Being prepared: for actions out of your control (i.e. downturn in the market, natural disaster)

Figure 2: Types of Organizational Plans. (Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license)

Figure 3 shows some potential plans within learning organizations in relation to the planning styles.

Once the plans are created, the leader must decide what to do within the plans to reach the level desired. After planning comes creating **goals** or official goals which is described as an organization's general aims as expressed in public statements[6]. For example, using an institute of higher learning, might have a plan to be on the same level of increased enrollment as other institutions within the province or state. Therefore, the goal associated with this plan would be to increase out-of-province or out-of-state enrollment, perhaps even increasing international enrollment. This is one measure that uses goals to match the plan desired within the university.



Figure 4: Goals Implemented. Long description.

After creating the goal, the next phase is to develop a timeline for the goal. A **timeline** is a given set time to complete a task in order to be successful. To be a smart and effective time manager with goals, the dates must be realistic and achievable. Essentially, using the example of the university, the meeting in July 2019, and the goal is to increase enrollment by September 2019. That does not offer enough time for success. In many cases, it would instead cause more organizational problems. The timelines for goals must work well within plans, and be feasible to all members involved.



Figure 5: Timelines Added. Long description.

Leadership Roles Shaped Through Leadership Characteristics

The roles within leadership through planning, goal creation, and timelines come from a framework of leadership characteristics. **Leadership characteristics** are specific traits within one leadership style which are used to plan projects and achieve goals. There are four characteristics that are important to the world of organizational leadership:

- Transformational
- Transactional
- Visionary
- Charismatic

Transformational leadership can be compared to a butterfly and the change the butterfly and the metamorphosis it goes through from chrysalis to butterfly. **Transformational leadership** heightens aspirations, shifts people and organizations to new levels[7]. This is in contrast to **Transactional leadership** or the action of changing styles, adjusting tasks, and allocating rewards to achieve a positive influence[7]. Scholars in the past have compared the different

styles before, creating a dichotomy where only one fits. Another way to look at the concepts of transformational and transactional leadership is that they can both be required depending on the context or situation within the organization. Based on the characteristic, it must be done in a framework of **emotional intelligence**. Goleman describes emotional intelligence as the "ability to manage ourselves and our relationships effectively"[8]. Therefore, when using a transactional approach or a transformational approach, it must be used in the structure of high motivation, high self-awareness, and high social awareness.

As transformational leaders heighten aspirations, **visionary leaders** heighten aspirations through emotional and intellectual attractions to the leader's 'what-can-be' vision[9]. Through this vision, an organization can build upon it. One example would be a university president wanting her university to be the forefront of cancer research. Perhaps that is a goal five, maybe ten years down the road, but a truly visionary leader would never lose sight of that vision in order to find success.

Charismatic leadership is the ability to use charisma (compelling and inspirational charm) to influence members within an organization to bring about change or implement processes. Charismatic leadership closely mirrors the tenants of transformational leadership as the charismatic actions of an individual can greatly influence change within an organization. Max Weber describes charismatic leaders as ones who possess legitimate power which arises from "exceptional sanctity, heroism, or exemplary character"[9]. Charismatic leaders can be seen as positive or negative influences on a company. These two individuals presented can show positive and negative influences[9]:

- **Positive:** Lee Iacocca – saved thousands of jobs during an economic downturn.
- **Negative:** David Koresh – led men, women, and children to

their death during the Waco massacre.

Regardless of the charismatic leader, charisma must be used within the confines of morality, ethics, and agreed upon organizational procedures. In chapter five, a deeper look into ethics and its meaning within organizational structures will be examined.

Here are the transcripts for the video below if you prefer to read them.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=75#oembed-1

Path-Goal and Leader-Member Theories

A large portion of leaders direct a path and clarify paths for members in their organization. This is called path-goal leadership, which followers can achieve both task-related and personal goals through directive, supportive, achievement-oriented, and participative leadership. On the other hand there is the leader-member exchange theory of leadership where not everyone is treated the same by the leader creating in groups, and out groups. A middle ground would be to display emotional intelligence within groups to effectively manage relationships in the organization, depending on the characteristics.

Review Questions:

1. What is planning and what are the six types of planning styles?
2. What are the reasons for goals to exist in organizations?
3. What is the difference between transformative and transactional leadership?

3.3 Behavioral Traits of a Leader

Since charisma and vision are key traits of leaders, along with the ability to grow an organization, there are some classic, behavioral traits of a leader within an organization. A host of phrases that come to mind when thinking about what successful leadership include[10]:

- Drive
- Creativity
- Self-Confidence
- Cognitive Ability
- Job-Relevant Knowledge
- Motivation
- Flexibility
- Honesty and Integrity

The ability to encompass all of these behaviors is an interesting study in their effectiveness in organizations. During the 1940's, there were two institutions that sought to bring the understanding of behavior and leadership together. It was more of a collaborative effort between these two schools, much different from how they see each other on the football field.



Although mortal enemies on the football field, both The Ohio State University and The University of Michigan sought to understand the behaviors of leaders through their respective studies. Source https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Ohio_State_vs._Michigan_football_2011_04_(Michigan_on_offense)_cropped.jpg

The Ohio State Studies

In Columbus, Ohio, researchers were looking for a way to identify leadership behaviors in relation to performance. They developed two major set of behavioral structures[11]:

1. **Consideration**: the relationship-oriented behavior of a leader: supportive, friendly, and representing the interest of the people
2. **Initiating Structure**: the task-oriented behavior of a leader: planning, coordinating, and maintaining standards of performance

Through this study, it was found that leaders can differ from

these two groupings in almost a binary way. One way to connect this to a learning organization is to look at a professor and how he or she teaches the class. Is the class more of a collaborative and supportive environment that represents the interest of the students, or is the class methodical, structural, and focuses on the grades or results of the students? Regardless of the framework, the Ohio State Studies outlined two critical frameworks for understanding different types of leadership, and the impact that leadership has on members within the organization[11].

The Michigan Studies

At the same time in Ann Arbor, Michigan, researchers were at work trying to identify leadership behaviors that differentiated effective from ineffective leaders. What was discovered were two types[11]:

1. **Job-Centered Behaviors:** devoted to supervisory functions such as planning, scheduling, and providing resources.
2. **Employee-Member-Centered Behaviors:** devoted to consideration and support for members within the organization.

Similarities between the Ohio State Study and the Michigan Study show ways that focus on leadership behaviors either being tailored toward the company or the individual. What the Michigan Study shows is a mix between care for the job, which is beneficial for the employees, or care for the employee, which is beneficial for the organization.

Both institutions created a logic that deduces behavior to a central focus that encompasses all of the traits. Robert R. Blake and Jane S. Moulton developed a leadership grid to show all

of the different styles reflected upon by the Ohio State and Michigan studies.

Activity: Case Study

- In this activity you will read the case study and provide answers in relation to the discussion questions.
- You can use the text box at the bottom of the page to input answers.
- If available, tackling this case will work better in groups and answering questions as a collective.

Source: The Children, Youth, and Families At-Risk (CYFAR), University of Minnesota, https://cyfar.org/ilm_8_casestudy1

Leadership and Management Case Study #1

Laura is the associate director of a nonprofit agency that provides assistance to children and families. She is the head of a department that focuses on evaluating the skill-building programs the agency provides to families. She reports directly to the agency leadership. As a whole, the agency has been cautious in hiring this year because of increased competition for federal grant funding. However, they have also suffered high staff turnover. Two directors, three key research staff, and one staff person from the finance department have left.

Laura has a demanding schedule that requires frequent travel; however, she supervises two managers who in turn are responsible for five staff members each. Both managers have been appointed within the last six months.

Manager 1: Kelly has a specific background in research. She manages staff who provide research support to another department that delivers behavioral health services to youth. Kelly supports her staff and is very organized; however, she often takes a very black and white view of issues. Upper level leadership values Kelly's latest research on the therapeutic division's services. Kelly is very motivated and driven and expects the same from her staff.

Manager 2: Linda has a strong background in social science research and evaluation. She manages staff that work on different projects within the agency. She is known as a problem solver and is extremely supportive of her staff. She is very organized and has a wealth of experience in evaluation of family services. Linda is very capable and can sometimes take on too much.

The managers are sensing that staff are becoming overworked as everyone takes on increased responsibilities due to high staff turnover. Staff have also mentioned that Laura's "glass half-empty" conversation style leaves them feeling dejected. In addition, Laura has not shared budgets with her managers, so they are having difficulty appropriately allocating work to staff. Laura said she has not received sufficient information from the finance department to complete the budgets. The finance department said

they have sent her all the information they have available.

As staff become distressed, the managers are becoming frustrated. They feel like they are unable to advocate for their staff or solve problems without key information like the departmental budget.

Discussion Questions

1. ***How can Laura most effectively use both management and leadership skills in her role as associate director? What combination of the two do you think would work best in this setting?***
2. ***What steps could be taken to build staff confidence?***
3. ***What advice would you give Laura on improving her leadership skills and to the managers on improving their management skills?***
4. ***Which leadership style do you think a leader would need to be effective in this situation?***

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=78#h5p-4

3.4 Power and Leadership

Using power correctly to influence the best out of all individuals and reach the top. Image by Joshua Earle "man sitting on gray rock while staring at white clouds" From: https://unsplash.com/photos/Dwheufds6kQ

As mentioned previously at the beginning of the chapter, and in chapter one, the main ability that leaders have is the ability to influence. Regardless if the leader is **autocratic** or **lassiez-faire**, he or she must possess the ability to influence subordinates to follow the vision, mission, and values of the company. **Influence** is the ability to have an effect on a person or situation. Within an organizational structure, the ability to influence is to have an effect on an individual, group, organization, or social level. Within institutions, the amount of influence that leaders have or processes usually depends on the power they wield within the firm.

Discussing power within an operation may seem like a malicious idea, especially with one person having all of the power. This could be detrimental,. However, in the context

of an organization, the ability to have power can be beneficial for the leader and the subordinates. **Power** within an organizational context is the ability to influence someone to get something done that needs to be done. According to Pfeffer, power plays a major role in a person's career success, salary level, and job performance[12]. Researchers have defined five primary sources of power within organizations[13]:

- Expert Power
- Referent Power
- Legitimate Power
- Reward Power
- Coercive Power

The most effective influence, and most ethically grounded power is **expert power.** It relies on the leader's ability to have extensive knowledge in one or more areas within the organization. An example of this would be a professor who also has a wealth of experience within administration or in multiple faculties (i.e. earth sciences, and communication). This lends a level of expertise to take control of problems in multiple areas and find solutions to these problems. Commonly, with expert power is **referent power,** which is the ability for a leader to have influence through charisma and admiration from peers. That same professor who found solutions to problems and created positive changes would be regarded with reverence within a faculty.

Expert and referent power tend to operate on the emotional level, applying the concepts of 'leading by example'. If this does not seem to have an effect and common ground cannot be reached, using legitimate power may be a solution. **Legitimate power** are the leaders who uses their positions in an organization to influence decisions. Ideally, this is not desired, but someone might have to say 'I'm the CEO and this decision

is final,' especially when faced with a tough decision where no common ground can be reached.

Reward and coercive power come closer to the bottom of the spectrum, as it moves away from an emotional level, and uses physical things to influence others. **Reward power** is a leader's ability to influence rewards within an organization (i.e. pay raises, benefits, and promotions). Reward power can create both positive and negative effects within a company. For example, a promotion to offer a pay raise to the individual who can sell the most widgets may lead to increased productivity to get the raise, but cut corners in the world of morality and ethics. If reward power is going to be used, it must be used within the confines of an organization's code of ethics. **Coercive power,** or the ability to lead a team through threatening of negative outcomes[13] is the least ethically-grounded influence. This would be a last resort if there was a subordinate who was completely unqualified and morally unjust in her or his own right. Coercive power to radically change the individual, and if change doesn't happen, dire consequences would be implemented.

Regardless of the power structure, it must fall within the organizational context it is presented in. Within a learning organization, the main goal is to facilitate learning and provide a space where analysis and critical thinking can be expanded upon. It appears that expert and referent power within a learning organization are the most beneficial in terms of using control to foster learning to students, as an example. The students see the expert and referent authority, but they also have the ability to be critical on certain concepts (especially in the humanities). Likewise, expert and referent power are generally the most effective powers to use in any organization.

Review Questions:

1. What are the five types of organizational power?
2. What type of organizational power do you feel are important to effectively lead an organization?

3.5 Cultural Understandings of Transformative and Transactional Leadership

Cross-cultural differences with understanding leadership is a common thread within pedagogical research. What the broad scope of leadership can tell us, is that leadership is not defined by borders or restrictions, rather is free and dynamic to cross many arbitrary boundaries. Examples include entrepreneurial women of China engaging relationship building leadership styles[14], and Indonesian managers using a mixed approach of traditional paternalism and modern transformational leadership[15]. One aspect previously touched upon in the chapter are the concepts of **transformational** and **transactional** leadership.

Transformational

As previously discussed, transformational leadership heightens aspirations, and shifts people towards divergent methods of competency. The personality traits play an important role of a leader who takes on a transformational style. With average to high scores in strategic thinking, and embracing conceptual concerns paired with moral concerns provides an image of personal leadership[16]. A leader who sees their organization as more than just people showing up to work everyday, allows the strategic and conceptual arguments to flourish. Transformational leaders in many ways are tied to social change through a charismatic approach [17].

Transactional

Transactional leaders, as discussed, prefer changing styles,

adjusting tasks and allocating rewards. The personality trait of a transactional leader seems to follow a passive approach similar to transformational leaders, however transactional leaders are more task oriented, and embracing a more directive than passive approach [16]. A transactional leader sees the value of the people that work for them, but expect their workers to get results based on the rules set out by the leader. The transactional leader keeps the chain of command in tact and provides opportunity through reward for subordinates to climb the ladder [17].

Combining the Styles

Regardless of the management style, both use the concepts charisma, control, and persuasion to enhance influence[18]. This presents that both leadership styles can be used effectively given the time and place it is dependent, however, if the concept of persuasion-to-influence is not present neither style will not be effective. Successful organizational teams have leaders who guide members to achieve expected outcomes [19]. To achieve outcomes within an organization, it takes a team effort, and a leadership style that depends on the situation present within the organization.

Chapter Conclusion

In this chapter, an in-depth meaning of leadership and a full understanding of management and leadership in the context of a learning organization was developed. Use these concepts to draw connections to the other concepts present in future chapters.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Autocratic, participative, free-reign (laissez-faire), and democratic leadership was explained. Identified how autocratic and free-reign essentially lie on opposite sides of the leadership spectrum.
2. Through the actions of planning, goal making, and creating timelines, certain leadership traits of transactional, transformational, visionary and charismatic leadership came to the forefront.
3. The behavioural leadership studies done by Ohio State University and the University of Michigan showed how both studies reflected the idea of encompassing all traits.
4. Power can be used in many ways (i.e. knowledge, status, gifts, force etc.). It depends on the organizational context and the goals of facilitative learning and critical thinking in learning institutions.

This chapter concludes the beginning section of outlining the basic concepts relevant to leadership and management within a learning organization. The next chapter will incorporate the organizational structure and connect the world of entrepreneurship to the concept of learning and education.

1. Hernez-Broome, G., & Hughes, R. L. (2004). Leadership development: Past, present, and future. *Human Resource Planning, 27*(1), 24-33.

2. Singh, S. B., & Karunes, S. (2000). Leadership styles, traits, roles and practices down the ages. *The Journal of Business Perspective*, Special Issue-2000, 18-31. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/09722629000040S104

3. Bligh, M. C., Kohles, J. C., & Pillai, R. (2011). Romancing leadership: Past, present, and future. *The Leadership Quarterly, 22*(6), 1058-1077. https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S1048984311001500?token=B27A65534CDE7163A7F93BE75CB3EB7C9FA04E4F59C7141F61AA96A41998C4A56042F194DB33216B3C42FD30B539BA38

4. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.5:0ixdcJ7A@7/6-4-Leading-Guiding-and-Motivating-Others

5. Schermerhorn, J. R., & Wright, B. (2014). *Management: Third Canadian Edition*. John Wiley & Sons Canada

6. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). Retrieved from https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:aA5GEBzM@5/17-3-Types-of-Plans

7. Judge, T. A., & Bono, J. E. (2000). Five-factor model of personality and transformational leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology 85*(5), 751-765. https://psycnet.apa.org/fulltext/2000-03966-007.pdf

8. Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books

9. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:QjpSSVUM@6/13-8-Transformational-Visionary-and-Charismatic-Leadership

10. Kirkpatrick, S. A., & Locke, E. A. (1991). Leadership: Do traits matter? *Academy of Management Executive 5*(2), 48-60. https://sites.fas.harvard.edu/~soc186/AssignedReadings/Kirkpatrick-Traits.pdf

11. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:HUc6el9I@6/13-5-Behavioral-Approaches-to-Leadership

12. Pfeffer, J. (2010). Building sustainable organizations: The human factor. *Academy of Management Perspectives, February*. 1-27. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46479660_Building_Sustainable_Organizations_The_Human_Factor/link/56cbb52f08ae96cdd06fd53a/download

13. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/TgI3H6iq@8.5:0ixdcJ7A@7/6-4-Leading-Guiding-and-Motivating-Others

14. Li, C., Bao, L,, & Jiang, Q. (2013). Leadership styles of entrepreneurial women in eastern China: Characteristics and differences. *Social Behavior and Personality, 41*(3), 421-432. https://search.proquest.com/docview/1681254193/fulltext/40E2189BCA984609PQ/1?accountid=14789

15. Suryani, A. O., Fons, J. R., Ype, H. P., & Setiadi, B. N. (2012). Indonesian leadership styles: A mixed-methods approach. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 15*,

290-303. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1467-839X.2012.01384.x

16. Van Eden, R., Cilliers, F., & can Deventer, V. (2008). Leadership sytles and associated personality traits: Support for the conceptualisation of transactional and transformational leadership. *South African Journal of Psychology, 38*(2), 253-267. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/008124630803800201

17. Schneider, A., & Schroder, T. (2012). Ideal types of leadership as patterns of affective meaning: A cross-cultural and over-time perspective. *Social Psychology Quarterly, 75*(30), 268-287. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0190272512446755

18. Williams, G., & Miller, R. (2002). Change the way you persuade. Harvard Business Review, 80, 64-73. https://cdn.fedweb.org/fed-35/2/Change%2520the%2520Way%2520you%2520Persuade.pdf

19. DuBois, M., Hanlon, J., Koch, J., Nytauga, B., & Kerr, N. (2015). Leadership styles of effective project managers: Techniques and traits to lead high performance teams. *Journal of Economic Development, IT, Finance and Marketing, 7*(1), 30-46. https://search.proquest.com/docview/1504173238/fulltextPDF/FF6E39B1321E4FD3PQ/1?accountid=14789

PART 2: CONCEPTUALIZING LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS

Conceptualizing leadership and management within learning organizations is about seeing ones placement within a learning organization, and reflecting on the ability of members within an organization. The second part of our four part journey brings us deeper into organizations to bring an authentic understanding through entrepreneurial spirit, ethical and moral implications, and epistemological principles to develop a leadership-and-management-in-practice framework.

*Pictured is **Juliette Powell**, former Miss Canadian, entrepreneur, author, and economics graduate from the University of Toronto speaking at forum hosted by The Economist in 2012. Image: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Juliette_Powell_in_2012_(cropped).jpg*

Senge concludes that action is critical, and conceptualizing action is vital to understanding leadership in learning organization. We hope to provide this actionable content in the upcoming chapters.

CHAPTER 4: ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT

Kevin Rempel *is a Canadian sledge hockey player and Olympic medalist. Off the ice he is known for his successful venture "The Sledge Hockey Experience" which gives all individuals, regardless of ability, experience in the sport of sledge hockey."[KevinRempel.jpg"](#) by Connormah is licensed under [CC BY-SA 3.0](#)*

Those who have the drive to start their own businesses have

the key word when it comes to entrepreneurship: drive! Entrepreneurs must be willing to take a great gamble, not only financially, but socially and psychologically as well. Although for some entrepreneurs, it seems like a difficult endeavour, while others see it as extremely rewarding. This chapter will unpack the world of entrepreneurship by discussing some risks and rewards to entrepreneurship and how to manage a small business. It will outline the similarities and differences between entrepreneurs and small business owners. Finally, social entrepreneurship and how it all fits within the world of a learning organization will be examined.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Define entrepreneurship and the different types of entrepreneurs.
2. Decipher the risks and rewards of being an entrepreneur.
3. Define what a small business is, and its effectiveness in a marketplace.
4. Clearly define the similarities and differences between small business owners, and entrepreneurs.
5. Define the concept of social entrepreneurship, and how these concepts relate to the learning organization.

Chapter Tips:

- Relate the concepts of the learning organization to all facets of entrepreneurship.
- Focus on the understanding and meaning of the concepts of social entrepreneurship.

4.1 Entrepreneurship

The definition of an entrepreneur can be vague in many cases. The most succinct definition of an **entrepreneur** is someone with vision, drive, and creativity, who is committed to taking on risks to start a business for profit[1]. Entrepreneurs can not rest on their laurels, because, at the start, they have to be the brain, the heart, the soul, and the central nervous system of their business venture, diving into every aspect of their company, and being knowledgeable in every aspect of the operation. Below is a list of 12 questions entrepreneurs should ask themselves.[1]:

1. What is new and novel about your idea? Are you solving a problem or need?
2. Are there similar products/services out there? If so, what makes yours better?
3. Who is your target market? How many people would use your product or service?
4. Have you talked with potential customers to get their feedback? Would they buy your product?
5. What about production costs? How much do you think the market will pay?
6. How defensible is the concept? Is there good intellectual property?
7. Is this innovation strategic to my business?
8. Is the innovation easy to communicate?
9. How might this product evolve over time? Would it be possible to expand it into a product line?
10. Where would someone buy this product or service?
11. How will the product/service be marketed? What are the costs to sell and market it?
12. What are the challenges involved in developing this product or service?

One question about entrepreneurship is, how is small-business success integral to learning organizations?

Within entrepreneurship, there are three specific types of entrepreneurs:

- Classic
- Multipreneurs
- Intrapreneurs

The inherent drive to start a business based on an original idea (invention or innovation) is the definition of a **classic entrepreneur**. This can be broken down into subsections of **micropreneurs** and **macroprensuers** or **growth-orientated entrepreneurs**[1]. Each one has different traits associated with their eventual goals, but the fact that they started a business based on an original idea remains.

Micropreneurs	Macropreneurs
• Based off of an original idea. • Start small and plan to stay small. • Business based on personal satisfaction and lifestyle.	• Based off of an original idea. • Start small and grow to a major corporation. • Based on personal satisfaction, and pursuing profit.



*Tech Entrepreneur and 2020 U.S. presidential candidate, **Andrew Yang,** discusses urban entrepreneurship at a conference in Detroit, Michigan. Image by: Asa Mathat for Techonomy, This work is free and may be used by anyone for any purpose. If you wish to use this content, you do not need to request permission as long as you follow any licensing requirements mentioned on this page. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Andrew_Yang_talking_about_urban_entrepreneurship_at_Techonomy_Conference_2015_in_Detroit,_MI.jpg*

The **multipreneur** is someone who starts a series of companies[1]. The goal of a multipreneur is to incorporate a host of businesses and sell them to other larger companies. One example would be an entrepreneur who creates many apps to sell to companies such as Apple or Google. Multipreneurs are always looking for fresh, innovative ways to build their companies.

The intrapreneur applies the same drive, vision, passion, and creativity into projects; they just do not have their own company. **Intrapreneurs** enjoy the freedom to develop new products and ideas, under the salary guidance of their employer[1], most commonly a large corporation. Although

being paid by a higher entity, intrapreneaurs have a host of freedom to work within their constraints, but take less personal risks on the financial end[1].

Entrepreneurship

The concept of entrepreneurship is having vision, drive, and creativity. Similar concepts are found in leaders who are in learning organizations to foster learning, and develop these traits in their subordinates (example: teachers and students). Therefore, leaders within a college setting who start their own initiative through drive, passion, creativity and risk would be considered entrepreneurs in many aspects. The main take-away is that the characteristics that are present in entrepreneurs are also common within the framework of leaders and managers in learning organizations.

Entrepreneurs	Leaders and Managers in Learning Organizations
• **Creativity**: In order to compete, entrepreneurs must be creative in developing an original product. • **Risk Taking**: mostly incurring financial risk when starting their company. • **Self-Motivated**: individually ambitious to see their company as successful	• **Creativity**: must be creative to foster a rich learning experience. • **Risk Taking**: Incurring risk of a project or procedure to ensure success for members within the learning organization. • **Self-Motivated**: ambitious to see their subordinates successful in their endeavours.

Figure 1: Similarities Between Entrepreneurs and Leaders and Managers within Learning Organizations.

Ultimately, successful entrepreneurs are the ones who are working when the rest of the employees are at home. They are the ones who open the shop in the morning and close the shop at night. In many ways, the individual entrepreneur is the company[1]; you see the individual characteristics, motivation and passion within the company and culture.

Review Questions:

1. What is the an entrepreneur?
2. What is the significant difference between micropreneurs and macropreneurs?
3. What are some similarities and differences between the idea of entrepreneurship in the corporate world and the learning organization?

4.2 The Risks and Rewards of Entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurs take on risk and manages it for success. Many times we hear of the successful entrepreneur, especially within mainstream media, however we rarely hear of the entrepreneur who crashes and burns[2]. This fosters a cloudy view on what entrepreneurship really is and what is needed to be successful.

To give some context, business expert Patrick Henry states, "75% of entrepreneurship venture-backed startups fail… the failure rate of all U.S. companies after five years is over 50%, and over 70% after ten years"[2] (see Figure 2). This demonstrates how challenging it is to start a business, especially for entrepreneurs who place everything they have in their their ventures. So, why do upstarts take on such a challenge in the first place, aside from drive, motivation, and creativity? It is because these people are interesting and have a deep story behind why they got started. These are the same things that get investors excited on the basis of innovation, creativity, and a new way to help people within society. The old adage of 'high-risk, high-reward' perfectly encompasses the life of an entrepreneur, because financially there is a risk, but does the financial risk outweigh the emotional and innovative rewards the venture can produce?



Figure 2: *United States Business and Labor Statistics: Establishment Survival. Source: https://www.bls.gov/bdm/entrepreneurship/entrepreneurship.htm.* *Chart data*.

There is much talk on how risk and reward are exemplified within start-up organizations. As an entrepreneur, it is important to be reflective when looking at the company, and the people who work with you. Certainly, drive could be forceful because of the massive success that is desired, but the humble actor may be a more useful tool. This relates to the concept of **entrepreneurial culture**, which is a mixture of personality and management identified by those who create not only the business, but the culture that surrounds it[2]. Even if the company becomes successful, it is important for the organization to grow, change its structure, but keep the same moral and ethical framework it started with. This can help with retention of key employees.

Within modern learning organizations, there is an entrepreneurship culture of creativity and innovation that helps people within society in the form of information and communication technology. **Information and communication**

technology (ICT) is a new tool being used in many educational circles through the use of technology (i.e. computers and Internet) to develop a sense of deep learning for students. Educators who embrace the ICT model want to enhance learning ability, creativity, and innovation of their students by supporting different sensory goals through multi-media visualizations and technological representations[3].

Embracing the culture of entrepreneurship within the learning organization is beneficial not only for the success of the leader or manager, but also for the success of students and other members.. Moving forward, the prediction that the entrepreneurial culture being used in more and more modern learning organizations seems like a clear trend.

Entrepreneurial culture within a learning organization allows an individual to be holistically connected to the venture they decide to undertake. Using the aspects of experience to guide an individuals culture, along with socio-economic and attitudinal factors [4] have an adverse impact on starting an entrepreneurial adventure. Reflecting on the experience and the sociological impact one has to start a venture are paramount to the culture that an organization adopts.

Review Questions:

1. According to Patrick Henry, what is the rate of failure for venture-backed startups after 5 years, 10 years?
2. What is entrepreneurial culture?
3. how would embracing a entrepreneurial culture be beneficial for leaders in a learning

organization?

4.3 Small Business and Entrepreneurship: Similarities and Differences

What characteristics does a small business have? Are they physical, such as a garage, or a table with lemonade? Perhaps it is small in economic terms, with simple book-keeping, such as selling hummels online for $5 apiece. Perhaps small is two people staying up all night with tools and wiring trying to build a machine the world will eventually not be able to live without. Regardless of how you small businesses are viewed, they carry many different characteristics.

Small businesses represent a large portion of Canadian economic output and are defined by many physical, economic, social, and geographic characteristics. Physical is the physical space (a small office building), economic is the annual revenue (see Figure 3)[5] *[6], social is the employee makeup (1-99 employees in Canada is considered a small business)[7] (see Figure 4), and geographical is location (commonly located in small towns and small markets).

According to Statistics Canada Key Small Business Statistics – January 2019	
Number of Small Businesses (2017)	1,152,769
Contribution to GDP (2017)	38.4%
Real Gross Domestic Product (2017)*	1.86 Trillion
Equation: 1,860,000,000,000 x .384 = $714,240,000,000 $714,240,000,000 / 1,152,769 = $619,586 ***Therefore: The average economic revenue of a small business in Canada is $620,000 rounded annually.***	

Figure 3: Key Small Business Statistics, January 2019. *(Source: Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada: Small Business Branch, Government of Canada.) Long description.*



***Figure 4**: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey and ISED Calculations. Source: https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/061.nsf/eng/h_03090.html#figure7.*

One factor that is present in a variety of small businesses today is their willingness to look globally[8]. Although their geographic location keeps them grounded physically, their outlook and their business model lends itself to a world-wide approach. This relates to the learning organization in ways that leaders and managers will look for big change outside of the walls and beyond geographical limitations. Similarly to how many small companies will export their entrepreneurial products to other areas of the world, leaders in learning organizations will use the entrepreneurial attitude to export holistic knowledge internationally.

This leads to the similarities and differences between a small business owner and an entrepreneur. Along with the classical characteristics of each, this contrast will develop an understanding of its relevance inside a learning organization. To better understand these similarities and differences, see the Venn diagram in Figure 3.



Figure 5: Differences and Similarities between Entrepreneurs and Small-Business Owners. Long description.

The best way to look at this is to use the comparison of a book store owner and Jeff Bezos[9]. The book store owner buys and sells books for a profit, and maintains an effective model of selling physical books; whereas Bezos created a new model called web-based book-selling[9] which is an effective, fresh, and innovative way to sell books.

How this relates to the learning organization is the fact that there is a variety of different ways to lead individuals in fostering knowledge. An entrepreneurial approach would create a fresh and innovative way to change epistemological reasoning, and grow the knowledge of individuals. On the other hand, an existing epistemological concept could be used to maintain a knowledge base, which is a theoretical concept much like a small-business owner. Regardless of the design, it is important to keep a set of inherent values in keeping with the middle slice of the Venn diagram in Figure 3. The values must balance the two worlds effectively:

- Creating companies for the general public = Using wholesome knowledge for the learners
- Drive for profit = Drive for developing deep and critical thinking
- Effective business modeling = Effective learning theories to develop learners.

Learning organizations can utilize the ideas from small businesses and entrepreneurs to develop an ethical framework that would serve as a personal guide to amplify epistemological knowledge.

Review Questions:

1. What are some relationships between entrepreneurs and small business owners?
2. What are some similarities between entrepreneurial values and values within the learning organization?

4.4 Social Entrepreneurship

The Deshpande Center for Social Entrepreneurship *located in Karnataka, India builds solutions to help people develop strategies for an entrepreneurial profile, while practicing sustainability. This file is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International license. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Deshpande_Center_for_Social_Entrepreneurship.jpg*

Overall, the different trends of entrepreneurship are changing in the twenty-first century. There are two significant ones happening globally that relate to the concept of social entrepreneurship. **Social entrepreneurship** is defined by J. Gregory Dees as "adopting a mission to create and sustain social value (not just private value) [and] recognizing and relentlessly pursuing new opportunities to serve that mission"[10]. Using this definition, some global trends that impact the concept of social entrepreneurship can be examined.

Market Expansion

The expansive growth in markets develops the need for increasing the areas of world trade and scaling beyond backyards[11]. Social entrepreneurship must maintain a level of social value when dealing on a global scale. This relates not only to company standards and products, but also to relationships with people.

Resource Acquisition

With growth in the marketplace comes the need for resources. These resources can be labour, materials, technology, or capital[11]. Social entrepreneurship develops a sense of served accountability for constituencies[12] as described by Dees. Members must be resource aware, practicing the old economic model of scarcity to understand that resources can be finite, and managing them is important not just for the socially entrepreneurial company, but also for the socially conscious public.

Relating Social Entrepreneurship to the Learning Organization

The key point of social entrepreneurship in relation to the learning organization is to understand the need for moral and ethics within them to maintain a socially conscious business model. The teaching of knowledge should benefit the learner within n educational institution, as it makes way for a better society, and that learning must be done for good, not for

malicious intent. Ideally, inside a school, it is important to use the same entrepreneurial spirit that strives for success. Using that drive, vision, and creativity will develop a thoughtful and effective learning experience for learners. When discussing social entrepreneurship, we can also relate this to social innovation. The ability to maximize profits with business innovation is similar to social innovation, however the maximizing objectives related to social purposes[13]. This will develop learners to be more socially aware and confident to face complex challenges in a globalized world.

Activity: Case Study

- Read the case study, "Teach for All: Designing a Global Network."
- Identify some topics for discussion and place them in the text box at the bottom of the page.
- Consider engaging in a collaborative model in order to develop well-rounded answers.

Source: Yale School of Management, Case Study# 11-013, https://vol10.cases.som.yale.edu/teach-all/introduction/teach-all-designing-global-network

Teach for All: Designing a Global Network

By its November 2011 annual conference in Mumbai, Teach For All's network consisted of 23 national partner

organizations. Network members came from all over the globe, representing an eclectic group of countries. From Estonia, with a population of 1.3 million and near universal literacy, to India, with more than 900 times more people than Estonia and only 75% literacy, the one thing that united the diverse network was a commitment to building an organization similar to Teach For America in their respective countries.

Teach For America was the brainchild of Wendy Kopp. In her senior thesis at Princeton in 1989, Kopp outlined a plan to attack educational inequality in the United States by recruiting top college graduates to teach for two years in underperforming schools. While the initiative had a low retention rate among teachers, Kopp argued that program alumni would become engaged advocates for educational improvement.

Kopp's plan succeeded beyond her expectations. Within a decade, the Teach For America corps had become one of the most sought-after employers for bright college graduates from the nation's top universities. In just two decades of operation, Teach For America had placed more 30,000 teachers in underperforming schools around the country, and had built an alumni group that influenced the nation's educational policy. The concept also proved to work outside the United States. In 2002, Brett Wigdortz, a former McKinsey consultant, founded Teach First in the United Kingdom. Teach First had strong support from British government, and by 2011, the organization

had placed more than 2,500 teachers in schools across England.

Kopp and Wigdortz believed a global group could be founded to help others interested in the model. With the success of their respective organizations, the duo became magnets for social entrepreneurs from around the globe who wanted to import the model to their own countries. They also imagined that a global organization which enabled everyone to learn from each other could be of value to each participant.

After securing funding to build a global network, Kopp and Wigdortz announced the formation of Teach For All at the Clinton Global Summit in 2007. Teach For All was to be a coordinating organization, giving each national organization the autonomy over its own affairs. As these participating national organizations (other than Teach For America and Teach First) were just beginning, Teach For All was a start-up supporting other start-ups on a global scale. It was an unprecedented situation, and one of the key tasks for leadership was delineating a strategic framework that would guide the central organization and its partners. As such, leadership defined the organization's "theory of the problem" and "theory of change" and then – over many months and with considerable consultation – refined a set of unifying principles and core values.

Operationally, leadership also wrestled with creating an identity for the network and an organizational structure to engage its partners. Teach For All committed to providing on-the-ground support to the newly formed national organizations for two years. As a result, the organization created the Partner

Engagement Director (PED) position and hired employees to dispatch to the nascent partner organizations. For the inexperienced social entrepreneurs running these organizations, the PEDs provided valuable counsel for avoiding and solving problems that could have derailed their organizations' early efforts. And after two years of this on-the-ground approach, Teach For All's support would evolve to offer other avenues of guidance for its partners.

Since its founding, Teach For All has gained valuable experience and built a solid team. But as the partners and the staff gathered in Mumbai in 2011, there was much reflection on where the organization would go next. The experience in the field had demonstrated the attractiveness of the underlying strategic framework. However, the legal, cultural, and economic variation among participating countries meant the educational terrain would look different for each partner, often resulting in local adaptations of the framework. But how much adaption was too much? How should these local idiosyncrasies influence the selection of national partners? How should PEDs deal with the issues of alignment between partners and the strategic framework? And how should organizations measure impact?

The staff and the partner organizations also had to consider the future. Once national organizations were established, how would their needs change? How would Teach For All adapt to meet the demands of a maturing and growing network? Could Teach For All establish centralized services to provide to partner

organizations? Should Teach For All charge its partners for these services? Would network partners be able to overcome time constraints in order to contribute to the collective knowledge sharing that helped accelerate partner impact across the network?

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=107#h5p-6

4.5 Entrepreneurial Diversity

What can we learn about entrepreneurship within a learning organization? First, we can learn that in order to be driven towards a cause, we must also be driven towards making a change. When we talk about diversity paired with an entrepreneurial spirit, we look beyond the physical aspects of race, gender, and physical makeup, rather reflect a deeper meaning of race, gender and physical makeup. When looking at entrepreneurial diversity we look at the experiential and emotional factors that make up that drive and passion towards a venture. Studies in Germany and the United Kingdom reflect that different experiences within cultural diversity have a positive impact on with technological innovative startups [14], and that experiential knowledge over time evolves throughout the course of work [15]. What this says is that different experiences produce a positive impact on entrepreneurial learning within organizations, embracing the stories and lenses of a different worldview.

If we look at female entrepreneurs, we find through a study in Slovenia that females bring a different lens of experience to the entrepreneurial space, implicating a need to bridge the divide of a gender gap with work experience [16]. Reflecting the concepts of different experiences from men and women as an example reflects that work still needs to be done to bridge these divides as a lesson in understanding past experiences with the different genders towards a greater understanding.

Leaders and managers within learning organizations may create a precedence to introduce diverse aspects within their entrepreneurial studies. With a new generation of learners and entrepreneurial spirits, introduction of student-led, and experiential-led entrepreneurship clubs will help embrace their entrepreneurial spirit. Pittaway, Rodriguez-Falcon, Aiyegbago, and King conclude student-led learning groups enhance the motivation and drive towards entrepreneurial learning embracing a deep entrepreneurial spirit [17]. When we

structure the learning around the students for them to guide their learning, we can create the diverse entrepreneurial atmosphere we strive towards with entrepreneurial diversity.

Chapter Conclusion

In this chapter, the general understanding of entrepreneurship, small-businesses, global impacts, and how they all relate to the learning organization was discussed.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Entrepreneurship is the drive, vision, and creative aspects to start a business for profit. Three types of entrepreneurs are classic, multipreneurs, and intrapreneurs.
2. The main concept is that the risks lead to the rewards within entrepreneurial ventures. Keeping an entrepreneurial culture helps with the overall rewards of a venture.
3. Small business have many characteristics economically, physically and socially. In addition they create an effective impact on a country's marketplace.
4. Entrepreneurs create and drive; small-business owners inherit and maintain.
5. Understanding the entrepreneurial spirit in a social marketplace develops a leader in a learning organization to create innovative ways of teaching within a strong ethical framework.

The next chapter will continue with this theme of ethics and morality and to discuss the effects of ethics within a learning organization, especially in the form of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).

1. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.5:pEdkag9F@8/5-1-Entrepreneurship-Today

2. OpexStax, *Business Ethics* (2019, May 6). https://cnx.org/contents/kUrGbuHs@4.2:oTV_i1Gj@3/9-1-Entrepreneurship-and-Start-Up-Culture

3. Ala-Mutka, K., Punie, Y., & Redecker, C. (2008). ICT for learning, innovation and creativity. *European Mission: Joint Research Center,* JRC48707. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Christine_Redecker/publication/239615297_ICT_for_Learning_Innovation_and_Creativity/links/00b7d522de0a9e2e79000000.pdf

4. Nair, K.R.G., & Pandey, A. (2006). Characteristics of entrepreneurs: An empirical analysis. *The Journal of Entrepreneurship,* *15*(1), 47-85. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/097135570501500104

5. Statistics Canada. (2019). *Key small business statistics – January 2019*. https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/061.nsf/eng/h_03090.html#point5-1

6. Statistics Canada. (2018). *Table 2 – Real gross domestic product by expenditure account, quarterly change – Seasonally adjusted at annual rates, chained 2007 dollars*. CANSIM 380-0064. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/180302/t002a-eng.htm

7. Statistics Canada. (2019). *Key small business statistics – January 2019*. https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/061.nsf/eng/h_03090.html#point5-1

8. OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:o4eXYdps@6/7-5-Managing-a-Small-Business

9. OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:zJQLkXIw@7/7-1-Entrepreneurship

10. Dees, J. G. (1998). The meaning of "social entrepreneurship". *Kauffman Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership, Ewing Marrion Kauffman Foundation*, p. 4. http://www.redalmarza.cl/ing/pdf/TheMeaningofsocialEntrepreneurship.pdf

11. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/TgI3H6iq@8.5:FH0A0oCX@7/3-9-Trends-in-Global-Competition

12. Dees, J. G. (1998). The meaning of "social entrepreneurship". *Kauffman Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership, Ewing Marrion Kauffman Foundation*. http://www.redalmarza.cl/ing/pdf/TheMeaningofsocialEntrepreneurship.pdf

13. González, I. L. (2018). E in social innovation ecosystem at the University of Windsor. *University of Windsor Entrepreneurship Practice and Innovation Centre*.

14. Audretsch, D., Dohse, D., and Niebuhr, A. (2010). Cultural diversity and entrepreneurship: A regional analysis for Germany. The Annals of Regional Science, 45(1), 55-85. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00168-009-0291-x.pdf

15. Higgins, D. and Elliott, C. (2011). Learning to make sense: What works in entrepreneurial education? *Journal of European Industrial Training, 35*(4), 345-367.

https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/03090591111128324/full/pdf?title=learning-to-make-sense-what-works-in-entrepreneurial-education

16. Sirec, K., and Mocnik, D. (2012). Gender specifics in entrepreneurs' personal characteristics. *Journal for East European Management Studies, 17*(1), 11-39. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/23281742.pdf

17. Pittaway, L., Rodriguez-Falcon, E., Aiyegbayo, O., and King, A. (2010). The role of entrepreneurship clubs and societies in entrepreneurial learning. *International Small Business Journal, 29*(1), 37-57. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0266242610369876

CHAPTER 5: ETHICS, SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY, AND SUSTAINABILITY

In this chapter, the meaning of ethics and morality in the framework of organizations will be discussed. Many similarities will be found from other business ethics' classes, or normative ethics' classes offered at a college or university. However, this text will delve deeper to understand the need for ethics within a learning organization. Furthermore, the concept of corporate social responsibility (CSR), how CSR fits in a modern landscape, and the need for sustainability in organizations will be examined.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Describe and contextualize the definition of ethics, normative ethics, and understand classical ethical concepts.
2. Define business ethics, ethical leadership, and how these fit within learning organizations.
3. Define Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and how a modern view of CSR is relevant in today's learning organizations.
4. Define how global organizations develop and maintain sustainable initiatives.

Chapter Tips:

- What are some ethical questions that are raised in this chapter?
- How do you relate your personal experience to the ethical discussions in the chapter?

Keep in mind ethics and its relationship to a global outlook.

5.1 What is Ethics?

The word "ethics," or when someone is described as "ethical" follows the idea of doing what is good or right. **Ethics** is the code of moral principles that set standards of good or bad, right or wrong, in a person's conduct[1]. In addition, ethics and morality help people make the right decisions in a variety of different, sometimes difficult, circumstances. The idea of moral principles connecting what is right and what is wrong reflects how an individual *should* act. The field of ethics, in relation to how one *ought* and *should* act, is called **normative ethics** 2. Normative ethics, in many ways, relates to objectivity. For example, normative ethics teaches the objective truth that human beings have absolute natural rights (i.e. to exist), along with freedom and autonomy. Within organizations common ethical ideals are formed with maintaining a balance of ethical mindfulness. Balancing the amoral leadership with the moral leadership holds the leadership impact of ethical mindfulness.

With this information, the study of ethics may not be as black and white as it seems. Ethics is very much a grey area given the amount of ethical concepts that are available. For the benefit of understanding ethics within a learning organization, there are four ethical concepts that find their place within organizations. The concepts are: justice, utilitarianism, deontology, and human rights.

Justice

Ethical **justice** relates to what is fair according to the prevailing standards in society[3]. For example, in a just society, using just standards a business deal of $1000 for incomplete work would stipulate a $1000 refund. Something unjust would be having to pay more money, or that the person who initially took $1000 for the job would now spend the rest of her or his life in prison.

Essentially, justice finds the middle ground, moving away from the extremes of what is right and wrong in society.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=118#oembed-1

Utilitarianism

Similar to justice, utilitarianism has a strong hold in society's prevailing standards. **Utilitarianism** is the concept that people should act, and decisions should be made, to reflect the greater good for the greatest number of people[3]. This is where ethics can be cloudy, given that the greater good, in some cases can be completely objective. For example, a university with a highly-successful football program approves funding for a new multi-purpose field. With this, some funding is now taken away from academic departments.

The pros for the greater number would be seen in the football team, other sports teams who benefit from the new field being built (i.e. soccer, track and field, and field hockey), students who go to the games, and the community outside of the learning organization who watch the sports. In addition, some academic departments would also benefit (faculty of human kinetics, and to an extent, faculty of business) but most academic departments would be upset that money is going to a new field that does not offer them any advantages. The departments who do not profit would say that this is unjust. A utilitarian would counter that while it might not fit the best

interest of the academic departments, it is in the interest of the students who are both members of the academic departments and patrons of football games and other sports. Also, it helps the community, because the university is a public institution. Utilitarianism can be viewed as a pros-and-cons checklist to decide what is in the best interest for the greater good.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=118#oembed-2

Deontology

As humans, we do not carry a list around of specific rules to make sure and that we are doing well. **Deontology** states that ethical individuals will meet their duties as it is obligated by society[3]. How do we keep this checklist in tact? For example,

as a student your duty is to show up for class on time. However, on one specific day at the same time as your class, you have jury duty. On one hand, you have a duty to your professor, and your classmates to be in class on time. On the other hand, you have a duty to society to be a peer on a jury. This presents a dilemma in the check mark on our list should we decide it is more important. It can be argued being a good student, although not producing immediate benefits for society like jury duty, produces long-term benefits for society, in being a well-educated community member.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=118#oembed-3

Human Rights

In society, humans have rights that surpass many external situations. The concept of **human rights** follows that the human race has rights to live, to freedom, and the pursuit of happiness[3]. Again, this can be a grey area considering that the human race is not the only race on the planet. Using another example, say all people feel a balanced diet of meat, vegetables, and water are paramount to their rights to live, be free, and pursue happiness. Of course, humans need to eat to survive, but what about animal rights? What about the laws of other living organisms? What about the effect of water loss for other living organisms or the environment? Day-to-day, we

essentially do not think about these things as we are the main beneficiaries of the human rights' ethic. Essentially, that idea substantiates us, as we have the cognitive ability to think or not to think about ethics. A head of lettuce does not philosophically synthesize its place in the universe.

Ultimately, most ethical concepts produce a thesis and antithesis to their understandings. This brings the objective into the subjective, and produces an **ethical dilemma**. An ethical dilemma is a situation that requires an ethical choice for personal, organizational, or societal benefit. In this situation, after hearing the two sides, you may conclude that one side is more ethical, both sides are ethical, or neither side is ethical. The main idea is that the situation or dilemma is presented and worked through a thoughtful conclusion. One way to develop a guide to manage ethical dilemmas is to use the six pillars of character.

1. Trustworthiness
2. Respect
3. Responsibility

4. Fairness
5. Caring
6. Citizenship

Review Questions:

1. What are the four ethical concepts presented?
2. What are the key aspects of utilitarianism?
3. What are some challenges presented when faced with an ethical dilemma?

5.2 What is Business Ethics?

After learning about normative ethics and the ideas about how we should and ought to live, business ethics are how we should and ought to conduct business fairly. More succinctly, **business ethics** is an applied ethics that focuses on real-world situations in the environment where transactions occur[4]. Without ethics in business, there would be no concept of organizational fairness. This leads to **ethical leadership,** which is the enacting of ethical values central to organizational alignment[4]. Being an ethical leader and the meaning of leadership are closely intertwined, given the ability to influence others. It usually depends on a level of morality and trustworthiness. Figure 1 shows the strategic organizational alignment of ethical leadership in relation to three main factors: vision, mission, and values[5].



Figure 1: Strategic Organizational Alignment. *(Source: Joseph W. Weiss, 2014. In OpenStax, Principles of Management).* *Long description*.

This develops an interesting question relating to the nature of ethics within the business world. As Taft and White conclude that ethics are primarily influences by social relationships as

people move from an individual mindset to an organizational mindset[6]. However, Fallding presents an empirical observation of ethics find inherent values that are found in evolutionary beings[7]. Using a global framework, are we able to clearly conceptualize a concise formula for ethics in the organizational world?

One of the main questions within the world of business ethics is how to spot unethical activities. Once spotted, how are they effectively ratified and prevented from happening again? Researchers at Brigham Young University developed eleven categories in which business practices fail[8]:

1. Taking something that does not belong to you
2. Saying things that are not true
3. Giving or allowing false impressions
4. Buying influence or engaging in a conflict of interest
5. Hiding or divulging information
6. Taking unfair advantage
7. Committing improper personal behavior
8. Abusing power, or mistreating individuals
9. Permitting organizational abuse
10. Violating rules
11. Condoning unethical actions

Moving into a learning organization, these categories represent common ethical dilemmas. Five of these include: discrimination (#8 abusing power, or mistreating individuals), sexual harassment (#8 abusing power, or mistreating individuals), conflicts of interest (#4 buying influence or engaging in conflict of interest), customer confidence (#5 Hiding or divulging information), and organizational resources (#1, #9, or #10 could fit in here). It is important to be vigilant when dealing with these unethical problems in the workplace. A checklist of the ethical decision-making process to ensure the best result should be created[8].



[Long description](#).

With engaging in this checklist, it is important to be sure to understand the situation when making an ethical decision. It

is important to be aware of the cultural, industry and ethical norms that are prevalent within the organization.

Understanding the ethical culture of an organization is key to ethical decision-making. One area where this is relevant is the role of a **whistle-blower**, an individual who exposes the misdeeds of others in an organization in order to preserve ethical standards and protect against further wasteful, harmful or illegal acts[9]. Whistle-blowers are regarded as great actors of ethics, given the risks that they take for their personal and professional well-being. Once a whistle-blower comes out against a company, there is some legal protection, but the protection is limited, and usually leads to the whistle-blowers being fired from their jobs. In many ways, becoming a whistle-blower is a personal ethical dilemma in of itself.

Moving forwards, what are some actions that can be undertaken to ensure ethical actions take place? Here are the many ways organizations can influence ethical conduct and proper business ethics[10]:

1. **Leading by Example** – Establishing proper patterns of behavior as a leader to pass onto your staff.
2. **Offer Ethics Training Programs** – According to a recent survey of the Ethics Research Center, more than 80% of American companies provide some form of ethics' training for employees[11].
3. **Establish a Formal Code of Ethics** – A piece of organizational legislature which provides employees with knowledge and of expectations, responsibilities, and behaviors toward fellow employees, customers, and suppliers. You can visit the code of ethics for the teaching profession by the Ontario College of Teachers[12].

4. **Making the Right Decision** – Refer back to the ethical checklist to ensure the proper procedures, follow up , and action is being taken.

Activity: Ethical Dilemma Case Study

- Read the case study.
- Complete the three questions below.
- Feel free to share with a fellow classmate or during an in-class discussion.

Source: *Classroom Exercise: Air Force Cheating Scandal Reflects Negatively on Organizational Culture*. Retrieved from https://danielsethics.mgt.unm.edu/pdf/air-force-classroom-exercise.docx

Air Force Case Study:

The first culprits people think of when they hear of cheating on a test are students. When the Air Force cheating scandal was brought to light, it would not be unreasonable to assume that it occurred in the Air Force Academy. However, the cheating scandal shocked many because it did not involve students or a school. Instead, the cheating occurred among a group of Air Force officers at Malmstrom Air Force base in Montana.

This scandal is one of several that have rocked the Air Force in recent years. In 2008 the chief of staff of the Air Force and a secretary were fired after failing to properly oversee a nuclear mission. In another incident, a general of the Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles force was terminated after an incident involving drinking.

The cheating scandal itself has come to light because of an investigation into illegal drug use among 11 officers across six bases. Two of the officers under the drug investigation were implicated in the cheating scandal.

The cheating scandal involved a monthly exam to test the officers' proficiency in areas such as safety and launch protocol. It was estimated that the event took place in August or September in 2013 and involved a whopping 92 officers out of 190 crew members at the Montana air force base. This represents 20 percent of the work force, thought to be the largest scandal in Air Force history. An initial investigation revealed that one of the officers texted the answers to a proficiency exam to 16 others. Further investigation revealed that 17 other officers knew about the cheating but failed to report it. Altogether the scandal involved captains, lieutenants, and junior officers at the base.

The bad news continued to increase. Later investigations revealed that as many as 92 officers were involved in the scandal—nearly half of the crew members at the base. The officers were suspended and decertified. The Malmstrom Air Force base operates about one-third of the 450 Minuteman III nuclear-tipped intercontinental ballistic missiles. The Air Force maintains that the cheating scandal did not compromise nuclear safety, but the scandal is disturbing nonetheless. As a result of the suspensions and de-certifications, the rest of the officers had to take on extra duties for the time being.

There are signs that all is not well at some of the Air Force bases. While the tests themselves normally have

a 97 percent passing rate, the Associated Press revealed that officers had anonymously intimated that they were tempted to cut corners on these tests. It is also notable that in the spring of 2013, 17 officers were de-certified due to bad performance and bad attitudes. In August, the Malmstrom Air Force base failed a nuclear safety and security inspection but passed in October. Air force officers may have felt pressured to cheat so as to earn high marks.

Ethical conduct in businesses involves individual values, organizational factors, and opportunity. It is important for organizations to have controls in place to limit the opportunity for unethical conduct. It would appear the Air Force did not have the necessary controls to prevent such a widespread cheating scandal. Additional pressure to succeed at the tests and threats of punishment likely created an organizational climate that encouraged cutting corners (as several officers had related to the Associated Press). In another AP revelation, a memo was discovered from a missile operations officer who complained that his force was infested with "rot." This does not reflect well on the organizational climate of the base. With both the opportunity and an organizational culture conducive to misconduct, it remained for the officers to individually determine whether to cut corners. Research has frequently indicated that organizational factors often overcome individual inhibitions when the stakes are high; thus, even if the 34 officers had strong values against cheating, they might have decided to engage in this behavior to avoid negative outcomes.

This leaves the major question of who should be held

responsible. Did the Air Force base create a high-pressure culture? With something as serious as nuclear security involved, high standards must be enforced to ensure the officers are familiar with the issues they must know to do their jobs. However, the Air Force has admitted that its culture could be the problem, and that it might spend too much time looking at test scores rather than at the officer's overall ability. In a statement by the Air Force secretary, she admitted that in her travels to three air force bases, officers had intimated that they felt the need to achieve perfection to be promoted. In other words, they felt pressured to score 100 percent on tests. The breadth of the scandal and officer feedback reveals that the Air Force not only lacked the necessary controls to prevent the misconduct, but also might have a cultural problem that indirectly encouraged officers to do what it took to excel.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=122#h5p-7

5.3 Corporate Social Responsibility

In the modern age, a great deal of importance has been placed on making social changes within companies. **Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)** is a form of self-regulation in which firms take action and make initiatives to help people and the environment[13]. There are two perspectives to corporate social responsibility which are defined as the **classical view,** in which Milton Friedman claims management's only responsibility is to maximize profits[14], and the **socio-economic view,** in which Samuelson claims management must be concerned with the broader social welfare and not just with corporate profits[15]. Regardless of the perspective on how CSR is achieved within an organization, CSR must compile a level of conviction, and a level of compliance in order to be successful in this area.

The reality is that any form of management or leadership requires some form of social responsibility, responsibility to your colleagues, upper management, customers, and vendors. This relates to the idea of the many directions of corporate governance. **Corporate governance** is the different relationships that a manager will have within an organization. **Ethics self-governance** is the performance standards requiring high ethical standards and social responsibility.

One of the most common methods for understanding CSR is to understand the **bottom line,** which relates to the **three p's: profit, people, and planet**[16]. The method of the triple bottom line is used to measure a level of accountability in funding to support ethical, social, and environmental causes. In addition, it is less of just implementing CSR for the sake of implementation; the triple bottom line acts as an empirical measure to ensure CSR initiatives make a difference.

Within the framework of learning organizations, we find the onus of responsibility being placed on institutions of higher learning. One glaring example is the role academic misconduct plays on college and university campuses as Christensen-Hughes and McCabe have cited that a majority of U.S. students

have engaged in some form of academic misconduct [17]. This brings into the ethical dilemma to provide responsible graduates to engage into the corporate world after academics.

Review Questions:

1. What are the steps of the zone of compliance and the zone of conviction?
2. What is the definition of Corporate Social Responsibility?
3. What are the three p's in the triple bottom line?

5.4 Modern Corporate Social Responsibility

In recent years many organizations have made an effort to be more socially and environmentally conscious. "The brewery is very environmentally conscious" by shankars is licensed under CC BY 2.0

In Canada in 2003, the province of Ontario passed bill 198, which made sweeping and significant changes to how provincial companies report financial standing to provide the public with more corporate transparency[18]. This is one of the modern initiatives of engaging in CSR, and since 2003, it has grown. More in the area of environmental responsibility, companies today strive for a sustainable model within their organization. Areas where this is prevalent is in how organizations balance present and future needs, environmental protection, and economic development. There are five key reasons in why companies embrace a sustainable model:

1. Cost Reduction
2. Resource Preservation

3. Legislative Compliance
4. Reputation
5. Right Initiative

More will be discussed in Chapter five section five about the different levels of a sustainable model. The fact remains that organizations in the modern landscape tend to lean toward a sustainable model. There is a key trend that relates to the upholding of a sustainable model: the young, up-and-coming consumers feel social and environmental responsibility are important. A recent survey, conducted by Horizon Media, found that "81% of millennials expect companies to make a public commitment to good corporate citizenship"[19]. In addition, the 2015 Cone Communication survey found that 9 out of 10 millennials would switch brands to one associated with a cause[19]. Even with the recent defunding of many environmental programs (United States being a prime example) companies still follow a sustainable model within their organizations, ensuring that their triple bottom line is met.

Changes in philanthropic measures have also been a factor caused by a rise in CSR. It seems that philanthropy would, in itself, be a socially responsible measure. However, **strategic giving** ties the philanthropy and CSR to the company's mission, vision, values and targeted donations to local areas[20]. Strategic giving brings a global idea of philanthropy and brings it to a community level, meeting a host of social and economic needs with a "think globally, act locally" mentality.

There are challenges when looking at CSR within the context of the global environment. Many multinational corporations must balance challenges, such as respecting local practices and traditions, maintaining positive relationships with the host population, and conflicting interests of stakeholders in the area of human rights[20]. Whether it be a local company, or a large multinational conglomerate, it is best that the organizations

exemplify a level of good conviction and good compliance in order to maintain good ethical status and relationships within a foreign country.



Figure 2: Corporate Social Performance on a Global Level. Long description.

Although CSR is not a complete fix to organizational challenges, or the only factor, in making an organization successful, it is a good first step. Within learning organizations, CSR changes have found its place in the administrative conversation. At the University of Windsor, the Environmental Sustainability Advocacy (ESA) have the goals of making the university a more "ecologically responsible place to work, study, teach, and conduct research"[21]. In a new global marketplace, the CSR trend does not seem to be going away, given the impact it has on many young consumers within the marketplace. In relation to learning organizations, younger professors and administrators are entering the workforce and expanding on the concept of CSR, which will remain strong.

CSR within the workplace also extends beyond the workplace, De Jonge explains the role organizations have with regard to understanding domestic violence and its effect on the organizational structure of a company, and how leaders should deal with this turbulent issue [22]. It must be said that

CSR must be able to deal with large problems at a social and personal level to be effective.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=128#h5p-8

5.5 Sustainability in Global Organizations

A deeper understanding of the sustainable model and how sustainability within a global marketplace is relevant and apparent to success will be described. First, here is a more succinct meaning of the five factors.

Cost Reduction	**Resource Preservation**	**Legislative Compliance**	**R**
Finding more efficient and sustainable means of production.	Using alternative energy methods to produce products. (*Moving from oil and coal to wind and solar*).	Adhering to the laws and regulations of home and host countries. (*Following municipal, state/province, and federal laws with regard to environmental protection and human rights*).	M re ho co m da tr

As previously mentioned, an organization can find itself in a host of challenges when maintaining a sustainable model in the global marketplace. According to Daft, the greater complexity in the environment, the greater chance for ethical problems and misunderstandings[23]. Global organizations can find challenges in maintaining any one of the five areas and must be cognizant of this, especially within the areas of legislative compliance and reputation. Joseph W. Weiss outlines major management and ethical issues inside multi-national corporations in the forms of economic issues (cost reduction), political (legislative/reputation), technological (reputation), social and labor (legislative, reputation, right initiative), and ecological (resource preservation)[24]. Weiss also states that American companies are usually required to assist and add value to local countries. Here is a list of some of these practices[24]:

- Hiring local labor

- Creating new jobs
- Developing particular industry sectors
- Provide business and learning skills
- Helping decrease the countries debt and improve its balance of payments and standard of living.

The goal of these sustainable practices is to maintain a positive relationship with host countries, while in turn, remain relevant and competitive in the global marketplace. The ethical implications are seen here in how to maintain sustainability through the five factors. However, the motivation still remains unclear. An ethical understanding needs to be further explored on the psychological level in that there are companies truly willing to engage in sustainability to do good, or remain relevant in a global marketplace. Essentially, it is the argument of doing "good for goodness" sake, or doing good for the sake of competitive advantage. Regardless of the motivation, the fact that CSR exists and the discussion of ethical frameworks have been beneficial to the advancement of organizations from the industrial revolution to modern times. Within these modern times it is important to understand the ethical frameworks in different countries. The USA, Brazil, United Kingdom, and Canada have similar forms of law reflecting similar forms of guidelines for running a business in a free-market economy[25]. The understanding of different globalized chains with regard to ethical implications is important for a 21st century leader.

This also applies to learning organizations and how ethics is found within them. The freedom to pursue learning, especially in a global environment, is paramount to the success of the learners and leaders within the school. Many educational have been practicing a sustainable model: a model of "think globally and act locally" where leaders use broad globalized concepts and bring them to a community level where their institution is held. Enhancing more sustainable practices starts beyond

the organization, it starts in society with pro-environmental leadership in society[26], and pragmatic results to installing sustainability models in organizations and society[27]. This are beneficial, community steps to tackling a larger global issue.

Review Questions:

1. What are the five factors of sustainability in a global marketplace?
2. What are five practices Joseph Weiss outlines that American companies should do when expanding their corporations in other countries?
3. How do the practices of global sustainability relate to the learning organization?

Chapter Conclusion

This chapter introduced the broad idea of ethics in relation to corporate and learning organizations. Its goal was to provide a general understanding of ethics and how it relates to the everyday procedures found within companies.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Ethics is a code of moral principles that set the standards of right and wrong. Normative ethics is the basis of morality and how one ought and should act. There are four ethical concepts relevant in learning organizations: justice, utilitarianism, deontology and human rights.
2. Business ethics are how we ought to conduct business fairly using real-world situations. Ethical leadership is organizing morals in relation to organizational alignment.
3. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a self-regulatory measure which guides organizations to make initiatives to help people and the environment by using compliance along with conviction. Newer demographics in the workplace will continue to use and benefit from CSR
4. Global organizations can find challenges when working in a world-wide marketplace. Alleviating those challenges can be done by developing and enhancing the five factors of sustainability: cost reduction, resource prevention, legislative compliance, reputation, and right initiative.

Regardless of personal ethical views on many topics, the need for ethics within learning organizations is vital to its success. A precedent of good ethical and moral behavior starting from the top of the business that trickles down throughout is the best approach. Furthermore, the growth of an institution can rely on how ethically moral it is, given its importance among new demographics in a global marketplace.

1. Trevino, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2003). *Managing business ethics: Straight talk about how to do it right*. John Wiley & Sons

2. OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:JaKv7fV9@5/5-1-Ethics-and-Business-Ethics-Defined

3. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.5:R35ftDQ0@7/2-1-Understanding-Business-Ethics

4. OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:JaKv7fV9@5/5-1-Ethics-and-Business-Ethics-Defined

5. Taft, S., & White, J. (2007). Ethics education: Using inductive reasoning to develop individual, group, organizational, and global perspectives. *Journal of Management Education, 31*(5), 614-646. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1052562907307641

6. Fallding, H. (1965). A proposal for the empirical study of values. *American Sociological Review, 30*(2), 223-233. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2091566.pdf

7. Weiss, J. W. (2014). Strategic organizational alignment. In OpenStax, *Principles of Management*, May 31, 2019. https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:zlehnBLW@5/5-4-Leadership-Ethics-at-the-Organizational-Level#ch05fig04

8. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.5:R35ftDQ0@7/2-1-Understanding-Business-Ethics

9. Miceli, M. P., Near, J. P., & Dworkin, T. M. (2008). *Whistle-blowing in organizations*. Taylor & Francis Group.

10. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.5:Af3tSe28@8/2-2-How-Organizations-Influence-Ethical-Conduct

11. Meinert, D. (2017). Creating an ethical workplace, In OpenStax, *Introduction to Business*, November 13, 2018. https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.5:Af3tSe28@8/2-2-How-Organizations-Influence-Ethical-Conduct

12. Ontario College of Teachers. (n. d.). The ethical standards for the teaching profession. https://www.oct.ca/-/media/PDF/Standards%20Poster/standards_flyer_e.pdf

13. OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:vneCJigG@5/5-6-Corporate-Social-Responsibility-CSR

14. Friedman, M. (1962). *Capitalism and freedom*. University of Chicago Press.

15. Samuelson, P. (1971). Understanding the Marxian notion of exploitation: A summary of the so-called transformation problem between Marxian values and competitive prices. *Journal of Economic Literature 9*(2). 399-431. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2721055

16. Elkington, J. (1999). Cannibals with forks. In OpenStax, *Principles of Management* May 31, 2019. https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:vneCJigG@5/5-6-Corporate-Social-Responsibility-CSR

17. Christensen Hughes, J. M., & McCabe, D. L. (2006). Understanding academic misconduct. *The Canadian Journal of Higher Education, 36*(1), 49-63. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ771037.pdf

18. PricewaterhouseCoopers. (2004). *Bill 198-Overview*. In

Edwards, J. (ed). *Mastering strategic management- 1st Canadian edition*. Creative Commons Attribution- Non Commercial, ShareAlike 4.0 International License. https://ecampusontario.pressbooks.pub/strategicmanagement/chapter/corporate-ethics-and-social-responsibility/#return-footnote-339-1

19. Rudominer, R. (2017). Corporate social responsibility matters: Ignore millennials at your peril. In OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:vneCJigG@5/5-6-Corporate-Social-Responsibility-CSR

20. OpenStax, *Introduction to Business* (2018, November 13). https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.5:Dmv0havw@7/2-5-Trends-in-Ethics-and-Corporate-Social-Responsibility

21. University of Windsor. (n. d.). About: Environmental sustainability advocacy. http://www.uwindsor.ca/sustainability/327/about

22. De Jonge, A. (2015). Corporate social responsibility through a feminist lens: Domestic violence and the workplace in the 21 st century. *Journal of Business Ethics, 148*(3), 471-487. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s10551-015-3010-9.pdf

23. Daft, R. (2016). Organizational theory & design. In OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:OPzni6Vq@5/5-7-Ethics-around-the-Globe

24. Weiss, J. W. (2014). Business ethics: A stakeholder and issues management approach. In OpenStax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:OPzni6Vq@5/5-7-Ethics-around-the-Globe

25. Feikis, J., McHugh, A., & Lane, S. (2014). Ethics and values: A comparison between four countries (United States, Brazil, United Kingdom and Canada). *Journal of Technology Management in China, 9*(2), 108-122.

26. Blok, V., Wessilink, R., Studynka, O., and Kemp, R. (2014). Encouraging sustainability in the workplace: A survey on the pro-environmental behaviour of university employees. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 106* (2015), 55-67. https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0959652614007914?token=348CE8207FC111C3A64A5E4C9AEE8D19FC297B04B04EE866BBEF257EADA0107054D4E42CEB550331CDEEC95A69FDD929

27. Greene, C., Crumbleholme, L., and Myerson, J. (2014). Sustainable cultures: Engaging employees in creating more sustainable workplaces and workstyles. *Facilities, 32*(78), 438-454. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/F-03-2013-0020/full/pdf?title=sustainable-cultures-engaging-employees-in-creating-more-sustainable-workplaces-and-workstyles

CHAPTER 6: LEARNING PRINCIPLES

To understand learning, the theories behind how learning develops must be understood. "Learning is Hanging Out" by cog dogblog is licensed under CC BY 2.0

Learning theories are often primarily geared to the education stream of K through 12. Although the theories in this chapter are relatable to these grade levels, a heavy emphasis will be placed on adult learning. Furthermore, some key theories in organizational learning, and the nature of knowledge in relation to work within learning organizations will be deciphered.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Identify and define the learning cycle for adult education.
2. Compare and contrast the differences between short term memory and long term memory.
3. Define epistemology and understand the base theory of learning.
4. Define the theories of organizational learning, and

contextualize how they would fit in learning.

5. Define the three c's of knowledge.

Chapter Tips:

- Develop an understanding of epistemology and why it is important in a learning organization.
- Look in-depth into the theories of learning within organizations and their relationships to learning organizations.
- Familiarize yourself with constructivism and Bloom's Taxonomy.

6.1 The Learning Cycle

As previously mentioned the focus will be on adult learning. This concept is a rich experience, given that an organization can have a diverse range of adults learning at any time. Diversity is seen with age, gender, experience, and education level. Adults in college have a level of freedom to pursue their academics on their own terms, which leads to a host of different learning styles present in adults. Theoretically, adults engage in academic learning in a four step cycle[1]:

1. Prepare
2. Absorb
3. Capture
4. Review



Figure 1: Four Step Cycle of Academic Learning. *(Source: College Success, University of Minnesota, 2015, CC BY-NC-SA). Long description.*

Prepare

The concept of preparation relates to the student's readiness. Within an institution or organization, **preparation** is the individual's readiness to pursue knowledge within a class, semester, or program. Stewart and Maisonville describe preparing as the ability to put yourself in the right mind-set to learn[1]; which places the emphasis on mental preparedness. Within learning organizations, preparedness also involves some knowledgeable aspects for success. Good leaders and good managers are often defined by their extent of expert knowledge within a field. Therefore, those who have the knowledge available will become better prepared for work.

Absorb

What comes to mind often is the simile of the sponge. When absorbing knowledge, the student is the sponge and the water is the knowledge getting absorbed. Keep in mind that the sponge has the ability not to only absorb water, but to absorb a host of liquids (coffee, soup etc.) as well. This relates to the notion that **absorption** for a student is the taking in of new and different ideas within a classroom or in the reading of a textbook[1]. Absorption in the case of a leader or manager within a learning organization can come from agents of accountability (i.e. upper managers) or agents of dependency (i.e. subordinates). The ability to accept new knowledge is a key factor to being a successful leader and manager within a learning organization.

Capture

When absorbing material, sometimes it does not stay in the sponge and leaks out. This is where **capturing** comes in, and relates to the re-interpretation of knowledge for better understanding and contextualization. For leaders and managers within learning organizations, consistent review and process is done on a daily basis. Past measurements, performance, and forecasts provide a map to the re-capturing of new data for better understanding in future endeavors.

Review

Going over all of the information from absorption to capturing is important to fully grasp a concept and to gain full understanding. Once this is done, the student should be able to identify, describe and contextualize a piece of knowledge. This is referred to as **reviewing**. Using the cycle, Stewart and Maisonville also use the review process as a way to prepare for new information and ideas[1]. This is also relevant for leaders and managers within learning organizations as review depends on capturing knowledge, and capturing new knowledge depends on reviewing. For example, organizational forecasting cannot be achieved without a review of the organization's past, and with no understanding of the past, a leader or manager would not be able to effectively forecast. Consistent revision is imperative to the success of a student or someone working in a learning organization.

How Memory Works

The concept of learning is closely tied to memory and retention of relevant learning materials. Memory works in two ways: **short-term memory** and **long-term memory**. Memory in the short term is the active approach to memorization, and focuses on learning in the moment. Memory in the long term is the passive approach through a series of data links which are retained in our minds at all times[1]. Learning can vary by individual, but the concept of fostering deep learning and long-term retention is beneficial to a student, teacher, or administrator in a learning organization.

Key Concepts with Adult Learners

Adult learning theory show us how adults learn differently than children. Using the same approach on adults that was used on children will not be effective. Here are some things to keep in mind when preparing to teach adult learners [20]:

Adults must see value in what they are learning.

Adults must use the knowledge that they gain in the short term or they will not retain the knowledge.

Adults need the topic to be relevant to them.

If adults do not use what they have learned in the short term, and the topic is not of interest to them, they will not retain much of what was taught.

Adults will use past experience to evaluate and consider what is being taught to them. Prior experience will impact if they learn the topic.

Adults are self directed learners and need to be allowed to determine how they learn.

Review Questions:

1. What are the four steps to academic learning?
2. What is the difference between long-term and short-term memory?



The cartoon rendition of Augustus explaining epistemology to his learners may not be as easy as it seems. Image from Maximus Confesses, https://medium.com/the-liturgical-legion/augustinian-epistemology-d8ae3b25023c

The basis of learning is grounded in knowledge of a specific topic or idea. Because of different worldviews and experience, knowledge can be different from one individual to the next. Inside of an organization, one leader may have a different set of knowledge and skill set compared to the next leader. **Epistemology,** according to Hofer and Pintrich, "is a branch of philosophy concerned with the nature and justification of knowledge"[2]. This applied concept has to do with the idea of consensus. The justification comes from the consensus of ideas. One example would be the scientific consensus that the earth and the planets in the solar system follow the heliocentric model (revolve around our parent star: the Sun). However, with

different worldviews and different life experiences, one might disprove that scientific model.

This introduces the idea of epistemology: one who has the knowledge of a scientifically proven epistemological method has the duty to teach one who might not follow a consensus about a topic. This is not to say one is more wrong than the other, rather, one must show the knowledge first hand with empiricism and rationality.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=143#oembed-1

With the introduction of a scientific ideal, there is a scientific method to teaching. The **scientific method of teaching** is based on grounded theory, research, and conflicting theories of some research commonly driven by epistemological differences[2]. Along with the epistemological side, there is also a side that is grounded in the teacher's experiences. These experiences can shape the worldview of an individual, therefore they should not be the main measure in a good teacher. One could have great knowledge and no experience, where another could have a host of experience, but limited consensus knowledge.

Keep this in mind when thinking about how learning within organizations can be developed and cultivated through epistemology. The difference between individual needs and motivation will be looked at, along with the concepts of equity, expectancy, goal setting and self-efficacy. As an exercise, see how the ideas presented in organizational learning theories justify their base of knowledge, and contextualize what

theories follow an epistemological model. Figure 1 shows how justification through knowledge can be reached with an issue based model.



Figure 1: Issue-Based Information System: Rhetorical Rules Diagram with Three Nodes *(Source: Jeffrey E. Conklin; Michael L. Begeman. 1988. gIBIS: a hypertext tool for exploratory policy discussion. Long description.*

Review Questions:

1. What is epistemology according to Hofer and Pintrich?
2. What is the scientific method of teaching?
3. What are the three steps of the Rhetorical Rules

Diagram?

6.3 Theories of Learning within Organizations

When we think of learning within an organization, we tend to focus on three distinct needs of leaders: managers, subordinates and direct reports. As people are driven by an innate force to be actionable. The ability to use that force within an organization that accounts for the persistence to do work is referred to as **motivation needs**. The need to be active either physically or mentally, refers to the inherent abilities that are present. But what if the condition is not present or unfulfilled? The concept of deficient psychological or physiological needs in a person are referred to as **individual needs**. People, regardless of status, have different needs. Thus, theories that complement the motivation and individual needs of organization members can be developed. Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, mentioned in Chapter Three, is a great tool for understanding these needs. The Maslow Hierarchy can be simplified by Alderfer's ERG theory. This theory builds on using and collapses Maslow's five needs into three distinct categories[3]:

1. **Existence Needs** – Psychological and material well-being.
2. **Relatedness Needs** – Desires for satisfying personal relationships.
3. **Growth Needs** – Continued psychological growth and development.

When Maslow is compared to Alderfer, the different needs required from Maslow can be placed in the ERG model. Essentially, this outlines the main factors of individual motivation towards growth and development or regression and frustration[4].



Figure 2: Comparing and Contrasting Alderfer and Maslow. Long description.

Along with the ERG theory, there are two other theories connected with the topic of organizational needs: Herzberg's **Two-Factor Theory** and McClelland's **Acquired Needs Theory**. In the two-factor theory, a binary model is used to exemplify job satisfaction[5]:

- **Satisfier Factors**: nature of the job that is satisfying.
- **Hygiene Factors**: work setting that is unsatisfying or "turned them off".

With this specific theory, the members of the organizations and the different actions that are implemented for employee satisfaction are considered. One example would be an individual who works in a great work space with wonderful people and a great interpersonal culture. However, that same organization might not align with someone environmentally or with regard to social responsibility. Most organizations do not align to one side when you take into account all of the factors.

Instead, most use a utilitarian approach to find the greatest number of satisfier and hygiene factors as the best options. On the other hand, if one hygiene factor is unacceptable, such as an extremely toxic work environment, that might outweigh the satisfiers of pay, benefits, and job security. Looking at the two-factor theory critically the binary model can be broken down to understand the given experiences and the weighting of actions. The two-factor theory prioritizes importance.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=146#oembed-1

The acquired-needs theory, developed by psychologist David McClelland, uses the experiential approach of needs through a Thematic Appreciation Test (TAT)[5]. The acquired-needs theory attempts to identify three needs:

- Need for **Achievement**
- Need for **Power**
- Need for **Affiliation**

This theory proposes an in-depth understanding of another two-factor contrast between the dominant motivators and the characteristics of the individual. McClelland found that there is a correlation between the psychological characteristics and their acquired needs to be successful[5].

Dominant Motivator	Achievement	Power	Affiliation
Characteristics of the Person	• Strong need to set and accomplish goals. • Takes calculated risks to accomplish their goals. • Likes to receive regular feedback on their progress and achievements. • Often likes to work alone.	• Strong need to control and influence others. • Likes to win arguments. • Enjoys competition and winning. • Enjoys status and recognition.	• Wants to belong to the group • Wants to be liked, and will often go along with whatever the rest of the group wants to do. • Favors collaboration over competition. • Doesn't like high risk or uncertainty.

Figure 3: Dominant Motivators and Characteristics Affecting Acquired Needs.

Motivation Theories

Motivation theories are organizational learning theories which rely on how people behave in order to motivate themselves to complete a task. There are four specific types of process theories of motivation:

1. Equity Theory
2. Expectancy Theory
3. Goal-Setting Theory
4. Self-Efficacy Theory

Equity Theory

Developed in the 1960's by J. Stacy Adams, the theory of equity in motivation is based on the logic of social comparisons: the notion that perceived inequality is a motivating state. People have different preferences to equity which is described as *equity sensitivity*[6]. This means that perceived equity or inequity is the key motivating factor for motivation. Essentially, if someone believes "why work hard because I will never reach this area?" can be an idea of a perceived inequity. However, the inequity, in some cases, may only be perceived, and there are options available to use goals to build an equitable reality. In other cases the inequality is too overwhelming to match. It depends on the experience and the tools available to the individual.

Expectancy Theory

Developed in 1964 by Victor Vroom, it proposes that motivation comes from three expectancy factors[7]:

1. **Expectancy** – belief that working hard will result in desired outcomes
2. **Instrumentality** – belief that successful performance (quantifiable) will be followed by desired outcomes.
3. **Valence** – the value placed on possible rewards and work-related outcomes.

The idea of expected outcomes, based on work, is at the heart of this theory. It uses, in many ways, a reward/punishment model (i.e. Work hard and be so you will receive reward.). The variable is the understanding of valence and its connection to expectancy and instrumentality. Someone might want to

strive to work hard if a truly life-changing reward is waiting in the balance, but another may be hesitant if the valence of the reward does not align with the individual's context of expectancy or instrumentality.

Expectancy *x* Instrumentality *x* Valence = Motivation

Goal-Setting Theory

Developed by Edwin Locke in 1984, the goal setting theory encompasses both an idea of planning and execution. The idea of the theory is that goals can be motivating if they are properly set (planning) and well managed (execution) through four guidelines[8]:

1. Give direction
2. Clarify performance expectations
3. Provide a frame of reference for feedback
4. Set a foundation for behavioral self-management

The idea is if goals are well produced and followed-up, motivation of the individual in an organization are raised. This theory brings a deeper understanding to the concepts of scientific management in the area of planning, organizing, coordinating, and controlling.

Self-Efficacy Theory

Developed in 1977 by Albert Bandura, the self-efficacy theory is about being self-efficient. This means that the individual feels self-efficient or personally capable to perform a task. In addition, there are four areas in which self-efficacy can be enhanced[9]:

1. **Enactive Mastery** – confidence through positive experience
2. **Vicarious Modeling** – learning by observing others
3. **Verbal Persuasion**
4. **Emotional Arousal**

The idea of self-efficacy can be cultivated through learning in an institution. Educators look to instill a sense of mastery in their students, through many individual and collaborative exercises, to produce an emotional and holistic learning experience.

BONUS THEORY: Argyris Theory

The Argyris theory proposes that when subordinates become passive in the workplace, individuals will become more regressive, and decrease their efficiency [10]. Leading to the single-loop model cycle of passive workers, leading to disenfranchised workers[11]. Perhaps to avoid a consistent cycle of regression is to base leadership and management decisions on individual behavior with different types of reinforcement. With the Argyris theory seems to be a base approach to all members in an organization, however, using individuality may help to reverse this regressive method.

Bringing the Theories Together

Regardless of the organizational theory used, each one follows a stream of reinforcement. **Reinforcement strategies** are tools used by the manager to strengthen policies and procedures in subordinates within an organization. Reinforcement strategies

were first studied by B.F. Skinner in 1948, when he coined the term "**Operant Conditioning**:"

> "*The process of applying the law of effect to control behavior by manipulating its consequences*"[12]

There are four principles of reinforcement strategies Positive reinforcement, negative reinforcement, extinction, and punishment.

Positive reinforcement – Desired event happens and reward is given.

Negative reinforcement – desired behavior increases when negative stimuli is removed.

Extinction – ignoring an undesired behavior.

Punishment – Action against someone for their behavior.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=146#oembed-2

Review Questions:

1. What are the two types of needs individuals seek within organizations?
2. What are the three dominant motivators affecting acquired needs? What are their characteristics?
3. What are the four types of motivation theories?

6.4 The 3 C's

Understanding reinforcement can foster a proper learning atmosphere within organizations. The learning cycle, along with the theories of organizational learning all share one connection: behavioral knowledge. The interpretation of behavioral knowledge is outlined by the **3 C's**:

- Cognitivism
- Constructivism
- Connectivism

Cognitivism

The concept of **cognitivism** was founded upon the belief of identifying the mental process: internal and conscious representations of the world[13]. Cognitivist theory, within the organization, follows many similar ideas to the self-efficacy theory of enacting mastery. One of the most common learning theories within a cognitivist approach is Bloom's Taxonomy developed in 1956[14]. Bloom's taxonomy is a lesson in scaffolding that strives for mastery. In recent years, Anderson and Krathwohl have modified the original 1956 version to accommodate today's understanding of knowledge[15]:



Figure 4: Blooms Taxonomy *(Source: Vanderbilt Center for Teaching. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International license.) Long description.*

Constructivism

While cognitivism is about identifying the mental process, constructivism is about identifying the experiential process. **Constructivism** is the belief that all knowledge is subjective in nature, constructed from reality, and mutually agreed upon in a consensus[16]. This pragmatic approach follows the idea that what is seen as a consensus is relatable to truth. performing tasks that will produce quantifiable results show shades of expectancy theory. However, perceptions of truth differ. For example, two friends see a car pass by. One says the care was travelling 60/mph, while the other says the car was going 50/mph. Which statement is true? To quantify if the car was going 50/mph or 60/mph, it needs to be determined whether the car is present. The speed of the car is subjective, and up for debate, but the constructive fact is that the car was present.

The subjective nature is important to understand

constructivism, and in-turn find ways to deconstruct subjectivity. One way educators use constructivist theory in organizations is to have adults develop reflections on their experience[16]. The use of thoughtful reflection, either written or verbal, helps to better understand the concepts within a learning environment. Reflections find a connection within Bloom's Taxonomy model by moving up from understanding and remembering, to applying, analyzing, evaluating, and creating.

Connectivism

A newer form of understanding is through connections. **Connectivism**, according to Siemans, is knowledge that is created beyond the level of individuals and that knowledge, through a network of connections, is continuously changing[17]. The connected world relates to the idea of globalization as mentioned in Chapter Two. The modern world is interconnected, and whether you are a manager within an organization, or an educator within an institute of high learning, the concepts of connectivism will be needed to enhance the teaching and learning of students or employees.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=149#h5p-9

6.5 Indigenous Pedagogy

The Kwantlen First Nation at the end of their salmon ceremony where elders and community members, in ceremonial clothing, return the bones from the first fish harvest to the river. Image: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Kwantlen_First_Nation_Salmon_Ceremony.jpg

When discussing pedagogy in a Canadian context, the ideas of indigenous pedagogy offer a different perspective into teaching and learning. Indigenous pedagogy is based around an oral tradition of story telling, such as the Anishinabe Story of the creation of Turtle Island (North America) as a parable for the teaching of knowledge creation.

The oral tradition of First Nations teaching offer a connectedness between the different paradigms of indigenous pedagogy. For example the learning maps connect to the historic aboriginal stories and link to the community as a path or guide towards knowledge. Ojibwa tribes offer teaching

through a frame of seven grandfather teachings, those teachings include:

1. Humility – Dbaadendiziwin
2. Bravery – Askwa'ode'ewin
3. Honesty – Gwekwaadziwin
4. Wisdom – Nbwaakaawin
5. Truth – Debwewin
6. Respect – Mnaadendimowin
7. Love – Saagidwin

Current issues within the First Nations landscape have been brought to the surface such as low proportion of college and university indigenous graduates correlating to the low number of First Nations high school graduates[18]. Bridging the gap between different forms of knowledge is to find common ground with First Nations knowledge, and Eurocentric traditions of knowledge.

The Government of Ontario have been making strides to removing barriers and incorporating indigenous knowledge through legislation of the *Ontario First Nation, Metis, and Inuit (OFNMI) Education Policy Framework*. This policy proposes that OFNMI students to have the knowledge and skills to be successful in elementary and secondary education to increase graduation rates and better preparedness for postsecondary study[19]. While meeting metric goals, the OFNMI policy introduces a level of public confidence, through recognizing historical implications, and embracing First Nation communities, their teachings, and their way of life through self-identification.

Bridging the Gap and Finding Common Ground

One way to bridge the gap between Aboriginal and mainstream pedagogies is to find a common ground. Many similarities can be drawn from traditional aboriginal pedagogy to common, mainstream pedagogy.

Aboriginal Pedagogy	Mainstream Pedagogy
• Learning through narrative. • Planning and visualizing processes. • Working with non-verbal communication. • Self-reflective, hands-on methods. • Learning through images, symbols, and metaphors. • Learning through place-responsive, environmental practice. • Using indirect, innovative, and interdisciplinary approaches. • Modeling scaffolding by working from whole to parts. • Connecting learning to local values, needs and knowledge.	• Narrative methodology in qualitative research. • Planning and vision in leadership and management cannon. • Using different learning techniques for different learners. • Deweyan Pragmatism and Experiential Learning. • Visual and Kinesthetic learners. • Authentic education, and place-based learning. • Scaffolding learning towards learning mastery. • Sustainable pedagogy with a global framework.

The acceptance and use of indigenous pedagogy is found throughout public curriculum's and postsecondary knowledge streams. We can see the different aspects of learning we use within organizations relate to more central, humanistic, and dialectic approach towards achieving goals and achieving an over-arching vision.

Activity: Old Cherokee Story.

- Read the following story of a Cherokee Elder teaching his grandson about life.
- Answer the question at the end of this section.

"A fight is going on inside of me". The elder said to the boy.

"It is a terrible fight and its between two wolves. One is evil, he is anger, envy, sorrow, regret, greed, arrogance, self-pity, guilt, resentment, inferiority, lies, false pride, superiority, self-doubt, and ego."

"The other is good, he is joy, peace, love, hope, serenity, humility, kindness, benevolence, empathy, generosity, truth, compassion, and faith."

"The same fight is going on inside you and inside everyone too."

The grandson then asked his grandfather, "Which wolf will win?"

The old chief simply replied...

"The one you feed"

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:

https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=152#h5p-10

Chapter Conclusion

In this chapter, the learning cycle, how individuals learn, and the concepts of epistemology were discussed. This provided a frame of mind about how to think of the many organizational learning theories presented, such as the epistemology of needs theory and motivation. Also, how the bases of behavioral knowledge affect the organizational theories and their understanding within organizations was explored.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. The four step cycle of learning includes: prepare, absorb, capture, and review.
2. The differences between short-term and long-term memory is beneficial to use within an organization.
3. Epistemology is the nature and justification of knowledge, and the scientific method of teaching follows a base understanding of teaching and learning.
4. Understanding of the ERG theory, acquired needs, and motivation theories of equity, expectancy, goal-setting and self-efficacy show how people learn within organizations.
5. Cognitivism, constructivism, and connectivism theories help to explain learning behavior in organizations.

Using these theories within management and leadership can prove beneficial in helping to understand other members within an organization, especially the direct reports and subordinates. With knowledge, or an epistemology of different theories of organizational learning, more self-aware and effective leader within an organization can be achieved.

1. Stewart, I., & Maisonville, A. (n. d.). *A guide for successful students*. eCampus Ontario. https://ecampusontario.pressbooks.pub/studyprocaff/chapter/learning/

2. Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/section-2-2-epistemology-and-why-its-important/

3. Alderfer, C. (1972). *Existence, relatedness, & growth: Human needs in organizational settings*. Free Press.

4. Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Synderman, B. B. (1967). *The motivation to work* (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons.

5. McClelland, D. C. (1965). Toward a theory of motive acquisition. *American Psychologist 20*(5), 321-333. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1965-13693-001

6. Adams, J. S. (1963). Towards an understanding of inequity. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 67*(5), 422-436. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1964-04111-001

7. Vroom, V. H. (1964). Work and motivation. Wiley.

8. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2013). Goal setting theory, 1990. In Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (Eds.) *New developments in goal setting and task performance*, pp. 3-15. Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

9. Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review, 84*(2), 191-215. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1977-25733-001

10. Argyris, C. (1957). The individual and organization: Some problems of mutual adjustment. *Administrative Science*

Quarterly, 2(1), 1-24. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2390587.pdf

11. Argyris, C., & Schon, D. A. (1978). *Organizational Learning: A Theory & Action Perspective*. Addison-Wesley.

12. Skinner, B. F. (1948). Superstition' in the pigeon. *Journal of Experimental Psychology, 38*(2), 168-172. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1948-04299-001

13. Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/section-2-2-epistemology-and-why-its-important/

14. Bloom, B. S., Engelhart, M. D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives. In Bates, A. W. (Ed.). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/3-3-cognitivism/

15. Anderson, L. & Krathwohl, D. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. In Bates, A. W. (ed.). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/3-3-cognitivism/

16. Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/section-3-4-constructivism/

17. Siemans, G. (2005). *Connectivism: A theory for the digital age*. In Bates, A. W. (ed.). *Teaching in a digital age:*

Guidelines for designing teaching and learning. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/3-6-connectivism/

18. Saucier, D. (2018, October 11). Reconciliation on university campuses: "Two realities, side byside". *Maclean's*. https://www.macleans.ca/education/reconciliation-on-university-campuses-two-realities-side-by-side/

19. Aboriginal Office, & Ontario Ministry of Education. (2007). *Ontario First Nation, Metis, and Inuit education policy framework*. Queens Printer of Ontario. http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/eng/aboriginal/fnmiFramework.pdf

20. O,Toole, Sean and Essex, Belinda. (2012) "The adult learner may really be a neglected species." *Australian Journal of Adult Learning*, vol. 52, no. 1, Apr. 2012, pp. 183+. *Gale Academic OneFile*, link.gale.com/apps/doc/A314801134/AONE?u=olym74496&sid=bookmark-AONE&xid=1bf6df83. Accessed 12 Oct. 2022.

When understanding the different aspects that go into leadership and management within a learning organization you find the mixture of learning, entrepreneurial spirit, and ethics are needed to influence members within an organization. Senge suggests designing learning practices and applying skills acts as a commitment to learning. We could conclude learning and placing that learning in action is a formidable way to develop as leader and manager within a learning organization.

Take time to read the question pertaining to the second reflection activity below, and develop your own concept of conceptualization, showing how you will achieve an application of skills.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=158#h5p-11

PART 3: EVALUATING AND EXPERIENCING LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS

How do we evaluate leadership and management? Can leadership and management be quantified? From understanding motivation and job design, to individual and team decision-making, we will attempt to create a tapestry to apply motivation in action, developing effective decision-making, and the need for teamwork and collaboration in modern day learning organizations. This evaluative process will help you to recognize the different characteristics when in a leadership situation and experience feelings related to leadership.

*Pictured is **Hatim Zaghloul**, an Egyptian-Canadian inventor and CEO who co-founded Wideband Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing, a common feature in all WiFi internet systems. Image: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Hatim_Zaghloul_2012.jpg*

Senge mentions of seeing the trees and the forest, which references seeing the complexity of a learning organization and seeing the structures that make it. This goes beyond the holistic understanding of a learning organization and focuses on creating an understanding on the different parts of an organization: people, products, personalities, and policies.

CHAPTER 7: MOTIVATION AND JOB DESIGN

In this chapter, the concepts of motivation theory from chapter six will be applied to job design within learning organizations. When discussing job design, the focus is on how leadership and management techniques are used to enhance job enrichment within an organization. Another focus is on how to improve job characteristics within a modern learning organization.

The second part of the chapter will focus on the role of motivation within an external organizational environment, and the interdisciplinary role organizations play within economic, socio-cultural, and political environments. Then, how motivation can impact corporate culture within organizations, and how it can relate to learning organizations will conclude the discussion.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Describe the need for motivation within the framework of job design.
2. Describe the characteristics of job design and what the steps are to improve job characteristics.
3. Contextualize the importance of external organizational environments and their effects on motivation within the learning organization.
4. Explain corporate culture, and how it is used within learning organizations.

Chapter Tips:

- Contextualize the meaning of reinforcement.
- Keep in mind societal impacts on motivation.
- How does one manage their her or his autonomy with motivation?

7.1 Job Design

When discussing job design, the focus is on the macro approach of how a leader, within an organization structure, assigns tasks for individuals. **Job design** follows the fundamentals of scope, depth, and specialization of the job. It relates to the job's simplification, rotation, enlargement, and enrichment. Motivation within job design uses the framework of a matrix which follows certain fundamentals.

What this matrix shows is that individuals within organizations who are challenged, with low change and low turnover, find more enrichment in their job. A learning organization, such as a university, is obligated to foster learning. This involves a massive amount of planning, and should include members from services, staff, faculty, and administration. This challenge is what provides the motivation to complete tasks with generally low turnover within the university system. It provides more time for leaders to complete those challenging tasks, leading to a rewarding and enriched job.

This relates to the ideas presented in chapter six about reinforcement within the job. Jobs that are well-thought-out usually carry a high level of positive reinforcement from managers to subordinates. **Positive reinforcement** is the action taken upon by the leader to reward someone for doing good work. Within a proper job design, subordinates are focused on attaining goals leading to further positive reinforcement. There are two positive reinforcement strategies commonly used:

- Contingent Reinforcement
- Immediate Reinforcement

Contingent reinforcement is used only when desired behavior is exhibited. This could be a team reaching a quarterly goal, or, at the end of the day, members of a team successfully worked

within the mission, vision, and values of the organization. **Immediate reinforcement** is in the name: immediate and is the positive feedback right after an action, more commonly after a good idea during a round-table discussion, or right after a phone call closing a deal with a prospective client. Regardless of the reinforcement strategy used, there is a specific set of guidelines required to provide positive reinforcement to colleagues within an organization:

- Clearly identify desired work behaviors.
- Maintain a diverse inventory of rewards.
- Inform everyone what must be done to get rewards.
- Follow the rules of immediate and contingent reinforcement (as in: don't do it just one time, and not the other times).

Reinforcement can be a very beneficial tool in helping with initial job design and desired leadership outcomes for an organization. Moving forward in this chapter, a deeper look within the job characteristics of simplification, rotation, enlargement, and enrichment will be examined. Those characteristics will outline how they can be used within an institution.

Along with reinforcement, the ability to motivate can have positive effects on an organization, within a learning organization motivation can be a driver for learning and development[1]. Furthermore, embracing a level of phonological awareness helps develop learning when teachers are trying to educate students, especially students of a younger age[2]. What this says is that motivation can be a powerful tool to help learners achieve the ability to start. Good leaders are able to motivate the members in their organization with a guiding ethos, or a goal to produce learning.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=163#oembed-1

7.2 Job Design Characteristics

Characteristics of job design have been briefly described in relation to their fundamentals of scope, depth and specialization. A deeper look into the characteristics of job design and how to apply its function within the learning organization will be discussed.

Job Simplification

Job simplification is the first level of job characteristics, given its narrow scope in relation to job design. **Job simplification** is the standardizing of work procedures and employing people in well-defined and highly specialized tasks. Automation could be an example of this, as the different forms of automation within organizations can make work easier, but does not expand on workers' levels to engage in the job. When it comes to the learning organization, automation has helped, in many ways, to enhance how individuals learn and obtain new knowledge. However, this can lead to boredom and alienation, especially when working in a team. Boredom and alienation can be detrimental to the institution, causing a higher level of absenteeism and turnover[3].

Job Rotation

The second level of job design characteristics have more involvement from both managers and subordinates. **Job rotation** is the increase in task variety by periodically shifting workers between jobs. When individuals are moved to different areas within the organization, they develop new skills, keep work fresh, and gain a more robust understanding of how

everything works as a whole. In a university, it is uncommon for teachers to instruct different classes outside of the ones they have taught for a long time. In many ways, having members of a faculty (i.e. political science) switch between different classes, instructors can get a feel of a learner's different philosophies and inspirations. For example, types and styles of learners change when moving from an "Introduction to Canadian Politics" class to a "Foreign Policy of the United States" class, not to mention, it develops a professor's own robust educational leadership style when teaching different learners.

Job Enlargement

This next step uses condensing for the sake of growing. **Job enlargement** is the increase of task variety by combining two or more tasks that were previously assigned to different workers. Within a learning organization, this can be explained as one person working on research about internationalization, and another working on the admission rates of international students within the university. An administrator, or the two individuals, might combine their work as their research is closely related. This is a good way to bring in a level of rotation and collaboration within the jobs presented. However, it is important that the jobs are related. If unrelated, it can cause confusion and stagnation in the completion of goals.

Job Enrichment

The fourth and final level looks deeply at the job design. **Job enrichment** is the practice of expanding job content through job depth to create more opportunities for satisfaction. Job

enrichment follows the Herzberg two-factor theory presented in chapter six; when there is enrichment, workers look inwards toward the job, and find the factors that make the job appealing (satisfier factors), and what may make the job unappealing (hygiene factors). When relating this to a learning organization, leaders, who foster learning, want to gain an even deeper learning from their subordinates. Some of the focuses might be on:

- The reason or philosophy behind the learning activity
- Specified learning outcomes
- Individual moderator variables (correlation between continuous interaction[4])

These levels all relate to how a leader or a manager attempts to improve job characteristics. Have it be through simplification or enrichment, the goal for a leader is to increase productivity, lower turnover, and have subordinates ready and willing to work. Hackman and Oldham developed a model that finds a balance between the characteristics to improve job performance[5].

Measuring Motivation in a Learning Organization

How do we measure motivation within a learning organization? One way to start is to understand all of the factors that go into your organization, for example, using measurable factors such as employee contribution, ability of the service product, and economic factors. Osteraker outlines a dynamic triangle of motivation creating an identity through a social, physical, and mental dimension, and that these dimensions work in concert to involve members of the

organization in day-to-day processes[6]. When we think of the dimensions, here are some factors that come to mind when measuring motivation.

Ideation and Integrated Dynamics

We first understand measuring motivation through the understandings of ideation-in-motivation. Bergendahl, Magnusson, and Bjork conclude that employee preferences lean towards collaboration and competition to generate useful ideas[7]. This opens up a context on embracing integrated dynamics of placing high-performing individuals in self-directed, self-regulated atmospheres with a commitment to sharing knowledge and conversations[8]. This introduces a sense of autonomy with members inside of a learning organization to self-direct and self-regulate their own ideas and build upon those ideas.

Goal Setting

With any organization, ideas or integration cant go anywhere without the ability to develop clear and concise goals. Embracing naturally occurring interventions between members within a learning organization, field experiments find a relationship between motivation and goal setting[9]. If an organization is able to set goals through a natural process of development, theoretically, this leads toward desired outcomes.

Self-Efficacy

What is the role of self-efficacy in motivation relating to the learning organization? Vancouver and Kendall use their research to conclude that self-efficacy has a negative effect on organizational motivation, however, related to goal-orientation, self-efficacy has a positive effect on motivation and organizational performance[10]. Although a heightened self-belief in your own capabilities, paired with like-minded members can lead to group think and coercive power, when tasked with a specific goal, and members on board with a clear vision, self-efficacy working within the parameters of a goal can be very beneficial.

Review Questions:

1. Describe the characteristics of job simplification, rotation, enlargement, and enrichment?
2. What are some ways to measure motivation and how can they be implemented?

7.3 External Organization Environment

When making sense of the external environment, it is important that all organizations are formed to achieve organizational goals. To achieve these, most look outside of their own walls to what is called the external environment. The external environment, or general environment, involves outside factors which impact the operation of the organization, in turn, causing the organization to respond and react in order to maintain its momentum[11]. Figure 1 shows some of the external environments that are present within organizations, and how they adjust in external environments.



Figure 1: Macro Forces and Environments *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license). Long description.*

The impact on the global market can determine a modern organization's place in the world. **Economic forces** are those that pertain to a company's role within its national or global economy. Overall, the impact of globalization, in general, has rendered positive effects. However, rates of household economy in 25 advanced-economy countries have dropped or remained stagnated at a rate of two-thirds[11]. There are two defining trends that have an adverse effect on the economy

and are key characteristics in the external organization environment.

Technological Forces	Government and Political Forces
· If an organization can effectively enhance its usage of technology, this can render a substantial competitive advantage[11].	· In a modern economy, foreign policy and diplomacy have a big effect on how organizations operate in a global marketplace.

Outside the economy, organizational impact can have an effect on the people, not only on the inside of the organization, but also on the outside. **Socio-cultural forces** include the values, beliefs, customs and traditions of different people with regard to race, religion, gender and other socio-cultural backgrounds[11]. Within educational establishments, there are social-cultural forces that have rendered quite an impact, given the diversity of these institutions in recent years. Be it age, religion, race, gender or sexuality, leaders within learning organizations will have to be cognizant of the socio-cultural forces that effect and are identified within them.

Climate change is also an influence. **Natural disasters and human induced-problems** are issues that relate to our natural environment, including high-impact storms and the rise of CO2 emissions[11]. The public has become more cognizant, as of late, about the effects of climate change, and are aware of the effect that humans have caused to the rapid temperature change. As leaders, it is important to be interdisciplinary in these areas so that a wealth of knowledge can be presented to fellow learners and colleagues.

When developing a sense of motivation within a socio-cultural environment, We can refer back to Maslow's hierarchy and the Herzberg two-factor theory of motivation to develop an understanding in a modern learning environment. Kiel suggests a level of lifelong learning with regard to the Maslow

hierarchy, insinuating that self-actualizing is continually evolving especially in the educational landscape[12]. This is prevalent in a 21st century classroom considering the different learners, and needs tailored to different learners within the classroom: this ultimately opens the door to continual self-actualization for a leader. As for the Herzberg two-factor theory of motivation, Hur studied the effect of the theory on public employees and concluded the Herzberg theory to have a positive effect on employees, however, implications showed differing motivational factors from public employees (i.e. schools), compared to private sector employees (i.e. for-profit corporation), such as in the area of advancement, training, and career development[13]. In another framework, Gordon Rouse concludes that the Maslow hierarchy is not a hierarchy at all, rather that multiple steps can be completed at one time, through searching for happiness and transcendence in their managerial or leadership roles[14]. This creates an interdisciplinary framework to understanding the technical and emotional aspects of the organizational environment through internal and external factors.

Relating public vs. private employees relationship to motivation, we must look at the organizational 'fit' one has within an organization. Katzel and Thompson conclude that leaders need to ensure workers values are aligned with the goals and mission of the organization, in addition, offering goals that are clear, attractive and attainable[15]. Wadsworth and Facer suggests that an enhanced focus on a work-life balance will have a positive effect on employees motivation to do the job[16]. Motivation, job-design, and the relation to the real-world environment are all intertwined in an organizational framework, especially within a learning organization, which strives to learn about the complexity of these intertwining factors.

Review Questions:

1. What are the five macro forces of organizational environments? What are their characteristics?
2. What are the impacts of socio-cultural forces within organizations?
3. What interdisciplinary practices can be used in the organizational environment?

7.4 Corporate Culture

Image from: https://www.netclipart.com/isee/owiiTT_culture-clipart-corporate-culture-social-network-clipart/

When looking at all the factors that make up administrative behavior, the corporate culture must be examined. **Corporate culture** focuses on the values of an organization, and relates to its socio-environmental characteristics. Peter Drucker used the famous phrase, "culture eats strategy for breakfast"[17]. Corporate culture is influential as it acts in a trickle-down manner. Essentially, culture starts from the top, and is found as it makes its way down through subordinates. In many ways, corporate culture serves two functions within the organizational system[18]:

- Adapts and integrates to its external environment
- Creates internal unity and cohesiveness to achieve goals

Corporate culture creates a standard towards how a particular organization runs. A positive corporate culture has leaders who want subordinates to work, provide autonomy, and be involved with the decision-making processes. This is found in the South-

western United States-based burger chain, In-N-Out Burger, which was named one of the top companies in the world by Glassdoor[19]. Alternatively, an organization can promote vindictiveness, and unethical financial practices similar to what Enron did in the late 90's and early 2000's[20] (see photo of Jeffrey Skilling; chapter five).

Corporate culture, in many ways, can seem ambiguous. Therefore, how is corporate culture quantified? One strategy that has been used frequently in studying this is the **Competing Values Framework (CVF)** [21]. Much like the Johari Window does for an individual's self-awareness, the CVF allows insight into a corporation's self-awareness and development of culture.

When relating corporate cultures to learning organizations, there are similarities about how culture is effective within its walls. As the culture from head administrators influences the department heads, which then influences the faculty. However, even with a hierarchical structure in place for task delegation, faculty, for example, have a great deal of *autonomy* about how they decipher and transmit learning to students. This ability to be autonomous, and provide a learning structure that works for educator and student, promotes a healthy work environment. In many ways, the goal of fostering learning and knowledge is not only the goal of the teachers inside of a learning organization, it is also a goal all the way to the top of the administration. The role of education and its impact on future generations who enter the workforce and are part of society is the overall objective.

Corporate culture has changed moving into the 21st century with an ever-growing amount of diversity within the workplace. On the topic of autonomy, Rozman, Treven, and Cancer suggest that older employees in the workplace benefit from a great deal of autonomy concluding motivation and satisfaction

change with age[22]. As leaders entering the organizational landscape, we must be aware of these diverse actions taking place, and conceptualize this understanding in corporate culture. Stecher and Rosse suggest a sense of justice is vital to a leaders ability to affect and influence employee relations[23], this leads to understanding the different motivations of different demographics, for example adjusting motivations based on donors to university athletic programs to ensure donation funding[24].

Corporate Culture should not be static, it should be dynamic based on the changing tides of organizational structure within a socio-economic landscape. As times change, people change, and understanding on organizational culture changes.

Activity: Case Study

- Read the case study, "The Cost and Benefits of a Strong Culture," carefully.
- Answer the question in the text box at the bottom.
- Consider working with a partner or a small group to get different viewpoints of the case study.

Source: David A. Garvin, Harvard Business Review, https://hbr.org/2013/11/case-study-the-costs-and-benefits-of-a-strong-culture

Case Study: The Cost and Benefits of a Strong Culture

“How long is this list of escapees?” Kumar Chandra asked as he pointed at the slide on the screen. He was the head of operations at Parivar, a midsize Chennai-based IT services company.

Everyone in the room chuckled, except for Indira Pandit, vice president of HR. Nearly 100 employees had given notice in recent weeks.

“We’re losing them faster than your people can bring them in,” she said, turning to Vikram Srinivasan, the head of recruiting. “Our turnover rate is up to 35%.”

Vikram shook his head. “This isn’t our problem. It’s the Indian labor market. And it may not even be a bad thing. Some studies show that the more frequently employees move around within an industry, the more innovative it becomes.”

Indira gave him a skeptical look.

“This is to be expected, Indira, especially now that we’re rising above the second tier,” he argued.

This time only Kumar laughed, and Indira knew why. Sure, Parivar was growing — in revenue, profitability, and reputation — but it was still much smaller than companies like Infosys, HCL, and other leading global providers of low- to midrange business-process outsourcing services. In the past decade, Parivar’s charismatic CEO Sudhir Gupta had saved the organization from bankruptcy and made it an industry success story — but it was hardly in the first tier.

“I need to present these numbers to Sudhir at the end of the week, and I can’t do that without a theory on

what's happening and a solution to propose. That's why I called this meeting," said Indira.

"What about the 'People Support' idea that came up in the Future Vision exercise?" Vikram asked. Parivar had just finished its annual innovation process. Employees from all over the company — particularly new and young ones — were encouraged to join senior leaders in brainstorming and design sessions focused on how the firm could reach its goals for the year. This event, a hallmark of Parivar's inclusive culture, was meant to foster collaboration and an entrepreneurial spirit. One proposal that had garnered attention was the creation of a new function whose sole purpose would be to support Parivar's employees by hearing their grievances and figuring out solutions.

"I, for one, love the 'People Support' idea," Vikram added. "It emphasizes Sudhir's philosophy of genuine caring for our people."

"It sounds genuinely expensive to me," said Kumar. Indira loved his pragmatism.

"Cost aside, I'm not sure that's the direction we want to take." She pointed at the screen. "These people have told us that Sudhir's 'love culture' — our attentiveness to both personal and professional matters — isn't so alluring anymore. They don't necessarily want to feel like part of a family at work."

"Come on," Vikram said. "That's our biggest selling point. Recruits love that they won't be just a cog in the machine, that our company and its managers — Sudhir included — will listen to them. That everyone at Parivar matters."

"That expectation may attract them, but it's not keeping them here, especially when competitors offer a 30% pay raise," Indira countered. "It's what we're hearing in the exit interviews."

Vikram was clearly not convinced: "We need to go bigger. We should put our money where our mouth is with the People Support function, show that we're 100% committed to our culture of inclusion. That's the best way to reverse the trend."

Big Brotherly Love

Amal, an associate in his twenties, had clearly prepared for his exit interview with Indira. He was checking off items on a handwritten list.

"Everyone says I'll hate it at Wipro, that it's too rigid there. But it's Wipro! How can I refuse?"

"Yes, I've heard they have the same high expectations we do, but it's more process-driven, far less personal. Here you get more attention from the top."

Amal smirked. "Yes, if you're one of Sudhir's clan."

"What do you mean?" Indira asked.

"Don't get me wrong. Parivar promised access to senior executives, and I got it. But Sudhir doesn't swing by the office, put his legs up, and chat with just anyone. There's an 'in' crowd. Only his favorites get that family-like attention. I guess it's understandable — one man can only do so much. But if I'm not seeing him or other top people, I'm just stuck at a company that wants to be overinvolved in my life."

"This People Support idea, for instance," he said, pointing to the last item on his list. He seemed to be on

a roll, so Indira just listened. “I heard about it from my friend who was in that Future Vision group. You have to admit it feels a bit like Big Brother. A whole group of managers dedicated to walking around and asking about our problems? We don’t need more people to talk to. We need more money.” He sat back in his chair, satisfied.

“Thank you for being so candid,” Indira said. “This really is helpful, and we wish you the best of luck.”

A few minutes later, Amal’s manager poked his head into Indira’s office. “Did you get an earful?” he asked.

“I sure did,” Indira said, gesturing for him to come in. “I think he’ll be happy at Wipro — it seems more his speed.”

“You should know that Amal is an outlier. Most people on my team are not like him. They love our company culture.”

Thinking about her long list of “escapees,” Indira wondered whether that was really true.

A New Best Practice?

Sudhir’s office, where he regularly held big meetings, was crowded with inviting, comfortable couches. Indira scanned the room as people settled in. It was a typical gathering: most of Parivar’s senior leaders, including Vikram and Kumar, and a handful of younger employees.

“I’ve asked Nisha to tell us more about the People Support idea,” Sudhir announced. “It’s the brainchild of her Future Vision team. Ready, Nisha?”

Nisha, who looked to be fresh out of business school,

began her slide presentation, describing how the new function would work. She included a scenario: An employee is worried about his future with the company because he has been given a time-consuming project that will involve working late, compromising his ability to look after a sick mother in the evening. Aware of the People Support function, he seeks out one of its designated "listeners," as they would be called, and explains his dilemma. The listener helps him negotiate an arrangement with his boss that allows him not to stay late every night. In Nisha's last slide, all the characters — the employee, the boss, the listener, and the sick mother — are smiling.

Everyone in the audience clapped, and Sudhir congratulated Nisha. "This is what I love about coming to work every day: Fresh ideas from smart, young people."

Not surprisingly, Kumar was the first with questions: How much would the function cost? How would it scale up as the company grew? Who would manage it? Nisha attempted to provide answers, but Sudhir interrupted before she got very far. "We must still work some things out, of course, and those all are legitimate concerns. But I think this would be money well spent."

Kumar wasn't satisfied. "OK, so we won't discuss specifics today, but what about our broader plans for growth? Will all this family stuff be appropriate outside India, when we expand to the UK and the U.S.?"

"That's also an important issue to explore. But people everywhere want their company to care about them and their lives," Sudhir said, indicating with a glance at

Kumar that the interrogation should cease. “Indira, do you have any questions? This obviously falls into your arena.”

Indira shared Kumar’s concerns and more. But she wanted to ask something new. “Nisha, thank you for this thoughtful presentation. I was wondering if you’ve considered how the listeners will be evaluated. How will we know if they’re performing well?”

“Retention numbers,” Nisha said. “The lower our turnover rate, the better the listeners are doing.”

Indira contemplated the complexity of evaluating anyone on the basis of turnover, given the volatility in the labor market. She felt queasy thinking about it and dreaded delivering the most recent attrition numbers to Sudhir.

Vikram piped up to ask whether any other companies in India or elsewhere had tried a similar program or if Parivar would lead the way.

“As far as we know — and Nisha has researched it — no other company has done this before. Sure, HCL has its employee-first culture, but this is about truly understanding and meeting our people’s needs. Nisha and I were talking earlier about how someday this might become a best practice for all of India, perhaps beyond.”

Later, as everyone was filing out, Sudhir pulled Indira aside. “Thank you for going easy on Nisha. We want to encourage young people like her to put forward bold ideas. But of course I want your honest opinion. We’re meeting on Friday, yes? You had something for me?”

Honest Skepticism

Indira took the elevator to the fourth floor. She hoped her colleague and business school friend, Amrita, would be in her office.

"Thank God you're not busy," she joked, finding Amrita with her head down at her desk. The two women were always busy, but they had an open-door policy for each other.

Indira explained about the meeting in Sudhir's office, the People Support function, the exit interview with Amal, and the horrible turnover numbers.

"So I'm skeptical of this People Support idea because I'm not sure we can really nurture Sudhir's love culture across an organization that's growing so fast. It's one thing as a philosophy of how he interacts with people, but building processes and formal management structures around it is a whole different story."

"That's a tough message to deliver to someone who has turned the company around, tripled revenue, and quintupled profits with that culture at the center," Amrita acknowledged. "I'm sure he thinks this is solving the problem of his limited capacity."

"But can you formalize a culture as distinctive as ours into processes and roles?" Indira wondered honestly. "Will this People Support function even work? And if it does, won't it alienate more employees like Amal? What if it worsens our turnover problem instead of fixing it? If we want to expand to Europe and the U.S., don't we need to be less like a cult?"

Amrita laughed. "You know what Sudhir likes to say:

‘Cult is part of culture.’ But it’s not your style to just say what he wants to hear, Indira. If you think People Support is a bad idea, tell him. He’ll take your advice seriously.”

Indira knew she had more power than most HR heads. Sudhir wanted to run a humane company, and that meant giving her a say on big issues.

“I plan to be honest with him,” Indira replied. “But another thing Sudhir always says is, ‘Don’t come to me with a problem; come with a solution.’ If Vikram and Nisha are right, People Support could be just the edge we need against the likes of Wipro and Infosys, a way to retain our people and win new recruits. What if this helps us break into the top tier?”

“Do you really think it will, Indira?”

“I’m not sure, and I don’t have any better ideas right now.”

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=171#h5p-12

Chapter Conclusion

Throughout this chapter, a look into how an organization uses job design as a motivating tool for employees was discussed. In addition, how leaders substantiate a positive space in the external environment and their internal environment through the use of corporate culture was explored.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Job design follows the fundamentals of scope, depth, and specialization of the job in relation to job characteristics and the motivation matrix.
2. Job simplification, rotation, enlargement, and enrichment are used in order to reach a higher organizational level and increase productivity.
3. Future organizations will need to relate more to the outside world by understanding the interdisciplinary world of economic, technological, government, socio-cultural, and natural forces.
4. Corporate culture focuses on the values of an organization, and within learning organizations the concept of autonomy is important for a positive corporate culture.

These concepts of job design can be used in the next chapter on decision-making. When discussing how leaders make decisions within an organization, the factors of working in an external environment and corporate culture help to develop a framework for the most effective decisions that take place in organizations.

1. Parker, S. K. (2013). Beyond motivation: Job and work design for development, health, ambidexterity, and more. *Annual Review of Psychology, 65*, 661-691.

2. Pakarinen, E., Lerkhanen, M-K., Poikkeus, A-M., Sidkkinen, M., & Nuirmi, J-E. (2010). Classroom organization and teacher stress predict learning motivation in kindergarten children. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 25*(3), 281-300. https://www.jstor.org/stable/23421684

3. Schermerhorn, J. R., & Wright, B. (2014). *Management: Third Canadian Edition*. John Wiley & Sons Canada.

4. Fritz, M. S., & Arthur, A. M. (2017). Moderator variables. *Oxford Research Encyclopedias, Psychology*. https://oxfordre.com/psychology/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.001.0001/acrefore-9780190236557-e-86

5. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, J. R. (1980). *Work redesign*. Addison-Wesley.

6. Osteraker, M. C. (1999). Measuring motivation in a learning organization. *Journal of Workplace Learning, 11*(2), 73-77.

7. Bergendahl, M., Magnusson, M., & Bjork, J. (2015). Ideation high performers: A study of motivational factors. *Creativity Research Journal, 27*(4), 361-368. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/10400419.2015.1088266?needAccess=true

8. Taylor, B. M. (2015). The integrated dynamics of motivation and performance in the workplace. *Performance Improvement, 54*(5), 28-37. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/pfi.21481

9. Han, Y. (2018). Is public service motivation

changeable? Integrative modeling with goal-setting theory. *International Journal of Public Administration, 41*(3), 216-225. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01900692.2016.1262871?needAccess=true

10. Vancouver, J. B., & Kendall, L. N. (2006). When self-efficacy negatively relates to motivation and performance in a learning context. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 91*(5), 1146-1153. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jeffrey_Vancouver/publication/6835944_When_self-efficacy_negatively_relates_to_motivation_and_performance_in_a_learning_context/links/0a85e53c59b76a1940000000.pdf

11. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:nGufNJoq@6/4-1-The-Organization-s-External-Environment

12. Kiel, J. M. (1999). Reshaping Maslow's hierarchy of needs to reflect today's educational and managerial philosophies. *Journal of Instructional Psychology, 26*(3), 167-168.

13. Hur, Y. (2017). Testing Herzberg's two-factor theory of motivation in the public sector: Is it applicable to public managers? Public Organization Review, 6 February 2017, 1-15. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s11115-017-0379-1.pdf

14. Gordon Rouse, K. A. (2004). Beyond Maslow's hierarchy of needs. What do people strive for? *Performance Improvement, 43*(10), 27-31.

15. Katzell, R. A., and Thompson, D. E. Work motivation: Theory and practice. *American Psychologist, 45*(2), 144-153. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/e251/1d3c41c80f663a8f3095469683ff8f0937ca.pdf

16. Wadsworth, L. L., and Facer, R. L. (2016). Work-family balance and alternative work schedules: Exploring the impact of 4-day workweeks on state employees. *Public Personnel Management, 45*(4), 382-404. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0091026016678856

17. Hyken, S. (2015, December 5). Drucker said 'culture eats strategy for breakfast' and Enterprise rent-a-car proves it. *Forbes*. https://www.forbes.com/sites/shephyken/2015/12/05/drucker-said-culture-eats-strategy-for-breakfast-and-enterprise-rent-a-car-proves-it/#20e44ca92749

18. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:49GI9zLH@6/4-5-Corporate-Cultures

19. Economy, P. (n. d.). Glassdoor just announced the 100 best places to work for 2019 (is your company on the list?). *Inc.com, Manuseto Ventures*. https://www.inc.com/peter-economy/glassdoor-just-announced-100-best-places-to-work-for-2019-is-your-company-on-list.html

20. Segal, T. (2019, May 29). Enron scandal: The fall of a wall street darling. *Investopedia*. https://www.investopedia.com/updates/enron-scandal-summary/

21. Hartnell, C. A., Ou, A. Y., & Kinicki, A. (2011). Organizational culture and organizational effectiveness: A meta-analytic investigation of the competing values framework's theoretical suppositions. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 96*(4), 677-694. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2011-00494-001

22. Rozman, M., Treven, S., & Cancer, V. (2017). Motivation and satisfaction of employees in the workplace. *Business*

Systems Research, 8(2), 14-25. https://www.degruyter.com/downloadpdf/j/bsrj.2017.8.issue-2/bsrj-2017-0013/bsrj-2017-0013.pdf

23. Stecher, M. D., & Ropsse, J. G. (2007). Understanding reactions to workplace injustice through process theories of motivation: A teaching module and simulation. *Journal of Management Education, 31*(6), 777-796. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1052562906293504

24. Young, J. K., Rhee, Y. C., Walker, M., & Lee, J-H. (2014). What motivates donors to athletic programs: A new model of donor behavior. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 43*(3), 523-546. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0899764012472065

CHAPTER 8: DECISION-MAKING

"So many decisions so little time." Decision making is an important aspect of leadership and management, not only in making the decision, but also living with the outcome. Attribution: Pam Brophy

A large portion of leaders' actions stem from decisions made about a specific situation. Being decisive can be a challenging feat for some people, but come naturally for others. One of the main issues with decision-making, is not simply making the decision, but living with the consequences. In this chapter, a general overview of decision-making, styles of decision-making, effective decision-making, and making decisions as a group will be covered.

Throughout this chapter, many topics will be presented that

will help to develop decision-making ability and to understand the importance that decision-making has on a successful learning organization.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Define what decision-making is and the people it affects.
2. Clearly identify the difference between the right answer and the ethical answer.
3. Identify the decision-making styles, and critique the issues in managerial decision-making.
4. Outline and critique some of the challenges to effective decision-making.
5. Compare and contrast how teamwork is either beneficial or detrimental to decision-making.

Chapter Tips:

- How does decision making impact your personal life?
- How does being a rational agent help someone make the right decision?
- Think of the impact groups have on decision making.

8.1 Overview of Decision-Making

Leaders, such as CEOs of large multi-national corporations, small-business owners, and administrators inside institutions of higher learning, must make decisions daily that have an effect on the organization. **Decision-making** is the action of thinking through a process and coming to a consensus, either personal, or collaborative, and following through with that action. One of the key variables in decision-making within an organization are the **stakeholders,** who are the individuals or groups who are affected by the organization (i.e. employees, vendors, customers, and shareholders)[1].

One thing to be aware of as a leader within an organization is that decision-making is a process. Processing decisions can help to make the right decision relevant for the problem that is presented, if leaders are too rash in their decision-making, it could render negative consequences. For example, if productivity is low within the administration or organization, and the first action is to fire everyone in the office, that is a rash decision that hinders the individuals and the company as a whole. Here is an effective six-step process to effective decision making[2].

Step 1. Recognize a decision needs to be made.

Step 2. Generate multiple alternatives.

Step 3. Analyze the alternatives

Step 4. Select the best option.

Step 5. Implement the selected option.

Step 6. Evaluate its effectiveness.

Step three offers an interesting look in the decision-making process, and an ethical dilemma can be presented at this time. One of the main things managers have a difficult time understanding is the difference between making the correct decision and making the ethical decision. When managers or leaders make decisions, it usually does not fall on the yes or no binary, nor is there one correct answer. This brings in the issue of making the best decision out of a set of good options,

or minimizing harm though a set of bad options. This is where ethical decision making comes into play. As managers, all make impactful decisions during the day, month, or year within an organization. Managers we must take into account how their decisions affect their stakeholders[3]. Therefore, the execution of ethical action in decision making should be the overarching method of decision-making.

The Right Correct Answer	The Right Ethical Answer
•Finding the best answer out of a set of options •Often the correct answer does not apply to everyone. •Sometimes it is not clear what the best answer is.	•Finding the best positive impact in the answers. •Being cognizant that the answer will have an effect on the organization. •Through strong ethical consideration, a viable choice may emerge.

Next, the focus on some of the decision-making styles that are found within organizations will be discussed. There will be decision-making exercises, so remain cognizant of the ethical implications and how decisions affect stakeholders within the organization.

Review Questions:

1. What is the definition of decision-making? Who are stakeholders?
2. What are the six steps of the decision-making process?
3. What is the difference between the right answer and the ethical answer?

8.2 Decision-Making Styles

Decision-making can come in many forms. It usually depends on the manager. Managerial theories were discussed in in Chapter Two regarding the different classical and contemporary theories present in managerial styles. In this context, there are managerial decisions that are found within organizations:

- **Structured Problems** – familiar, straightforward, and clear with respect to information needs. This can be closely related to a bureaucratic or scientific management theory.
- **Unstructured Problems** – New or unusual situations; it is a new problem you have never solved before. It is vague (ambiguous) and the solution is not easy seen or determined. An unstructured problem will take much more effort to solve than a structured problem because it is a new thing that has not been thought of before. This can be related to a behavioral theory.
- **Crisis Decisions** – An unexpected problem can lead to disaster if not resolved quickly and appropriately. In a crisis, perhaps a more bureaucratic, autocratic approach will be needed to alleviate harms quickly and efficiently.

Depending on the situation, the style will change based on the desired outcomes. With structured problems, expert and referent power may be the best course of action. It gives the ability to control the situation, especially during a crisis. However, if the problem is ambiguous, a more collaborative nature would work best, perhaps along the lines of in-depth thinking and challenging skeptical notions. Regardless of the approach, here is an in-depth guide to the different decision-making styles, characteristics, buzzwords, and tips.

	Description	Typical Characteristics	Buzzwords	Tips
Charismatics	experience high level of emotion about a new idea, but will ultimately rely on a balanced set of information to come to a conclusion	• enthusiastic • captivating • talkative • dominant	• result • proven • actions • easy • clear • focus	• use straightfor argument • emphasize bottom-lin results • use visual
Thinkers	can be the toughest to persuade. Impressed with arguments supported by data, and can be risk averse and slow to make a decision.	• cerebral • intelligent • logical • academic	• quality • academic • think • numbers • intelligent • plan • expert • proof	• offer plent data and analyses t support position
Skeptics	tend to be highly suspicious of every data point presented, especially any information that challenges their worldview, and often have an aggressive, combative style	• demanding • disruptive • disagreeable • rebellious	• feel • grasp • power • action • suspect • trust • demand • disrupts	• line up endorsem from peop the decision-m trusts

Followers	make decisions based on how they've made similar choices in the past, or on how other trusted individuals have made them	• responsible • cautious • brand-driven • bargain-cautious	• innovate • expedite • expertise	• deliver evidence t the risk is while play up how th proposal v be trailbla
Controllers	detest uncertainty and ambiguity, and will focus on the pure facts and analytics of an argument	• logical • unemotional • sensible • detail-oriented • accurate • analytical	• details • facts • reason • logic • power • handle • grab	• present a series of h structured argument over time

Figure 1: Decision-Making Styles.

Another decision-making style that is effective is the role of directing or delegating. **Delegating** is the use of other individuals, in a collaborative nature, to handle tasks that have initially been brought to the leader. For example, a middle-manager at a hotel is asked by the boss to work on hiring two new people, and finish the priority check-ins for the evening shift. The task of hiring two new staff-members for the operation is imperative, and should be started right away. However, the priority check-in is also important, but there is a competent front-desk agent available. In this case, the manager would delegate the priority check-in to the front desk agent which frees him or her up to start the hiring process.

Delegation is important to finding the right decisions within the organization. Delegating should have two factors present:

- Experience and knowledge of tasks
- Autonomy and accountability of the subordinates

These factors are paramount, because in order to delegate, there must be a return to the decision-making process and review of any challenges there might be when doing a task that was delegated. In addition, a sense of freedom and autonomy must also be provided to the subordinates who carry out the tasks. Staff must be provided freedom and be accountable for their actions so that they can share responsibility for the success or failure of the assignments. This ties into the ability to direct individuals as Kimani outlines in the four components of directing[4].

Activity: Pitching For Better

Source: Williams, G., and Miller, R. (2002). Change the way you persuade. Harvard Business Review, 80, 64-73.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=181#h5p-13

8.3 Challenges to Effective Decision Making

The decision-making process can pose interesting challenges to leaders. Within the world of organizations, challenges are commonly referred to as barriers in decision-making, or just barriers. There are six, distinct barriers to overcome[5].

- Bounded Rationality
- Escalation of Commitment
- Time Constraints
- Uncertainty
- Biases
- Conflict

When decisions are made within organizations, are all decisions rational in nature? Not necessarily, as within leadership there are issues where the problem or right solution cannot be grasped. **Bounded rationality** is the notion that challenging complex issues that leaders cannot fully grasp, makes them unable to be rational about the situation, and are, therefore, incapable of understanding an alternative[5]. Within learning organizations, educators would like to believe they can learn everything. However, at times there is no comprehension of a certain component of learning, thus they become a victim of bounded rationality. This can lead to incorrect decisions being made without all the information, or abandonment of an issue all together. Both produce an incomplete outcome. Overcoming bounded rationality is difficult given the old adage "you learn something new every day." With that ideal, no one is ever fully knowledgeable. Therefore, as a leader, it would be best not to work against bounded rationality, but with bounded rationality, understanding its place along continual learning. Here is a video to help you get more examples about what bounded rationality is and how it works.

Remember the point is not to remove rationality, but learn how to best work with it.

> *One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=184#oembed-1*

In decision-making, it can be difficult to make a tough decision, and even more difficult to live with that decision when it does not pan out. **Escalation of commitment** is the idea that leaders and managers remain committed to a poor decision, or find it hard to remove themselves from a poor decision rationally[5]. It is hard, as a leader, to take "being wrong," but it is a learning process. In modern learning organizations, mistakes, critiquing, and revising are all parts of a divergent, learning process. Therefore, it is fine that a poor decision is made, but there are tools in place to help a learner develop new skills to overcome past mistakes, eventually removing the challenge of escalation.

When discussing time constraints, it is not necessarily discussing time management. Managers could have managed their time well, but outside circumstances could have caused an immediacy for a decision to be made. **Time constraints** are when there is little time available to collect information with rationality and make an effective decision[5]. When using the example of a university wanting to unveil a new web tool for students that other organizations have completed, time is of the essence, so the tool is launched without grasping all of the information necessary. The web tool eventually releases with numerous bugs and has to be fixed immediately. Although some instances are out of leaders' control, effective planning,

forecasting, and time management can be used to alleviate time constraints.

In everyday life, no one is 100% sure of every decision that is made. If the answer is "no," not to worry, effective leaders and managers are not always 100% sure of every decision they make either. **Uncertainty** is the act of not knowing an outcome until said outcome has transpired[5] and is tied in the belief that an outcome is envisioned, but not seen. Within a learning organization, it is uncertain how to manifest learning in prospective students. Leaders can only follow a methodology that works best for the learner to absorb content. A desired outcome can be conceived of, but the uncertainty allows only sight of the outcome after the decision has transpired.

You may be uncertain on which track to choose when making a decision. However, it is important to stick to your track and see the process through. Image from https://unsplash.com/photos/bEdHAlqWMLM

One of the most common errors that leaders and managers make is trusting a poor decision based on preconceived notions. **Biases**, with regards to decisions, follow the notion that the decision made is closely tied to inherent beliefs and world views. In addition, it enhances beliefs that are similar to our own beliefs[5]. Decisions are usually made and stuck to that are our own. The idea that our initial beliefs are given more attention, we pay less attention to beliefs outside of our

world view. This is called **confirmation bias** [5]. Leader's own confirmation can have an impact on how they work within organizations, and have an adverse effect on the people who do not follow their precise worldviews. One way to avoid bias is to be knowledgeable and empathetic about a contrary idea. Leaders may make a decision based on personal worldviews, but if they are acknowledging that it is coming from a form of bias, and can work with incorporating contrary views for a more collaborative decision-making process, then such influences can be circumvented.

Within an organization, leaders and managers should not be shy of turbulent issues. Perhaps not physically, or overtly verbally, but situations can be tense depending on the context. **Conflict** can be broken down into two areas within an organization:

- **Process Conflict** – conflict about the best way to find a solution, while others look for other options for a superior solution[5].
- **Relationship Conflict** – conflict between individuals that is more personal, involving attacks on character rather than ideas[5].

Regardless of the conflict, it is best to alleviate conflict at the onset. For example, if there is a conflict between two leaders within an organization, a civil sit down and discussion about worldviews, ideology, and best practices within a workplace can lead to a consensus in some areas and maybe lead to a highly effective decision to be made that makes a positive effect on an organization.

Within learning organizations, leaders and managers are constantly faced with barriers in their decision-making from personal indifference or organizational indifference. When faced with barriers, the use of expert power (Chapter Three) can be an effective tool to help avoid barriers. Being

knowledgeable about a variety of situations can be beneficial for members to hear. In addition, acknowledging that they are not the beacon of knowledge, and that their decisions may have biases or be uncertain, would lead to a holistic discussion and a collaborative decision, so that everyone is on-board and accountable.

Activity: Interview Analysis

- Read the interview.
- Analyze and construct some themes from the interview.
- Formulate an idea from the interview that reflects effective decision-making.

Source: Morse, G. (2016). Designing a bias-free organization, *The Harvard Business Review*, July-August, 62-67. https://hbr.org/2016/07/designing-a-bias-free-organization

Designing a Bias-Free Organization

Iris Bohnet thinks firms are wasting their money on diversity training. The problem is, most programs just don't work. Rather than run more workshops or try to eradicate the biases that cause discrimination, she says, companies need to redesign their processes to prevent biased choices in the first place.

Bohnet directs the Women and Public Policy Program at the Harvard Kennedy School and cochairs

its Behavioral Insights Group. Her new book, *What Works*, describes how simple changes—from eliminating the practice of sharing self-evaluations to rewarding office volunteerism—can reduce the biased behaviors that undermine organizational performance. In this edited interview with HBR senior editor Gardiner Morse, Bohnet describes how behavioral design can neutralize our biases and unleash untapped talent.

HBR: Organizations put a huge amount of effort into improving diversity and equality but are still falling short. Are they doing the wrong things, not trying hard enough, or both?

Bohnet: There is some of each going on. Frankly, right now I am most concerned with companies that want to do the right thing but don't know how to get there, or worse, throw money at the problem without its making much of a difference. Many U.S. corporations, for example, conduct diversity training programs without ever measuring whether they work. My colleague Frank Dobbin at Harvard and many others have done excellent research on the effectiveness of these programs, and unfortunately it looks like they largely don't change attitudes, let alone behavior. (See "Why Diversity Programs Fail" by Frank Dobbin.) I encourage anyone who thinks they have a program that works to actually evaluate and document its impact. This would be a huge service. I'm a bit on a mission to convince corporations, NGOs, and government agencies to bring the same rigor they apply to their financial decision making and marketing strategies to their people management. Marketers have been running A/B tests for a long time, measuring

what works and what doesn't. HR departments should be doing the same.

HBR: What would a diversity evaluation look like?

Bohnet: There's a great classroom experiment that's a good model. John Dovidio and his colleagues at Yale evaluated the effect of an antibias training program on first and second graders in 61 classrooms. About half the classrooms were randomly assigned to get four weeks of sessions on gender, race, and body type with the goal of making the children more accepting of others who were different from them. The other half didn't get the training. The program had virtually no impact on the children's willingness to share or play with others. This doesn't mean you can't ever teach kids to be more accepting—just that improving people's inclination to be inclusive is incredibly hard. We need to keep collecting data to learn what works best. So the point for corporations is to adopt this same methodology for any program they try. Offer the training to a randomly selected group of employees and compare their behaviors afterward with a control group. Of course, this would also mean defining success beforehand. For diversity training programs to go beyond just checking the box, organizations have to be serious about what they want to change and how they plan to evaluate whether their change program worked. Diversity training programs largely don't change attitudes, let alone behavior.

HBR: What does behavioral science tell us about what to do, aside from measuring success?

Bohnet: Start by accepting that our minds are

stubborn beasts. It's very hard to eliminate our biases, but we can design organizations to make it easier for our biased minds to get things right. HBR readers may know the story about how orchestras began using blind auditions in the 1970s. It's a great example of behavioral design that makes it easier to do the unbiased thing. The issue was that fewer than 10% of players in major U.S. orchestras were women. Why was that? Not because women are worse musicians than men but because they were perceived that way by auditioners. So orchestras started having musicians audition behind a curtain, making gender invisible. My Harvard colleague Claudia Goldin and Cecilia Rouse of Princeton showed that this simple change played an important role in increasing the fraction of women in orchestras to almost 40% today. Note that this didn't result from changing mindsets. In fact, some of the most famous orchestra directors at the time were convinced that they didn't need curtains because they, of all people, certainly focused on the quality of the music and not whether somebody looked the part. The evidence told a different story.

HBR: So this is good news. Behavioral design works.

Bohnet: Yes, it does. The curtains made it easier for the directors to detect talent, independent of what it looked like. On the one hand, I find it liberating to know that bias affects everyone, regardless of their awareness and good intentions. This work is not about pointing fingers at bad people. On the other hand, it is of course also depressing that even those of us who are committed to equality and promoting diversity fall prey

to these biases. I am one of those people. When I took my baby boy to a Harvard day care center for the first time a few years back, one of the first teachers I saw was a man. I wanted to turn and run. This *man* didn't conform to my expectations of what a preschool teacher looked like. Of course, he turned out to be a wonderful caregiver who later became a trusted babysitter at our house—but I couldn't help my initial gut reaction. I was sexist for only a few seconds, but it bothers me to this day. Seeing is believing. That is, we need to actually see counterstereotypical examples if we are to change our minds. Until we see more male kindergarten teachers or female engineers, we need behavioral designs to make it easier for our biased minds to get things right and break the link between our gut reactions and our actions.

HBR: What are examples of good behavioral design in organizations?

Bohnet: Well, let's look at recruitment and talent management, where biases are rampant. You can't easily put job candidates behind a curtain, but you can do a version of that with software. I am a big fan of tools such as Applied, GapJumpers, and Unitive that allow employers to blind themselves to applicants' demographic characteristics. The software allows hiring managers to strip age, gender, educational and socioeconomic background, and other information out of résumés so they can focus on talent only. There's also a robust literature on how to take bias out of the interview process, which boils down to this: Stop going with your gut. Those unstructured interviews where managers think they're getting a feel for a candidate's

fit or potential are basically a waste of time. Use structured interviews where every candidate gets the same questions in the same order, and score their answers in order in real time. You should also be thinking about how your recruitment approach can skew who even applies. For instance, you should scrutinize your job ads for language that unconsciously discourages either men or women from applying. A school interested in attracting the best teachers, for instance, should avoid characterizing the ideal candidate as "nurturing" or "supportive" in the ad copy, because research shows that can discourage men from applying. Likewise, a firm that wants to attract men and women equally should avoid describing the preferred candidate as "competitive" or "assertive," as research finds that those characterizations can discourage female applicants. The point is that if you want to attract the best candidates and access 100% of the talent pool, start by being conscious about the recruitment language you use.

HBR: What about once you've hired someone? How do you design around managers' biases then?

Bohnet: The same principle applies: Do whatever you can to take instinct out of consideration and rely on hard data. That means, for instance, basing promotions on someone's objectively measured performance rather than the boss's feeling about them. That seems obvious, but it's still surprisingly rare. Be careful about the data you use, however. Using the wrong data can be as bad as using no data. Let me give you an example. Many managers ask their reports to do self-evaluations, which they then use as part of their

performance appraisal. But if employees differ in how self-confident they are—in how comfortable they are with bragging—this will bias the manager's evaluations. The more self-promoting ones will give themselves better ratings. There's a lot of research on the anchoring effect, which shows that we can't help but be influenced by numbers thrown at us, whether in negotiations or performance appraisals. So if managers see inflated ratings on a self-evaluation, they tend to unconsciously adjust their appraisal up a bit. Likewise, poorer self-appraisals, even if they're inaccurate, skew managers' ratings downward. This is a real problem, because there are clear gender (and also cross-cultural) differences in self-confidence. To put it bluntly, men tend to be more overconfident than women—more likely to sing their own praises. One meta-analysis involving nearly 100 independent samples found that men perceived themselves as significantly more effective leaders than women did when, actually, they were rated by others as significantly *less* effective. Women, on the other hand, are more likely to underestimate their capabilities. For example, in studies, they underestimate how good they are at math and think they need to be better than they are to succeed in higher-level math courses. And female students are more likely than male students to drop courses in which their grades don't meet their own expectations. The point is, do not share self-evaluations with managers before they have made up their minds. They're likely to be skewed, and I don't know of any evidence that having people share self-ratings yields any benefits for employees or their organizations.

HBR: But it's probably not possible to just eliminate all managerial activities that allow biased thinking.

Bohnet: Right. But you can change how managers do these things. One message here is to examine whether practices that we thought were gender-neutral in fact lead to biased outcomes. Take the SAT, for example. Your score shouldn't have been affected by whether you're male or female. But it turns out it was. The test once penalized students for incorrect answers in multiple-choice questions. That meant it was risky to guess. Research by Katie Baldiga Coffman of Ohio State University shows that this matters, especially for women. Among equally able test takers, male students are more likely to guess, while female students are more likely to skip questions, fearing the penalty and thus ending up with lower scores. Katie's research reveals that gender differences in willingness to take risk account for about half of the gender gap in guessing. An analysis of the fall 2001 mathematics SAT scores suggests that this phenomenon alone explains up to 40% of the gap between male and female students in SAT scores. The 2016 SAT has been redesigned so that it doesn't penalize for incorrect answers. Taking risk out of guessing means that different appetites for risk taking will no longer affect students' final scores. This can be expected to level the playing field for male and female students. Notice that the new SAT doesn't focus on changing the students' mindsets about risk but instead corrects for different risk tolerances. After all, the test is meant to measure aptitude, not willingness to take risk. Organizations should take a page from this book: Look around and

see whether your practices by design favor one gender over the other and discourage some people's ability to do their best work. Do meetings, for example, reward those most willing to hold forth? If so, are there meeting formats you can use that put everyone on an equal footing?

HBR: How can firms get started?

Bohnet: Begin by collecting data. When I was academic dean at the Harvard Kennedy School, one day I came to the office to find a group of students camped out in front of my door. They were concerned about the lack of women on the faculty. Or so I thought. Much to my surprise, I realized that it was not primarily the number of female faculty that concerned them but the lack of role models for female students. They wanted to see more female leaders—in the classroom, on panels, behind the podium, teaching, researching, and advising. It turns out we had never paid attention to—or measured—the gender breakdown of the people visiting the Kennedy School. So we did. And our findings resembled those of most organizations that collect such data for the first time: The numbers weren't pretty. Here's the good news. Once you collect and study the data, you can make changes and measure progress. In 1999, MIT acknowledged that it had been unintentionally discriminating against female faculty. An examination of data had revealed gender differences in salary, space, resources, awards, and responses to outside offers. The data had real consequences. A follow-up study, published in 2011, showed that the number of female faculty in science and engineering had almost

doubled, and several women held senior leadership positions. Companies can do their own research or turn to consultants for help. EDGE, where I serve as a scientific adviser, is a Swiss foundation and private company that helps organizations across the sectors measure how well they do in terms of gender equality. A firm named Paradigm is another. I came across it when I was speaking with tech firms in Silicon Valley and San Francisco. It helps companies diagnose where the problems are, starting by collecting data, and then come up with possible solutions, often based on behavioral designs.

HBR: You said that "seeing is believing." But given the lack of senior female role models in organizations, what else can we do?

Bohnet: About a decade ago we noticed that of all the portraits of leaders on the walls of the Kennedy School, exactly zero were of women. The portraits we display affect what our employees and our students believe possible for themselves. I can attest that it was not our intention to signal to fully half of our students that they were not made to be leaders. Rather, this was done unthinkingly. Since then we have added new portraits, including Ida B. Wells, the U.S. civil rights activist and suffragist, and Ellen Johnson Sirleaf, the president of Liberia, winner of the Nobel Peace Prize, and a graduate of the Kennedy School.

HBR: You argue that it's often a waste of time to try to debias people—but hanging portraits of women seems like a strategy to actually change individuals' perceptions.

Bohnet:I am not arguing that mindsets can never change. But what we generally find is for beliefs to change, people's experiences have to change first. Being surrounded by role models who look like you can affect what you think is possible for people like you. Sapna Cheryan of the University of Washington, for example, has shown that decorations in a computer science classroom can affect performance. Replacing the male-dominated Star Wars and Star Trek images with gender-neutral art and nature pictures strengthened female students' associations between women and careers in computer science. In another study, women who were shown a picture of Hillary Clinton or Angela Merkel before giving a public speech did objectively better than those who were shown a picture of Bill Clinton or no picture at all. So what do we do with our boardrooms and hallways that celebrate our (male focused) history? When asked this question at a recent talk I gave at the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, I answered that, sometimes, we have to "hurry history." I think that presidents John and John Quincy Adams, spouse and son of the thought leader and First Lady, Abigail Adams, would be proud that her portrait now is on Harvard's walls—and of course, its presence makes a big difference to our female students.

HBR: Men may resist organizational changes favoring women because they view gender equality as zero sum—if women win, men lose. How then do you enlist men as agents of change?

Bohnet: Few men oppose the idea of benefiting from the entire talent pool—at least in theory. But

some are concerned about actually leveling the playing field. In practice, of course, the blind auditions in orchestras have increased competition for male musicians. And the inclusion of women affects competition for men in all jobs. I understand that increased competition can be painful, but I am too much of an economist to not believe in the value of competition. There is no evidence that protectionism has served the world well. Enlisting men is partly about helping them to see the benefits of equality. Fathers of daughters are some of the strongest proponents of gender equality, for obvious reasons, so they can be particularly powerful voices when it comes to bringing other men along. Research on male CEOs, politicians, and judges shows that fathers of daughters care more about gender equality than men without children or with only sons. I would urge fathers of daughters to be outspoken in their own organizations and to advocate for equality not just as a broad goal, but to actively help drive the changes I describe here—collecting baseline organizational data, promoting experiments, measuring what works, changing processes to limit the impact of our biased minds and level the playing field, and so on. A big part is, simply, continued awareness building—not just of the problem but also of the solutions available to organizations. I recently gave a talk on Wall Street to an audience that was male. I started by inviting people with children to raise their hands. Then I asked those with daughters to raise their hands. Many hands were up. I told them that this made my job easy as some of my biggest allies were in the room. It broke the ice, especially when I told the audience that my husband and I only have sons—who

are great feminists, I might add, and in small ways have already brought behavioral insights to their school by reminding the principal to refer to teachers in general as both “he” and “she.”

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=184#h5p-14

8.4 Group Decision Making

Within group decision-making, decisions not only affect the individual, but affect each member of the group. Decision-making is the method or action of thinking through a process and coming to a consensus. Therefore, **group decision-making** is the coming to a consensus with multiple individuals in a collaborative nature. Within learning organizations, the notion of collaboration can be beneficial to the advancement of learning within it. There are some common advantages and disadvantages to group decision-making within organizations.

Advantages	Disadvantages
•involving numerous people with different ideas •reduce bias in making informed decisions •effective consensus can lead to a superior outcome	•conflict can hinder process •an individual with more power exerts more influence on the group •more power leads to other members not sharing ideas

Advantages and disadvantages present two different types of representations within a group dynamic. The main advantage is that individuals within a group have a voice, leading to the process of **brainstorming,** which is the generating of a variety of solutions or possible outcomes for an effective decision[6]. On the other hand, the main disadvantage is regarded as **group-think**, which occurs when one person uses her or his power in a group process and individuals will remain silent, not to disturb the peace (i.e. going along with the flow)[6]. Regardless of brainstorming or group-think, members within a group must be held accountable for the decisions that they make. This is enhanced through more inclusion, as the more individuals are included, the responsibility and accountability is distributed equally, as opposed to an individual who makes the decision on behalf of the group. One individual makes the decision, but the

accountability is still distributed equally, therefore the positive or negative outcome of the decision falls on someone who had no part in the decision-making process.

One way to develop a quality group, especially if there is a clear power dynamic present, is to add a contrarian, or a member to play devil's advocate. A member who plays **devil's advocate** reduces group-think[6], and provides an alternate view or a challenge to an individual's logic on a decision. For example, in a university meeting with professors and department heads, it may be beneficial to have a student present to offer a differing view that is contrary to the views of professors or administrators. If administrators are making decisions about students within an institution, a student who offers a contrarian view may avoid administrative group-think, and have senior members see their decision from the perspective of a student.

Group decisions are more effective when time is available for group involvement and when the problem is unclear. If there is time available, and it is necessary to tackle a difficult problem, here is a summary of some different techniques that improve group decision-making[6].

Summary of Techniques That May Improve Group Decision-Making		
Type of Decision	**Technique**	**Benefit**
Group decisions	Have diverse members in the group.	Improves quality: generates more options, reduces bias
	Assign a devil's advocate.	Improves quality: reduces groupthink
	Encourage everyone to speak up and contribute.	Improves quality: generates more options, prevents suppression of dissent
	Help group members find common ground.	Improves quality: reduces personality conflict

Figure 2: Summary of Techniques That May Improve Group Decision-Making. *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license.) Long description.*

Within a learning organization, groups are able to share ideas and their own learning experiences that improve the quality of the learning for all members within the group. Chapter Nine we will probe in depth into collaboration within a learning organizations, and the implications it has on learning styles within a modern learning environment.

Review Questions:

1. What is bounded rationality? How do we manage it?
2. What is confirmation bias? How do we avoid it?
3. Why is it important to have a contrarian or devil's advocate in the group decision-making process?

Chapter Conclusion

Decision-making is important, not only in organizations, but in everyday life. Decisions are made on a daily basis, some which carry more weight than others, but it is imperative that leaders understand that the decisions made have an effect on individuals, and so there must be accountability for all those involved.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Decision-making is thinking through a process and coming to a consensus. Within organizations, decision-making affects stakeholders (i.e. vendors, customers, employees, shareholders etc.).
2. Hopefully, leaders choose the best decision out of a set of good options, or attempt to reduce harm from a set of bad options. This is ethical decision-making, so it is not enough to pick just one option, or two options.
3. The style is dependent on the problem (structured, unstructured, and crisis). Using the best style that fits the specific problem will render the best results. One tool that can be used within decision-making styles is the role of delegation.
4. Barriers of bounded rationality, escalation of commitment, time constraints, uncertainty, biases, and conflict can be detrimental to the decision-making process. Being knowledgeable about situations and using that knowledge can help remove barriers.
5. Teamwork, for the most part is beneficial, depending on the power dynamic. If too much power is presented, that needs to be evened out to ensure a full collaborative nature.

The building of the decision-making process in groups will be explored in Chapter 9. Teams, teamwork, and collaboration will be examined. The understanding of how collaboration works

within a learning organization, and some effective learning theories associated with collaboration and teamwork will be explored.

1. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:64O7gCyY@6/2-1-Overview-of-Managerial-Decision-Making

2. Schermerhorn, J. R., & Wright, B. (2014). *Management: Third Canadian Edition*. John Wiley & Sons Canada

3. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:64O7gCyY@6/2-1-Overview-of-Managerial-Decision-Making

4. Kimani, G. N. (n. d.). *Educational management*. African Virtual University. https://oer.avu.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/748/EDU%2004_Education%20Management.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

5. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:TSlghZHZ@6/2-4-Barriers-to-Effective-Decision-Making

6. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:ti2VQkRr@6/2-6-Group-Decision-Makin

CHAPTER 9: TEAMS, TEAMWORK, AND COLLABORATION

Sometimes leaders and managers cannot put the puzzle together themselves, which is why teamwork and collaboration is so important.

Taking on a difficult challenge alone can be a fool's errand, especially if it requires multiple tools, skills, or ideals that one

individual may not possess. Teamwork and collaboration are vital to success within learning organizations through effective team dynamics, experiential learning, and project-based learning. Within this chapter, discussion of the learning styles associated with collaboration, the implications of online collaboration within a modern learning society, and teamwork within modern organizations will be studied.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Describe the characteristics of teams and what makes a team effective.
2. Describe some divergent learning theories associated with collaborative learning
3. Compare and contrast the use of online-collaborative learning, and its effect on modern learning organizations.
4. Differentiate some common teamwork features within organizations.
5. Describe how a team develops within the workplace. Explain some considerations and dynamics that are present when engaging in teamwork in an organization.

Chapter Tips:

- Think about accountability, as a group or a team.
- How would experiential learning be beneficial in a team setting?
- How important is communication within a team setting?

9.1 Teamwork and Collaboration

Image: Siena College. This file is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 Generic license. Link: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Teamwork_(4705884489).jpg

Usually, teams are thought of in terms of sports. For example, the Toronto Maple Leafs of the National Hockey League are a collection of players working to achieve goals (both literally and figuratively) on the ice to achieve success. In addition, there are members of the Toronto Maple Leafs who have different goals off the ice, such as those in the front office who put together an on-ice product that the fans want to see. It also may be marketing group who effectively promote the players to the fan-base, or even the human resources' team who effectively keeps the intricate aspects of hiring, firing, and payroll afloat in the hockey organization. Regardless of the goals and the duties of the different departments, they all come together as the Toronto Maple Leafs' team.

A **team**, according to Katzenbach and Smith, is a group of individuals with complementary skills who work together to accomplish shared goals while holding themselves equally accountable for results[1]. Within the team dynamic, it is important to outline what makes a team truly effective. Some practices have been outlined by Katzenbach and Smith[2]:

- Establish urgency with performance standards.
- Select members based on skill and potential, not personality.
- Pay attention to first meetings and actions.
- Set clear rules of behavior.
- Set and complete a few immediate tasks and goals.
- Challenge the group with fresh facts and information.
- Spend time together.
- Exploit the power of positive feedback, recognition, and reward.

Within these principals, there are guidelines that create a truly effective team atmosphere. Although a positive personality can be beneficial to the interpersonal side of teamwork, members should be selected based on skill and potential to avoid biases

and possible group-think which can cause a negative effect in teams. Another important part of teamwork is setting clear rules of behavior, especially in the realm of a learning organization. Using an institute of higher learning as an example, the syllabus that a student receives at the beginning of the semester is a guideline of assignments, due dates, and rubrics of expectations for submitted work. Looking more in-depth, a syllabus sets the rules of behavior for creating accountability in the form of an agreement. This agreement creates **checks and balances** in which the students check and balance the professor to ensure the content is taught properly and dates are consistent. The professor checks and balances the students so that they complete assignments on time and with the expectation that outcomes are being met according to the assignments' rubrics (See Figure 1).



Figure 1: Syllabus Expectations. Long description.

Within these principles, there is a sense of accountability being shared between managers and subordinates. This means that both the manager and the subordinates hold each other accountable for their actions within the workplace. This fosters a more cohesive and effective dynamic between all power relationships within teamwork.

Review Questions:

1. How do Katzenbach and Smith define a team?
2. What is an example of checks and balances within a learning organization?

9.2 Using Experiential Education to Foster Teamwork and Collaboration



*Pictured is **John Dewey**, an American psychologist and educational reformer. Referred to as one of the forefathers of American pragmatism, he also was prominent in the early understanding of experiential learning. Image: John Dewey, United States Library of Congress, This work is from the Library of Congress. According to the library, there are no known copyright restrictions on the use of this work.*
Images submitted for copyright by Underwood & Underwood are in the public domain in the United States due to expiration or lack of renewal. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/

File:John_Dewey_cph.3a51565.jpg

Within education, the overall philosophy of teaching is how students will benefit from learning. As a leader within a learning organization, the role to develop a method that helps the subordinates learn, and learn effectively. One theory that finds its place within learning organizations is the theory of experiential learning. **Experiential learning** was first coined by John Dewey[3] and later perfected by David Kolb, who describes the theory as providing empirical experience which plays a role in the learning process[4], and in many ways, connects to the concept expert power as a leader who has experiential knowledge.

The goal of experiential learning is to incorporate it in relation to real-world contexts. Bates outlines a set of design models that embed the learning within the real world[5]:

- laboratory, workshop or studio work
- apprenticeship
- problem-based learning
- case-based learning
- project-based learning
- inquiry-based learning
- co-operative (work or community-based) learning

Learning within a real-world context plays a role within leadership in teams. Most of the models presented incorporate some form of teamwork that renders success within an organization. The focus will be on how the models of project-based learning and inquiry-based learning within organizations use teamwork toward the goal of learning productivity.

Project-Based Learning

Projects (either creation, implementation, or completion) are the driving force within organizations, and arguably, what moves an organization forward. **Project-based learning** is described as providing a student, in a classroom setting, with a sense of responsibility and ownership in conducting projects with other students[5]. This method provides students with a real-world look at how to develop plans and strategies, and how to problem-solve, and delegate responsibility all within an organizational simulation, without the risk of making an actual decision. Larmer and Mergendoller claim that every student should perceive project work as meaningful, and that the process fulfills the educational purpose[5]. This means that project-based learning provides learners with the ability to experience this simulation, and be familiar when they are placed in it again in their future job endeavors.

According to Bates, a danger to project-based learning is that it can take on a life of its own and lose focus of the essential learning objectives[5]. Within learning organizations, it is important to take part in the real-world concepts, but the learning objectives should never be left to the wayside. A leader should take ownership of the project-based work and decipher whether or not the learning objectives are being achieved. If not, the leader is responsible for adjusting and making changes to the project to ensure learning is, first and foremost, the main objective of the project. Noam concludes the usage of project-based learning sprouts many productive and creative forms of learning through a sense of conceptualization and democratization[6]. Although, time and economic concerns may be present with project-based learning, the ability for students to place themselves in a pragmatic and practical landscape can produce benefits.

Inquiry-Based Learning

With project-based learning, the leader has a level of control within the learning process. That control is manifested by the leader who provides the groups with driving questions and guidance throughout the process[5]. **Inquiry-based learning** is similar to project-based learning, but effectively different. A leader can provide help, but learners explore their own themes, develop actions, and come to conclusions[5]. Leaders should understand their students' level of mastery before using the inquiry method. This relates to Bloom's taxonomy discussed in Chapter Six about how to build learning through experience by providing guiding questions so that learners can eventually develop their own driving questions in an inquiry-based learning situation.

In many ways, inquiry-based learning can be based on spectrum that develops the steps needed for achievement, along with involvement from the leader or instructor. Banchi and Bell have developed different levels of inquiry-based learning to outline the actions and procedures depending on the learning environment[7].

The use of experiential learning, within the framework of leadership, provides a level of autonomy for both leader and learners about how learning should be facilitated. This is at the core of experiential learning, as students develop their own learning style, and take accountability for their learning. This is vital in the framework of a institute of higher learning. As learners leave the comforts of an experiential-learning classroom environment and move toward the real-world, full of decision-making and risk, they will be more prepared to take on specific challenges leading to success.

Activity: Blindfold Drawing

Source: University of Toronto Mississauga. (n. d.). Experiential learning activities. University of Toronto Mississauga, Experiential Education Office. Retrieved from https://www.utm.utoronto.ca/experience/sites/files/experience/public/shared/Team%20Based%20Problem%20Solving%20Activities%20-%20UTM%20EEO.pdf

Blindfold Drawing

Objective: This is an activity that focuses on interpretation and communication. Once the drawing is finished, it's always interesting to see how the drawer interprets the description.

Participants: Teams (minimum 2 per team) + facilitator

Instructions/Rules: Divide everyone into groups of two or more. Have individuals sitting/standing facing away from each other. Give one side the pen and paper or black/white board and chalk/marker, and the other the picture. Those with the picture are to describe what is depicted to their other teammate(s) without actually saying what it is. For example, if the image is a worm in an apple, they are not to say, "Draw an apple with a worm in it." The person who is required to draw has to base their drawing on

what they think the picture depicts, based on the verbal descriptions.

Materials Needed: Pictures, pen and paper, or black/white board and chalk/marker

Timing: 10 – 15 minutes

Outcomes/Goals: Team-based activity where clear communication is key. Forces those describing the image to be creative in their prompts, and those drawing out the image to use active listening and interpretive skills.

9.3 Online Collaborative Learning

At this point in learning about leadership within learning organizations, the impact that technology has, and will continue to have, on organizations in the future cannot be overstated. This supports the need for an understanding of collaboration and teamwork within a technological environment. **Online Collaborative Learning (OCL)** is defined as a model of learning where students are supported to work together, create knowledge, invent, innovate, and develop conceptual knowledge needed to solve problems[8], all while engaging in modern technology and using it collaboratively. Since the commercialization of high-speed Internet in the 1990's, online-collaborative learning became a studied phenomenon. Within learning organizations, such as universities, online components are integrated in the every-day life of a university student. One of the most common uses is online-discussion boards between classmates and the instructor. Here are some characteristics of an online-discussion board[8]:

- text based, not oral (unless we use video posts, which are a possibility)
- asynchronous: can log on anywhere, at any time, and be involved (as opposed to the synchronous method of the classic university lecture with scheduled times)
- threaded conversation to enhance learning through diverse options, creating dynamic sub-topics

A discussion board outlines how learning is done through an online setting and follows the core design principles of OCL. These principles are outlined as follows[9]:

1. **Idea generating:** brainstorming and divergent thinking in a group.
2. **Idea organizing:** learners compare, analyze, and

categorize different ideas.

3. **Intellectual convergence:** learners reach a level of synthesis, understanding, and consensus.

When we developing an understanding about the core principles, the use of enacting mastery similar to the divergent method of experiential learning is noticed. Problem and inquiry-based methods are introduced in OCL through the use of technology and develop thinking, analysis, and consensus through a different method of transmission. Within learning organizations, it may be beneficial to incorporate alternate styles within the technology in order to develop what learning works best for all students. However, when making the learning divergent and involved, either online or in-person, learning will be achieved.

Of course, some are still hesitant to say OCL is the answer to advanced learning. Some feel that the introduction of technology creates this dooms-day effect that renders human teachers useless. This is not the case, as the goal of OCL is not to replace teachers, but to enhance the communication between teachers and learners[9]. However, it would be remiss to say there are potential limitations to OCL, and to be cognizant of when using this method is best for learners.

OCL Pros	OCL Cons
• produce deep and transformative learning. • scaffold learning, leading to higher order thinking. • embrace diverse viewpoints from a multitude of sources, providing an enhanced learning experience.	• to be used effectively, leaders and learners need to be educated in the technology. • lack of emphasis leading to a lack of cohesion. • lack of involvement will lower the amount of sources leading to a fractured learning experience.

Whether the method works, or not, the question depends on how the learning community responds to the implementation. After a trial run of OCL, students can discuss how it is functioning for them. One of the best ways to achieve this is to engage in a reflection with classmates discussing OCL in a start-stop-continue format. This way, learners can have feedback on what is working with OCL, what is not working, and what can be implemented to make it better. Receiving feedback does not only help the students, it develops the abilities as leaders to respond to problems and solve them effectively.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=202#h5p-15

9.4 Common Features in Organizations

Looking more broadly, organizations themselves can be seen as networks of teams. They can be formed through a formal or informal process. **Formal teams** facilitate formal learning where the goal of personal development is the most important aspect[10], much like project-based learning: the project should have importance, but not outweigh the learning objective. **Informal teams** facilitate informal learning. The organizational task is the most important part and the learning outcome is secondary[10]. Essentially, in an informal structure, the hope is that the learning is inherent, and that most people within the organizational group understand a solidified learning outcome when carrying out a project. An example of an informal structure would be surveying for a political candidate. There is a script, and a general learned ability to engage members of the public, but that is secondary to completing the task of getting the questions answered by the citizens, and ensuring a vote for the candidate.

Establishing the type of organizational team identity can explore different types of teamwork styles within learning organizations. Some of the more recent teamwork trends follows three models:

- cross-functional teams
- virtual teams
- self-managing teams

Given that learners come from different disciplines, especially in a learning organization, such as a university, it would not be out of the scope for learners to come together from different disciplines. **Cross-functional teams** are members within different groups who work together on a project. This teamwork trend follows an interdisciplinary nature that involves new ideas through cross-departmental cooperation. An example of this, in a university setting, would be the faculty

of education working with the faculty of psychology to introduce a minor degree in educational psychology of elementary-school students. This brings educational expertise and psychology expertise together, merging the disciplines to create a beneficial topic for the greater good of society. An example of this in a corporation is a team that includes someone from marketing, finance, research and development, and sales that will determine if they should launch a new product and how to launch it.



Long description.

In the same thread as cross-functional teams are **virtual teams,** which can be cross-functional but are computer-orientated, rather than face-to-face interactions. One positive advantage is that effective work can be done with people outside of the geographic area. A disadvantage of virtual teams is the lack

of face-to-face interaction which limits the roles of emotions during the communication process. Using the previous example, the group who has worked together on an educational-psychology minor at a school in Toronto can coordinate with a school in Vancouver virtually, and in real-time, discussing the prospects of the degree. An example of this is a corporation is a team with members working from California, Washington, England, and Tokyo.



Long description.

What about teams without a clear central figure at the helm? **Self-managing teams** are autonomous for the individuals of the group, and they are given authority about how they go about their tasks. Self-managing teams must have members who are competent working together and embrace participative decision-making, delivering better performance, decreased cost, and higher morale. In the previous sample, this would mean letting the education department and the psychology department work on the components of this minor without the central figure of the university administration

managing the process. In this way, university administration allows the experts to manage their respective teams, and be available for problem-solving with events that extend beyond the scope of both departments. In corporations this could be seen as giving a group a project and what the result should be, but not telling the group how they have to achieve the result.



Long description.

Characteristics of High Performing Teams

Regardless of the teamwork methods, all members of the team have to be high performing. According to Schein, there are seven characteristics that high performing teams have[11]:

1. Clear and elevating goals

2. Task-driven, results-oriented
3. Competent, hard-working members
4. Collaborative culture
5. High standards of excellence
6. External support and recognition
7. Strong principled leadership

High performing teams use a contingency model through a valid model of experiential learning[12]. Through experience comes a sense contingency with building skills, and with high performing teams the seven factors help produce the building of collaborative and organizational skills.

Review Questions:

1. What are the differences between formal and informal teams?
2. What are the differences between cross-functional, virtual and self-managing projects?
3. What are seven characteristics of high preforming teams?

9.5 Teamwork in the Workplace

When looking at some common features of teamwork within organizations, the more specific roles of a manager within an organization can be examined. Managers within team structures take on the roles of:

- team leader
- network facilitators
- team member
- external coach

These teamwork roles are very important to the success of an organization, so important that an Ipsos-Reid poll stated back in 2003, that 86% of Canadian business executives claim that teamwork is "very critical" to the overall success of their organization[13]. When focusing on teamwork in the workplace, it is important to know how teams do the work within an organization. According to Conger, Finegold, and Lawler, teams that perform their tasks efficiently, satisfy their members (stakeholders), and remain viable for future projects are seen as **effective teams**[14]. Competent teams are able to successfully harness the member inputs presented, and produce a completion of desired outcomes.

Team inputs are both physical and emotion tools used by members to complete tasks within an organization. Inputs include resources, nature of the work, team members, personal skills, and member characteristics. Hackman outlines how team inputs are implemented within an organization, which lead to organizational success[15].

How do teams eventually form and become effective? Essentially, it happens in stages according to educational psychologist, Bruce Tuckman, who developed the *Tuckman Stages of Group Development*. This model outlines five stages of team development[16]:

- forming
- storming
- norming
- performing
- adjourning

Figure 2 shows the stages using the axis of relationship focus and task focus. The fifth stage of *adjourning* is omitted as it explains the disbanding of the team once the project is completed[14].



Figure 2: Tuckman's Model of Team Development *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license). Long description.*

In this process, team members get together and share personal goals about the project, leading to collective goals and ground rules set for the guidelines for their team. This is the **forming** stage, and is the move from an individual framework to a collaborative framework. Next, there is **storming**, when members try to avoid group-think or one individual's control on the group. Teams may experience trouble through balancing organizational and emotional factors as the storming process can be a tempestuous process,

depending on the direction the group takes. It can be disorienting, moving away from personal convictions and towards a cohesive nature. At times, there may need to be a time to take focus away from the organizational factors, until the team is a cohesive unit.

Once the team is completely clear on the objectives and have established comfortable emotional factors with members, it is time to begin to develop close relationships, and focus on completing organizational tasks during the **norming** stage. The next stage is the **performing** stage, where group members are working most effectively to get the tasks completed. This is when teams are most effective and highest performing. The final stage is **adjourning.,** when members disband to focus back on individual factors, or certain members leave.

Most groups who go through this stage, engage in a learning curve, when their task focus takes a hit, but ultimately, it is beneficial for this to happen in the beginning. This produces a better relationship, as a positive relationship will help the team achieve the tasks in a more effective way. Teamwork is about balancing the dynamic of emotional relationships with organizational tasks.

With relationship factors playing an equally important role in building effective teams, the role of a leader or manager within the framework of relationship identity with organizational teams comes into question. When managing teams, the leader must create a boundary dynamic that can be fostering and concise with all members. The **boundary dynamic** is a delicate boundary between strategy, stakeholder, management, and organizational management[17]. The most precise example of this is the leader or manager relationship with a team. Managers must equally carry relationships with the team, and with each individual, when problems arise. Figure 3 outlines a triangle of relationships and responsibility for managers and leaders within a team dynamic.



Figure 3: The Triangle of Relationships *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license). Long description.*

Dynamisms and Interdisciplinary Teams

Within a modern workplace the need for ever growing and dynamic teams are needed, in addition, teams that are able to work in interdisciplinary ways to cross inter-organizational boundaries. Mathieu, Tannenbaum, Donsbach, and Alliger suggest that team dynamics change over time and conclude that compositional effects and changing dynamics offers promise for advancement[18]. Manning suggests that teamwork across organizational boundaries can produce results to complex issues, and that the need to manage across organizational lines is vital[19].

Dynamisms and interdisciplinary teams can be vital for the success of a modern workplace, through a variance of team characteristics[20]. As leaders we must be aware of our roles

and contribution to the dynamic and changing landscape of the organization, especially in the effect of advancing learning.

Review Questions:

1. What are the roles a manager takes on within a team structure?
2. What are the five stages of Tuckman's Model of Team Development?
3. Describe the delicate boundary in which a leader or manager is involved within a team? What three areas do they have to manage?

Chapter Conclusion

In this chapter, a deep insight was developed about how teams are formed and what makes a team effective. Also examined were divergent teaching styles and learning styles to show how teamwork can be effective in learning organizations.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. A team is a group with complementary skills who work together to accomplish goals. Using the form of checks and balances is an effective measure to establish guidelines within teams.
2. Experiential learning, project-based learning, and inquiry-based learning are effective divergent methods to use in learning organizations. It is important to provide people with the opportunity to learn, and have them to set the course for their own learning.
3. Online Collaborative Learning (OCL) is a new way to foster learning in a modern environment, but pitfalls can be present from decreased deep communication, removing that face-to-face component.
4. The make-up of formal and informal teams use the dynamics of cross-functional, virtual, and self-managing teams, depending on the organizational goals and situations.
5. Effective teams within the workplace develop through the five stages of forming, storming, norming, performing, and adjourning. Leaders and groups, either internally or externally, need to create boundaries, and keep open lines of communication with teams and individuals.

Within this chapter, communication within teams was shown to be an important factor, especially when establishing relationships in the team-forming process. This will lead into the next chapter about communication, with a discussion on

the forms of communications and their effectiveness inside of a learning organization.

1. Katzenbach, J. R., & Smith, D. K. (1993). The discipline of teams. *The Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/1993/03/the-discipline-of-teams-2

2. Katzenbach, J. R., & Smith, D. K. (1993). The discipline of teams. In Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:or6mf3j5@5/15-1-Teamwork-in-the-Workplace

3. Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and education*. Kappa Delta Pi

4. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. In Kolb D. A., Boyatzis, R. E., & Mainemelis, C. (Eds.) Experiential learning theory: Previous research and new directions. http://www.d.umn.edu/~kgilbert/educ5165-731/Readings/experiential-learning-theory.pdf

5. Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/4-4-models-for-teaching-by-doing/

6. Noam, G. G. (2003). Learning with excitement: Bridging school and after-school worlds and project-based learning. *New Directions for Youth Development, 2003*(97), 121-138. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/yd.39

7. Banchi, H., & Bell, R. (2008). The many levels of inquiry. In Bates, A. W. (ed.). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/4-4-models-for-teaching-by-doing/

8. Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines*

for designing teaching and learning. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/6-5-online-collaborative-learning/

9. Harasim, L. (2012). *Learning theory and online technologies*. In Bates, A. W. (ed.). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/6-5-online-collaborative-learning/

10. Macneil, C. (2001). The supervisor as a facilitator of informal learning in work teams. *Journal of Workplace Learning 13*(6), 246-253. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/EUM0000000005724/full/pdf?title=the-supervisor-as-a-facilitator-of-informal-learning-in-work-teams

11. Schein, E. H. (1988). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.

12. Morris, W. C., & Sashkin, M. (1976). *Organization behavior in action: Skill building experiences*. West

13. Ipsos-Reid. (2003). Mid-sized Canadian business executives say teamwork is critical to overall success of their organization. https://www.ipsos.com/en-ca/mid-sized-canadian-business-executives-say-teamwork-critical-overall-success-their-organization

14. Conger, J. A., Finegold, D., Lawler III, E. E. (1998). Appraising boardroom performance. *The Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/1998/01/appraising-boardroom-performance

15. Hackman, J. R. (1987). The design of work in teams. In Lorsch, J. *Handbook of Organizational Behavior*.

Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall. https://www.uio.no/studier/emner/matnat/ifi/INF5181/h14/artikler-teamarbeid/hackman-(1987).design-of-work-teamspdf.pdf

16. Tuckman, B. (1965). Development sequence in small groups. In Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:34IFj0Gm@6/15-2-Team-Development-Over-Time

17. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:0Jcq2e1m@6/15-3-Things-to-Consider-When-Managing-Teams

18. Mathieu, J. E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Donsbach, J. S., & Alliger, G. M. (2014). A review of integration of team composition models: Moving toward a dynamic and temporal framework. *Journal of Management, 41*(1), 130-160. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0149206313503014

19. Manning, S. (2017). The rise of project network organizations: Building core teams and flexible partner pools for interorganizational projects. *Research Policy, 46*(8), 1399-1415. https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0048733317301026?token=164337B772D9C6CD44D1F6D4C6A40145E85E53596D3F9821AF963BDCBF0DC88FDBBEBA44686BF9823F1F544085F94138

20. Devine, D. J., Clayton, L. D., Philips, J. L., Dunford, B. B., & Melner, S. B. (1999). Teams in organizations: Prevalence, characteristics, and effectiveness. *Small Group Research, 30*(6), 678-711. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/104649649903000602

Throughout this part we looked beyond the trees and saw the forest in relation to being a manager within a twenty-first century organizational environment. Senge outlines the creating of service standards leads to higher performance and higher profits. We can conclude seeing people for who they are in our organization, complex and emotional beings, this can be replicated through the customer process within learning organizations.

Take time to read the reflection activity below, use this activity to better understand how to see organizational structures through your personal experience.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=217#h5p-16

PART 4: CONSTRUCTING AND REFLECTING ON LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT IN LEARNING ORGANIZATIONS

The final part of this journey allows you to construct and reflect on leadership and management in the learning organization. In this part we will construct our own understanding of the communication process, communication in teams, diverse and multicultural leadership ending with a reformation or a redux of leadership and management. Allowing learners to construct their craft for leadership and be able to reflect on their craft allows for self-actualization and holistic meaning to one's leadership and management journey.

*Pictured is **Jody Wilson-Raybould**, an independent member of Canadian Parliament, former crown prosecutor, and member of the Wewaikai First Nations Band. Image: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Jody_Wilson-Raybould.jpg*

Senge concludes that building learning organizations involves developing people who learn, and evolve through constant restructuring of mental model or rewriting the code. In many ways, the ability to restructure is to be constructive and reflective, along with fully contextualizing the journey that led to this point.

CHAPTER 10: COMMUNICATION

Communication is an important part of everyday life. We communicate in many ways on a daily basis. This image shows an example of non-verbal communication.

How does one individual communicate with another individual? How do people communicate with each other in a workplace or in society? Communication is not only important within organizations, but also within everyday lives. In this chapter, communication, the communication process, communications within learning organizations, opening channels of communication, and communicative media and technology in education will be defined. The importance of communication within learning organizations, and trends on how the future will look will be outlined and explored.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Define communication and the communication process.
2. Define the concept of encoding and decoding.
3. Define and compare the types of communication within organizations, and describe their importance within them.
4. Define the concepts used in organizations that lead to open communication.
5. Compare and contrast the new trends in media and technology within education and learning organizations.

Chapter Tips:

- Think about the importance of communication within an organization.
- What is the importance of giving and receiving feedback?
- What are some effective communication tools to use in a learning organization?

10.1 The Communication Process

No one can go an entire day without any form of communication. It is even unlikely to happen within the first hour of the morning. **Communication** is the act of relaying messages, either verbal or non-verbal, from one person or entity to another person or entity through signs, symbols, orsyntactics. Communication is not necessarily talking to someone. It could be that when driving to a job in the morning, the act of driving itself through stopping, using turn signals, and at times, honking the horn are all forms of communication. In a way, one of the biggest safety features of the car, is the driver's ability to be communicable while driving in order to drive safely. Communication is how people interact within the environment outside themselves and in their purview. When using person-to-person communication, it is the **communication process** when a communicator encodes a message and a receiver decodes a message[1].

Figure 1 outlines a simple communication process where one individual sends an encoded message to another individual. The second individual then decodes it and offers feedback.



Figure 1: The Basic Communication Model *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license). Long description.*

Two very important parts of the communication process is the encoding and decoding of messages. **Encoding** is the process where individuals initiating the communication process send

out a set of systematic symbols[2]. For example, when saying, "Hello, how are you today," that is using the systematic symbol of language to create a common code for the receiver. **Decoding** is the receiver accepting the encoded message as an interpretation, after which the receiver provides **feedback** which is, in many cases, the final stage, and usually communicates a message of acknowledgement of the coding process[2]. In this example, the decoding is the individual recognizing the greeting and responding with "I'm fine, how are you?" and then the process either continues or ends.

Another part present in the communication process is the introduction of noise. **Noise** is the way encoding and decoding can be distorted by a variety of elements[2]. Noise can come from a plethora of problems including physical distractions, poor channels, and cultural disconnect.

Inside of a learning organization such as a university, the prevailing feature is that schools should maintain an institutional framework for communication and provide clear protocols of information flow through chain of command [3]. This is beneficial to the process of encoding, receiving feedback, and avoiding noise distortions

Review Questions:

1. What is communication and the communication process?
2. What are two important parts of the communication process?

10.2 Organizational Communication

Within organizations, communication is used widely throughout the organizational structure. To achieve **effective communication,** the sender's message is fully interpreted and understood by the receiver. When communication is effective, it provides the receiver the ability to understand what is said and either act, or provide a concise response to the sender, engaging in effective conversation. Effective conversation is best when the communication can be delivered at minimal cost of resources, which is referred to as efficient communication. **Efficient communication** is when a manager tells an employee something, using jargon or colloquialisms, and the employee understands completely and then carries out a task. On the other hand, the use of jargon and colloquialisms might not be the best way to foster efficient communication if the employee does not understand them/ This means that leaders and managers have to be flexible with the language they use in order to foster efficient communication with all members inside the organization.

There are many ways effective and efficient communication can be relayed. One of the most common is **oral communication**, which is the exchange of messages that are spoken and understood[4]. With oral communication being quite common, spoken messages go hand-in-hand with listening. Listening, in many ways, is receiving the message from the sender. However, in organizations, the goal is to achieve the process by taking action to help someone say what is actually meant. This is referred to as **active listening**. Active listening is important to understanding and interpreting the message by creating an effective action with the communication presented. There are rules to active listening that must be followed when receiving a message:

- listen for the message transmission
- listen for feelings

- acknowledge all cues
- paraphrase and restate

Following these rules allows the receiver to fully understand the content being presented. Active listening is especially important in organizations where learning is being fostered, given that learners learn at different rates and levels. Effective communication and active listening are vital to transmit learning to all levels of students. For example, teachers who use oral communication need to be effective in their transmissions, so that students who are actively listening can relay back and restate the ideas presented. Teachers in classrooms are leaders, and if students cannot comprehend the messages, teachers may have to refine their oral communication to allow for active listening to be present.

What if oral communication doesn't work? There are other forms of communication that allow learners to become active listeners. **Written communication** includes email messages, texts, reports, and other annotations relayed from messenger to receiver for precise information[4]. When something particular needs to be mentioned, or a set of specific steps need to be followed, the use of written communication can be effective in relaying the exact steps. The active listening of written communication is the following of the detailed instructions. For example, the teacher might say, "The final assignment should be at least seven pages". One student may interpret that as seven pages in any format, whereas another student may not feel "seven pages" provides enough information, and might ask for more detailed guidelines. The teacher then may provide a specific rubric that states that the final assignment must be a minimum of 1,700 words, double-spaced, in the size 12 Times New Roman font. This is the precise information that is needed, in many instances, to provide a more complete interpretation of the information being transmitted.

There is another form of communication that does not incorporate reading or writing: **nonverbal communication** [4]. The use of physical examples, such as eye movement and hand gestures, or environmental examples, such as using a turn signal in a car, or even a billboard are some forms of nonverbal communication. For example, if communication cannot be transmitted through oral or written communication, a they might use images or hand gestures to illustrate their points. This heightens two senses in the students because they need to use their ears and eyes to accept and understand the information from the teacher. Nonverbal communication transmission can be effective for many individuals, but problems can appear in environmental examples, which segways into connecting communication with social influences.

Communication, either oral, written or nonverbal, is a social process[4]. Figure 2 outlines the many social influences that are present within an organization, which can be mirrored in an organization of learning:



Figure 2: Patterns of Managerial Communication *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license). Long description.*

One communication component that is prevalent in learning organizations is the concept of feedback and ensuring that learning is happening with the student present. **Feedback** is the process of verifying information or the reaction about a product or process, which attempts to foster improvement. With learning, it is important to give learners feedback to ensure that they are progressing through higher-order levels of learning. Teachers need to go one step further, however, and ensure that the feedback is useful and the student is progressing. This is called **constructive feedback** and it ensures that someone is being provided a path for

improvement. Here are some guidelines to ensure that feedback is constructive:

- provide direct feedback based on trust
- ensure feedback is specific
- ensure feedback is valid
- give feedback in small doses

All these forms, within learning organizations, help build the communication process through better understanding and conceptualization. It is clear that communication takes many forms, and that some are more relevant to the situation than others.

<<Why you think you're right; even if you're wrong – Julia Galef>>

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=227#oembed-1

10.3 Opening Channels of Communication: Elton Mayo and the Hawthorne Study

In Chapter Two, the concept of behavioral management and the use of Elton Mayo's Hawthorne Studies were discussed in order to understand workers' needs within a company or organization. Focusing on psychological and physical needs are forms of communication. This classical study focused on telephone-line workers at the Hawthorne Western Electric Plant. Changes in the work space of a private room were used to enhance productivity. They initially attempted to examine the effects of lighting to this end[4], and it eventually turned into an understanding of job redesign. Additional pay increases and break times motivated workers to become more productive leading to the theory of the **Hawthorne effect.** This is "the phenomenon that employees perform better when they feel singled out for attention or feel that management is concerned about their welfare"[5].



*An artist's rendering of the **Western Electric Company in Hawthorne, Illinois**, 1925. (Source: Western Electric Company Photograph Album, 1925. This work is in the public domain in the United States because it was published in the United States between 1924 and 1977 without a copyright notice. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Hawthorne,_Illinois_Works_of_the_Western_Electric_Company,_1925.jpg)*

What does the Hawthorne study say about communication? When workers were provided breaks, shorter work weeks, and

pay incentives, these enhanced the moral of individuals. This, in turn, strengthened the communication between employees at Hawthorne Western Electric. The morale of the individuals who share ideas about the company incentives and discuss their personal feelings to others within the organization is referred to as **interpersonal communication**[6]. Interpersonal communication within workplaces is prevalent in modern times, but its roots, in many ways, can be traced back to the Hawthorne experiment. A revision to the Hawthorne effect can be when employees are able to positively, personally, and emotionally communicate their objectives, in the workplace, about their personal welfare. This can produce motivated workers who are more productive.

Interpersonal communication changes the dynamics of leaders and subordinates within organizations. This is because opening channels of communication through physical and emotional factors within the workplace is beneficial to productivity and employee morale. Open communication about space design can be an effective measure when relaying information to an individual. One way of seeing this, is how leaders or managers organize the seats in their office[7].

Essentially the concept of interpersonal communication is the key factor for opening up channels of communication within the workplace, and when opening up channels of communication you can engage in communication richness. **Communication richness** is how effective and holistic the communication media is depending on the communication tool used. This can be viewed as a spectrum, such as Schermerhorn and Wright exemplify in how a manager chooses a communication channel and which one provides the most richness[7].

When it comes to organizational communication and opening up channels of communication, it is important for leaders and managers to understand the possible limits of the

various methods and use them effectively depending on the situation. Regardless of the medium, the concept of interpersonal communication must be presented to foster success, or in the case of learning organizations, deep learning.

One or more interactive elements has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view them online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=230#oembed-1

10.4 Communicative Media and Technology in Education

Within this modern environment, communication has come a long way since the days of Elton Mayo and his Hawthorne Study. The advancement of technology has given people the ability to communicate locally and globally faster than ever. For this section, **media** will generally be described as information displayed from a source (i.e. leader, manager, educator etc.) through a medium (i.e. oral communication, written communication, and nonverbal communication) towards the receivers (i.e. learners, subordinates, students, etc.). Management communication in leadership relations are important with the goal of communicating as well as possible to subordinates[8]. This will help with the communicable aspects in transmitting technology within educational realms.

Cast and crew race to get ready for the NBC Nightly News. Image: NBC Nightly News with Ann Curry, This file is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 Generic license. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:NBC_Nightly_News_with_Ann_Curry.jpg

One example of media is the broadcast. The anchor on the news station reports news to millions of homes at 6pm and 11pm. Similarly, this is the definition of **broadcast media transmission** as it follows a one-to-many approach[8]. A more collaborative atmosphere is **communicative media transmission**, which is a many-to-many approach[8], where transmission comes from a source and from other transmitters. One example is when there is a town-hall debate for a political office that invites cooperation from the audience, or a live event on YouTube. Not only are the candidates transmitting information, but the individuals in the crowd are transmitting information to the candidate and other audience members. Figure 3 shows a visual between broadcast and communicative media.



Figure 3: Broadcast or Communicative? *(Source: A. W. Bates, Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning. Licensed under a CreativeCommons Attribution – NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License, 2015). Long description.*

This shows how technology is introduced into learning organizations, acting as the new medium between the source and the receiver. The **electronic grapevine,** or the grouping of different electronic mediums, connects organizational members in many different ways. One example is how most modern phones have the ability to check e-mail. This creates an electronic grapevine from the office to the home, since e-mail can be available ion many devices. Some might say that this is a positive innovation, because the nature of a fast-moving twenty-first-century environment, while another might say that with this grapevine, there is never escape from work, which can be detrimental.

In learning organizations, such as schools, the advancement of technological communication, from online textbooks, to quick access of online journal articles, and the use of computerized technology has and will continue to be present. One example of teaching and learning that has used the characteristics of both broadcast media and communicative media transmission is the use of the hybrid classroom. **Hybrid classrooms,** as described by Penn State University, is a transmission approach to delivering course content either separately or with face-face and online connections[10]. The advantages to hybrid classrooms, or hybrid learning, are that it reduces the seat time of individuals, and students can engage in authentic and collaborative learning experiences[10]. In addition, it accommodates the hours of adult learners, allowing more flexibility with time. On the contrary, hybrid teaching can use a lot more resources than the traditional face-to-face method, and still be less integrative, depending on class size. However, the fact that an individual can choose to experience the class traditionally through a face-to-face method, or with divergence through an online method is completely up to the students learning and their objectives toward learning.



Traditional, Online, and Hybrid Teaching. ***Long description.***

Regardless of the media or medium, it must be beneficial to all learners, and help foster learning effectively. Depending on the type of study, both lecture and online learning may not be the best forms of communication transmission. For example, most high school music classes generally have brief lecturing and hardly any online component. Predominantly, music classes are experiential and authentic, by working through sheet music and playing the instrument collaboratively with others in the class. Leaders must find the most effective way to harness

the learning experience, and decide if the hybrid method will deliver learning effectively.

Activity: Elephant and the Blind Men

- Read the poem, "Elephant and the Blind Men" at https://www.jainworld.com/literature/story25.htm
- Answer the questions below.
- Consider working with a partner or in a group.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here: https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=235#h5p-17

Chapter Conclusion

This chapter focused on communication and how it effects organizational and everyday lives. Communication, the communication process, and how to effectively and efficiently communicate to others was discussed. Communication's role within learning organizations and how the technological medium has influenced changes in learning organizations.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Communication is the act of sending messages, either verbal or non-verbal, from one person to another. The communication process is the encoding and decoding of messages.
2. Encoding is initiating the communication process, whereas decoding is interpreting the message, ready to offer feedback.
3. Effective and efficient communication in organizations is done through verbal, written, and non-verbal messaging. An effective rubric for ensuring good communication is giving and receiving constructive feedback.
4. The Hawthorne Effect started the idea of communication openness through interpersonal communication and communication richness.
5. Correlating media with transmission in teaching, divergent methods of online and hybrid classrooms have been modern advancements of learning within organizations.

A view of the principles on leadership and management have been developed. Effective speaking and listening skills, paired with assertiveness and supportive verbal communication, will be explained in the coming chapters to support the development of future effective leaders within learning organizations.

1. Shannon, C., & Weaver, W. (1948). *The mathematical theory of communication*. In Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:GZrSsO27@6/16-1-The-Process-of-Managerial-Communication

2. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:GZrSsO27@6/16-1-The-Process-of-Managerial-Communication

3. Milo, J. P. (2016). Communication competence of college administrators: Characteristics of good leadership and management. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 5*(7), 19-24. http://www.ijstr.org/final-print/july2016/Communication-Competence-Of-College-Administrators-Characteristic-Of-Good-Leadership-And-Management.pdf

4. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:5Ag8ySvB@7/16-2-Types-of-Communications-in-Organizations

5. Openstax, *Introduction to Business* (2019, July 18). https://cnx.org/contents/Tgl3H6iq@8.6:9fa5Rsnr@7/9-2-The-Hawthorne-Studies

6. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:GZrSsO27@6/16-1-The-Process-of-Managerial-Communication

7. Schermerhorn, J. R., & Wright, B. (2014). *Management: Third Canadian Edition*. John Wiley & Sons Canada

8. Nordby, H. (2014). Management communication in

leadership relations: A philosophical model of understanding and contextual agreement. *Philosophy of Management, 13*(2), 75-100. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.5840/pom201413212.pdf

9. Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Opentext B.C. https://opentextbc.ca/teachinginadigitalage/chapter/8-3-broadcast-vs-communicative-technologies/

10. Penn State University. (2019). What is hybrid learning? https://sites.psu.edu/hybridlearning/what-is-hybrid/

CHAPTER 11: MULTICULTURAL LEADERSHIP



Pierre Trudeau *declared in 1971 that Canada would become a multicultural nation. The Multiculturalism Act of 1988 was subsequently adopted by the Canadian Parliament, signifying Canada as the first nation to adopt a multiculturalism policy. Source https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Pierre_Elliot_Trudeau-2.jpg*

This chapter will return to the large scale ethno-cultural aspects of leadership and management through the

understanding of multiculturalism within learning organizations. In many ways, multicultural leadership draws inferences from leadership, management, ethics, social responsibility, motivation, decision-making, collaboration and communication. Placing multiculturalism at this point in the textbook acts as a book-end and reminder of the path taken on this journey through leadership and management in learning organizations. Throughout this chapter, an introduction to workplace diversity and the types of diversity will be presented. In addition, a base understanding of legislation, ethical considerations, respecting the motivations of others, communicating diversity in teamwork, and the impact of diversified relationships in a global environment will be provided.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Define diversity and different types of diversity concepts presented in the workplace.
2. Define what legislation has been passed that relates to multiculturalism and diversity in the workplace.
3. Contextualize the process of respecting the rights and motivations of others.
4. Compare and contrast the impact of diverse and multicultural teams within learning organizations.
5. Define and contextualize the effect of diversity within a globalized marketplace.

Chapter Tips:

- What can you make of diversity from your

previous experiences?

- How has the law been effective in understanding diversity?
- What are the different ways cultures communicate in a diverse atmosphere?

11.1 Introduction to Workplace Diversity

Before defining diversity, it is important to understand what multiculturalism is. Multiculturalism has a broad definition as its impact has spread through sociological, political, and colloquial use. To summarize, **multiculturalism** is the process of ethnic pluralism within a state or society through legal, social, and economic factors. The concept of ethnic pluralism means "more than one" ethnicity, in a state or society (i.e. Canada or Canadian society), and how multiple ethnicities interact in the legal, social, and economic aspects of that state or society. With different ethnicities comes different identities and influences. **Diversity** is the identity-based differences between people[1]. **Workplace diversity** is the identity-based differences of processes or outcomes within a work environment.

Within workplace diversity, there is what is called **managing diversity,** which refers to the action that leaders take to ensure all members of an organization or workplace are treated fairly and valued within it[1]. It is important that the learning goals leaders are working toward should go hand-in-hand with managing the diverse aspects of all learners. With different ethnicities, backgrounds, and life experiences, it is important to develop a framework that is *diverse-cognizant* to ensure that students are comfortable to learn in a diverse setting.

How is diversity to effectively seen to effectively manage it? One way is through understanding its types that are commonly present within organizations. Some topics at the onset of teamwork may be too personal to share, and may not need to be presented. However, over time different levels of diversity may surface, which can lead to a further understanding of an individual or group. Here is a set of different types of diversity that are present within organizations and everyday life[1]:

Types of Diversity (Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license)

Surface-level diversity	Diversity in the form of characteristics of individuals that are readily visible, including, but not limited to, age, body size, visible disabilities, race or sex.
Deep-level diversity	Diversity characteristics that are non-observable such as attitudes, values, and beliefs, such as religion.
Hidden diversity	Diversity characteristics that are deep-level but may be concealed or revealed at the discretion by individuals who possess them, such as sexual orientation.

When leaders or a managers are able to effectively handle diversity efficiently, it can produce both economic and social benefits. With diversity in the workplace, one particular study by Cox and Blake, conclude that diverse work organizations create a competitive advantage in areas of cost structures and human resources[2]. In addition, organizations that embrace a culturally diverse environment show benefits in three organizational areas[2]:

- Creativity.
- Problem Solving.
- Adapting to change.

Figure 1: Managing Cultural Diversity. *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license). Long description.*

However, there have been some reported negative effects when the topic of diversity is brought up within organizations. One of the biggest challenges that face managers within diverse organizations is **lower organizational attachment**; which is when, after diversity is presented in an organization, another group feels alienated[3]. An example of this can be the act of reverse discrimination within the workplace, which is described as a dominant group receiving discrimination from a diverse class of citizens[3]. This can lead to a wedge in the

organizational makeup between different diverse groups, eventually leading to a shortage of goals met.

This leads to the importance of managing diversity effectively, ensuring all members, regardless of dominance or marginalization, be managed in a way that is fair, consistent, and valued. Not only can it avoid emotional rifts within the organization, it can help keep leaders out of trouble with the law, which is the next section of this chapter, dealing with legislation.

Within learning organizations, a more deeper understanding on multicultural leadership is being discussed. From isolating systems of otherness in organizational frameworks[4], to the awareness of culture, depth of mentorship, and inclusive measures in counselor pedagogy[5], all have an impact on how individuals learn about diversity in organizations.

With regard to sustainability, sustainable education and sustainable leadership mirror sustainable diversity through a lens of seeing the humanistic side of pedagogical change and growing strong and essential relationships between employers and employees, teachers, administrators, students and communities[6]. Ultimately, the role of multicultural leadership rests in the hands of public representatives, members of diverse communities, and accountability from organizations to enhance multicultural leadership.

Review Questions:

1. What is multiculturalism and diversity?
2. What are the three types of diversity presented in an organizational structure?

3. Cox and Blake say that a diverse organizational environment is beneficial in what three organizational areas?

11.2 Understanding Legislation and Diversity

At this point in this chapter, it is important to offer some insight of the legislation that has been passed regarding diversity. In today's age, the legal system is used in conjunction with diversity to combat discrimination in the workplace, and each country has its own ways to combat discrimination, not only within organizations, but within civil society.

Canada

As mentioned at the beginning of this chapter, former Prime Minister, Pierre Trudeau, declared that Canada would be a multicultural nation in 1971, followed by the adoption of the Multiculturalism Act of 1988 by the Canadian Parliament. In between 1971 and 1988, the ***Canadian Human Rights Act*** (R. S. C., 1985, c. H-6) was adopted, which states:

> "[T]hat all individuals should have the opportunity with other individuals to make for themselves the lives that they are able and wish to have and to have their needs accommodated, consistent with their duties and obligations as members of society."[7]

This act is the key legislative tool protecting individuals in Canadian society against discrimination based on an individual's race, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, and others[7].

In regards workplaces and organizations, sections 7 to 11 of the ***Canadian Human Rights' Act*** focus on employment within organizations. This means that the act focuses on employment, hiring, wages, and value of work with an emphasis that it is discriminatory to refuse employment, collude against, provide unequal wages, or adjust the criterion

of work relative to the skill[7]. Canada has a strong safety net for preventing discriminatory practices in the workplace. Leaders and managers within all organizations are cognizant of the laws presented, which aim to protect all members.

United States

The United States has a long history of discrimination and legislation, not to mention, a rich history of legislation with such venerated articles as the ***Constitution of the United States***. The acknowledgement of discrimination, and the legislation to hinder discrimination, came about over two decades before Canada with the ***Civil Rights Act of 1964***, which proposes that a "[prohibition] of discrimination in public places, [providing] the integration of schools and other public facilities"[8].



The Civil Rights Act was passed in the chambers of the U.S. Senate in 1964. This work is in the public domain in the United States because it is a work prepared by an officer or employee of the United States Government as part of that person's official duties under the terms of Title 17, Chapter 1, Section 105 of the US Code. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:US_Senate_Session_Chamber.jpg

Within the workplace, key titles and amendments have been introduced and put into law since 1964. Enforced by the U.S. Equal Employment and Opportunity Commission, circa 1964, it administers and enforces the civil rights' laws against workplace discrimination[9]. Below is a list of the key diversity-related legislation:

Key Diversity Related Legislation (Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license)

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	created the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission with the primary role of making it illegal to discriminate against someone in the workplace due to their race, national origin, sex, disability, religion, or pregnancy status
Equal Pay Act of 1963	mandates that men and women must be given the same pay for equal work
Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA)	forbids discrimination against individuals who are age 40 and above
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	prohibits discrimination against people with disabilities in employment, public services, public accommodations, and in telecommunications
Pregnancy Discrimination Act (PDA)	prohibits any discrimination as it relates to pregnancy, including hiring, firing, compensation, training, job assignment, insurance, or any other employment conditions
Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA)	grants new parents up to 12 weeks of paid or unpaid leave to care for the new child, and gives nursing mothers the right to express milk on workplace premises.

Europe

Within the European Union (EU), anti-discrimination laws within the workplace are also present. Beginnings of anti-discrimination were founded in the ***Treaty of Amsterdam*** in 1997 with Article 6a in the document stating that members within the EU are to "combat discrimination based on sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation"[10].

Since the ***Treaty of Amsterdam***, acknowledgement of discrimination in places of work saw the adoption of the EU Employment Equality Directive to extend a protection of rights against discrimination "by explicitly obliging the Member States to prohibit discrimination in employment on the grounds of religion or belief, age, disability, and sexual orientation"[11]. These directives act as a check-and-balance to ensure that all member nations within the EU are following the directives of preventing discrimination in the workplace. Another key impact of this legislation is that it is **multilateral** (encompassing more than one country) in its approach. Here are some of the countries where this multilateral approach applies[12]:

- Austria
- Belgium
- Denmark
- France
- Germany
- Italy
- Netherlands
- Spain
- Sweden
- United Kingdom

Why Know About Legislation?

It is important to know about legislation as leaders within any organization develop an understanding of working within the laws of their country, or a country in the global marketplace. Legislation is important in identifying the rules and ethics that govern an organization. Referring back to chapter 6, it is clear how the basic formats of ethics' codes within organizations get their ideas from the legislation passed in a country's history. This presents an interdisciplinary look on how political and legal actions have an effect on leaders and managers within educational institutions.

Contemporary Arguments in Diversity Legislation

Issues still arise within the realm of diversity legislation, such as protection of jobs based on extraneous factors. One example of relevance is the human resources practice of using social media sites to base hiring and firing decisions, which reflects and emerging issues within organizations[13]. It is important to understand the different pitfalls when basing decisions on extraneous factors, such as future policy change, lack of cultural awareness, and constitutional implications relating to collusion.

Understanding diversity within organizations in relation to legal issues is important to understand change within an organizational society. Furthermore, the forward movement on harassment legislation, has helped boost the awareness of subduing harmful tactics in the workplace, and to embrace a newer form of cultural diversity in organizations.

Review Question:

1. What are key legislative documents (in Canada, United States, and the European Union) which help promote diversity within the workplace?
2. Why is it important to know about legislation in an organization?

11.3 Respecting the Rights of All

The goal with workplace diversity is that all members can work cohesively together. With the knowledge of legislation that has been passed, respecting the rights of all in the workplace is a common approach to organizations. However, within the workplace, there is an adjustment or a caveat that acts as a check-and-balance on the side of the organization, referred to as reasonable accommodation. **Reasonable accommodation** means that the employer must make a wholesome attempt to allow for differences amongst the workforce[16]. Another way it can be viewed is making accommodations in the workforce based on proven need or acceptable measures (i.e. a form, in some instances). The United Nations in their Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities describes reasonable accommodation as:

> "[N]ecessary and appropriate modification and adjustments not imposing a disproportionate or undue burden, where needed in a particular case, to ensure persons with disabilities the enjoyment or exercise on an equal basis with others of all human rights and fundamental freedoms"[17]

There are some areas where accommodation and reasonable accommodation can be found and used within an organizational setting. Some challenges within the workplace can be remedied by reasonable accommodation and the legislation passed.

People with Disabilities

There are several laws within the United States regarding

disability access and protecting against discrimination. Here are five important federal laws that protect individuals with disabilities from discrimination in employment and the job application process:

- Americans with Disabilities Act
- Rehabilitation Act
- Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act
- Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act
- Civil Service Reform Act

The information below is from the Office of Disability Employment Policy under the US Department of Labor [17]:

The **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)** prohibits discrimination against people with disabilities and guarantees equal opportunities for individuals with disabilities in employment, transportation, public accommodations, state and local government services, and telecommunications. Two sections of the ADA relate to employment:

Title I: Employment prohibits covered employers from discriminating against people with disabilities in all employment-related activities, including hiring, pay, benefits, firing and promotions. Covered employers include private businesses, educational institutions, employment agencies, labor organizations, and state and local government entities with 15 or more employees.[17].

The **Rehabilitation Act** authorizes funding for various disability-related purposes and activities, including state vocational rehabilitation (VR) programs, independent living programs, training and research, and the work of the National Council on Disability. It also includes three sections that prohibit discrimination against individuals with disabilities by specific types of employers: federal agencies, employers/ businesses contracting with federal agencies and programs receiving federal financial assistance.

Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act prohibits federal employers from discriminating against qualified individuals with disabilities and requires them to take affirmative action to employ and advance in employment qualified individuals with disabilities[17].

The **Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA)** consolidates federal job training and employment programs, including employment and training services for adults, dislocated workers, and youth and Wagner-Peyser employment services administered by the Department of Labor (DOL); and adult education and literacy programs and Vocational Rehabilitation programs for individuals with disabilities administered by the Department of Education (DoED). WIOA's Section 188 prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities who apply for, participate in or are employees of any program or organization that receives federal financial assistance under WIOA or that provides programs/activities as part of the One-Stop system[17].

The **Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act (VEVRAA)** requires employers that have federal contracts or subcontracts entered into before December 1, 2003 of $25,000 or more and/or federal contracts or subcontracts entered into on or after December 1, 2003 of $100,000 or more to provide equal employment opportunities for certain veterans with disabilities. VEVRAA's **Section 4212** specifically prohibits discrimination against covered veterans with disabilities in the full range of employment activities[17].

The **Civil Service Reform Act (CSRA)**, which covers most federal agencies, contains several rules designed to promote fairness in federal personnel actions and prohibit discrimination against applicants and employees with disabilities[17].

People with Diverse Religious

Practices

In this modern and global workforce, leaders and managers meet different religions and beliefs within an organization. This relates to the holidays an organization, state, or society observe. The US Federal Government recognizes 10 holidays. However, several things can affect when you observe your holidays such as your alternative work schedule (if you work one) and if you work full time or part-time. There are also special rules for state and local holidays for employees who work outside the Washington DC metropolitan area. The Federal Holidays are[18]:

- **New Year's Day** January 1
- **Martin Luther King's Birthday** 3rd Monday in January
- **Washington's Birthday** 3rd Monday in February
- **Memorial Day** last Monday in May
- **Juneteenth National Independence Day** June 19
- **Independence Day** July 4
- **Labor Day** 1st Monday in September
- **Columbus Day** 2nd Monday in October
- **Veterans' Day** November 11
- **Thanksgiving Day** 4th Thursday in November
- **Christmas Day** December 25
- Please note that this is a list of federal holidays and states may differ from this list. Please note that the State of Washington does not observe Columbus day.

This list outlines the general holidays such as Christmas, but what about other days of observance such as Ramadan and Eid al-Fitr for the Muslim faith; Passover, Rosh Hashanah, and Chanukah for people of Jewish faith; or Kawanzaa for members of the African Diaspora. Easter Monday is an observed holiday in Canada, but only in a few provinces and territories, and what

about holidays and traditions practiced by the indigenous peoples? Leaders actions in exercising reasonable accommodation are paramount. Although their positions in an organization may not change the laws regarding holidays for time off, they can be instrumental in acknowledging and accepting adjustments to the rules. Allowing organizational amendments for different traditions can be done by allowing a couple hours away from work to worship, extend dress and uniform requirements, provide prayer and meditation rooms, and be accommodating to dietary issues[19]. These actions provide leaders with the ability to effectively manage diversity and accommodate all different faiths within an organization.

People with a Different Race, Gender, and Ability

The United States has laws and guidance to protect against discrimination. The information below is from the US Trade Commission [20} Some of these major laws to protect people include [20]:

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, as amended, protects employees and job applicants from employment discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex and national origin. Title VII protection covers the full spectrum of employment decisions, including recruitment, selections, terminations, and other decisions concerning terms and conditions of employment. *See* EEOC guidance on race/color, religion, sex, sexual harassment, pregnancy, and national origin discrimination [20].

Equal Pay Act of 1963. The Equal Pay Act of 1963 protects men and women from sex-based wage discrimination in the payment of wages or benefits, who perform substantially equal

work in the same establishment. *See* EEOC guidance on equal pay and compensation discrimination [20].

Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967. The Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), as amended, protects persons 40 years of age or older from age-based employment discrimination. The Older Workers Benefit Protection Act amends several sections of the ADEA and establishes conditions for a waiver of ADEA protections. *See* EEOC guidance on age discrimination [20].

Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Sections 501 and 505 of the Rehabilitation Act, as amended, protects employees and job applicants from employment discrimination based on disability. This law covers qualified employees and job applicants with disabilities. It also requires Federal agencies to make reasonable accommodation of any known disabilities unless such accommodation would cause an undue hardship. *See* EEOC guidance on disability discrimination [20].

The Civil Rights Act of 1991. The Civil Rights Act of 1991 amends several sections of Title VII to strengthen and improve Federal civil rights laws and provide for the recovery of compensatory damages in Federal sector cases of intentional employment discrimination [20].

How do do leaders manage all of this? It is important for them to really know their organizational members, and be reasonable with requests. If someone would like to be called a different name, or alternate name, in substitution of her or his given name, that can be seen as reasonable. However, some instances may not be seen this way, and a civil discussion and consensus must be reached. There are many goals within an organization that need to be achieved by all members, and it is best to resolve any conflicts based on race, sex, and gender efficiently and effectively for both parties to return to a cohesive unit. If issues cannot be resolved, consulting the code of ethics and the Anti Discrimination Laws might need to occur [20].

Discrimination

Under the Lawes enforced by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (E.E.O.C.) it is illegal to discriminate against someone (applicant or employee) because of that person's race, color, religion, sex (including gender identity, sexual orientation, and pregnancy), national origin, age (40 or older), disability or genetic information. It is also illegal to retaliate against a person because he or she complained about discrimination, filed a charge of discrimination, or participated in an employment discrimination investigation or lawsuit [35].

A job discrimination complaint may be filed by mail or in person at the nearest EEOC office. EEOC offices can be found by calling the EEOC at 1-800-669-4000, or by going to the EEOC's Field Office List and Jurisdiction Map and selecting an office [34].

With all of these practices in place, there are still stories of discrimination in the workplace. Disability discrimination, race discrimination, sexual discrimination, and gender discrimination are still encountered, even in this modern era. Organizations can experience such issues. In the 21st century, discrimination has been lowered, compared to recent decades, but how can leaders effectively prepare themselves to be proactive in eliminating discrimination? These are some steps to help notice and proactively remedy discrimination in the workplace:

1. **Know the signs** – Be proactive in knowing the signs of discrimination in the workplace. Even if they may seem harmless, intervene and take appropriate action.
2. **Consult the organization's code of ethics** – Refer to the code of ethics for an organization, and understand how a discriminatory act hurts the individual and the mission, vision and values set out for that organization.

3. **Engage in civil discourse** – If different parties are involved, engage them to discuss the issue, and mediate the circumstances in a calm and civil manner.
4. **Use expert power (but only when needed)** – Within a civil discourse, it is better to use ***active listening*** over anything else, but do intervene when a situation calls for the use of expertise to guide a conclusion.
5. **Consult a human rights' commission** – Either municipal, province/state, or federal, if a situation needs to be delegated to a human rights' commission, do not avoid it: take action. Ideally, it is better to avoid getting to this point, but if it is necessary, it can protect leaders and the organization in the long run.
6. **Always follow up on issues of discrimination** – Even after the event has passed, and in some cases, tempers cooled, it is always best to have a follow-up on the situation to ensure measures that were implemented are working, and members are pleased with the results. If they are still not pleased, it may be necessary to consult again and engage in more discourse. By actively following up on issues, this shows members, on both sides of the discussion, that you care about the issue, and want to effectively manage an agreeable conclusion.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=249#h5p-18

11.4 Team Diversity and Multicultural Teams

When discussing teamwork and collaboration, refer back to Chapter 9, when teamwork within organizations and the use of cooperative learning were discussed. There are some thoughts on diversity and multicultural teams within an organizational structure. Rock and Grant support the idea of multicultural and diverse teams, as they are key to boosting the intellectual potential, helping to keep biases and assumptions in check[21]. When reflecting on aspects of indigenous pedagogy, the value respect for group processes and a sense of community are important to fostering knowledge within these communities.

Previously, the importance of the forms of communication and how communication is used in a collaborative nature was explained. However, multicultural teams can experience challenges because of cultural differences in the communication process. Some cultures use **direct communication,** which is the use of communication tools directly through the words they use, with literal interpretations[22]. Other cultures use **indirect communication,** which is the use of non-verbal behaviors and implications to convey meaning within the communication process[23]. Here is a general outline of the differences between cultures with direct and indirect forms of communication, and examples of some cultures that are both direct and indirect communicators:

Direct Cultures	Indirect Cultures
• considered to be low-context • succinct and accurate verbal speak • be truthful in any situation (speak your mind) • cultures include: **Canadian, American, British, and Australian**	• considered to be high-context • elaborate and descriptive actions of non-verbal communication • best to be polite than to be truthful • cultures include: **Russians, Italians, Brazilians, and African Nations**

With this understanding of different ways cultures communicate within a workforce and how it can present challenges, it also creates a very important opportunity to check biases and assumptions of individuals or groups, where different cultures are amalgamated. Rock and Grant outline a study done by the Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, which concludes that groups from diverse background completed a task with greater efficiency and with less errors, compared to the homogeneous group[24]. They also cited a study in Texas where diverse teams were able to accurately price stocks more correctly than homogeneous groups[24]. Although the methodology and data collection were not stated, the conclusions found that when errors occurred in deliberation that members of diverse groups worked more efficiently to remedy the situation[24].

This might be because when diverse groups get together, traditional norms are cast aside. One of the traditional norms within any society can be confirmation bias. As discussed in Chapter 8, distinctions in cultural world-views can be beneficial to the success of an organization. Perhaps the diverse group moved away from confirmation bias and engaged in a wholesome discussion, leading to a more successful outcome. With teamwork, it is important to remember the core tenants

of what makes a good team, and add in the understanding of a multicultural and diverse dynamic. This leads to a richness within an organization, and progress towards goals.

Review Questions:

1. Define direct and indirect communication?
2. What are some cultures that engage in direct communication?
3. What are some cultures that engage in Indirect communication?
4. What is a potential outcome when cultures do not cast aside traditional norms?

As mentioned in previous chapters, the need for effective leadership in a modern and diverse society is imperative in this 21st century, globalized environment. Within this framework, the concept of cultural and global diversity within the framework of organizations means that leaders must be cognizant of the ever-changing diverse landscape.

Within cultural and global diversity, there is cultural intelligence. **Cultural intelligence** is a competency that allows individuals from their own cultures to function effectively in other cultural environments[25]. Essentially, cultural intelligence is the ability to be aware of other cultures and their impact on your own culture, while at the same time being self-aware (Maslow) about your own culture and the impact it has

on other cultures. Here are some stages faced when adapting into a new culture:

- confusion
- small victories
- the honeymoon
- the irritation and anger
- reality

With these steps, confusion is a given, since life up to this point has been one view, and gaining small victories can be understanding basic language and maybe forging a few relationships. At this point, there is a feeling of having been accepted by the culture. Then, there is the realization that this is only the tip of the iceberg, as learning a language and forging relationships does not develop an understanding of the social, political and economic factors of a culture. This leads to frustration, and eventually, to a reality of knowing a culture and the pros and cons associated with it. Understanding cultural intelligence in this way develops an understanding the impact of you in that culture, and self-reflecting on your own culture inside of a new culture.

This leads to the question of whether management and leadership theories are universal. Geert Hofstede would say that there is no universal theory to management, and that management theories closely relate to a particular culture[26]. Even from the leadership and management theories presented in previous chapters, the came from a North-American perspective, and seem to follow the American economic model from the early 20th century, and American principles of economy and business. When understanding how different cultures interact with leadership ideals, use the cultural insights research from Project GLOBE. House, Hanges, Javidan, Dorfman, and Gupta. They developed the GLOBE study of 62 countries and how each culture reacts to leadership

traits, organizational values and relationships along cross-cultural lines[27].

Social Issues in Organizations

Regardless of legislation and change towards diversity, issues still arise in organizations. Spiegel suggests self-awareness and being self-critical should be used to alleviate gender bias [28], addressing the lack of advancement of female leaders within industrial and commercial sectors[29], and understanding training in organizations[30].

Moving forward, understanding gender roles within organizations is important to developing a framework for organizations in a diverse society. Through the understanding of leadership of female leaders[31], to gender ideals on transformative and transactional leadership[32], the ability to develop a framework to alleviate complexity can be done through constant reflection and research. Becoming aware of the specific inequities within our society[33], and engage in different socio-cultural perspectives will help us recognize the social issues within organizations[34]. Along with awareness, comes with looking at these issues with a pragmatic lens and how certain decisions effect key aspects such as society, economics, political, and legislation. To be aware and pragmatic of these implications, avoid bias and leading to group-think decisions.

Activity: Disputation (Debate):

Woodcut carved by Johann von Armssheim (1483). Portrays a disputation between Christian and Jewish scholars (Soncino Blaetter, Berlin, 1929. Jerusalem, B. M. Ansbacher Collection). This work is in the ***public domain*** *in its country of origin and other countries and areas where the copyright term is the author's* ***life plus 100 years or fewer****. From https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Disputation.jpg*

Disputations (Latin: Disputatio) are formalized debates that were common in the Middle Ages and are designed to establish a truth between two competing sides in the realm of philosophy, theology, and the sciences.

In this activity, three teams are formed: one team will take the side of pro (agree with the proposed

resolution), and the the other team will be contra (disagree with the proposed resolution), and the third team will be the magistrate (judging the outcome). Regardless of personal feelings on the topic, a good debater is able to construct an argument from both sides. This makes it valuable for creating a rebuttal for both sides.

Disputation:

Fellow orators and debaters, let it be resolved: diversity in organizations is a perfect solution to organizational effectiveness.

– Pro and Contra teams will have five minutes to shape their arguments.

– After the five minutes, the Pro team will make its case no longer than five minutes.

– After the Pro team, the Contra team will make its case, no longer than five minutes.

– Pro will be allowed a 2-minute rebuttal to the Contra case (be sure to take notes while the other team is talking).

– Contra will make a final 2-minute rebuttal to conclude the disputation.

– Magistrates are to listen and collectively come up with a winner on the debate. Keep in mind the ethics, logic, and persuasion of the Pro and Contra teams.

Chapter Conclusion

Much can be learned from the concept of diversity and multiculturalism to understand learning within learning organizations. When attempting to foster learning, either through leadership techniques, management techniques, social entrepreneurship, ethical considerations, decision-making, teamwork or communication, it is important to keep a diverse mindset. Understand where you place yourself in a diverse culture, Be self-aware of where a culture places you. This chapter served as a reminder of the journey of leadership and management issues within a learning organization, and notes large issues that are imperative to being successful leaders in a modern and diverse environment.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Diversity is the identity-based differences between people. Diversity concepts within organizations are workplace diversity and managing diversity.
2. Canada passed the *Canadian Human Rights' Act,* which allows individuals to pursue a life they desire without restrictions based on race, gender, sexual orientation, ability, religion and others. Other countries have similar legislation.
3. Reasonable accommodation and understanding the steps to acknowledge, delegate, and remedy situations or discrimination in the workplace.
4. Understanding diversity and cultures through direct and indirect communication can help shape the learning process within different individuals to progress towards mastery.
5. The use of cultural intelligence is beneficial to understanding cultures in a globalized marketplace. Being self-aware of your standing inside your culture and other cultures is a good first step.

On this journey, a holistic understanding about leadership and management, as well as its impact not only on subordinates, but its impact on a global scale and in different cultural communities has been developed. Reflecting on this chapter as the impact of learning, and the fostering of learning as a leader, are important roles to take away when moving into the real world. Once ready, advance to the twelfth, and final chapter, where aspects of the leadership and management are revived along with a discussion whether or not there is truly a different between the two.

1. Openstax, *Organizational Behavior* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/LZQauaxb@5.3:n3cSC9sD@6/5-1-An-Introduction-to-Workplace-Diversity

2. Cox, T. H., & Blake, S. (1991). Managing cultural diversity: Implications for organizational competitiveness. *The Executive 5*(3), 45-56. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4165021.pdf?refreqid=excelsior:6e5ce5967a8a3d38d820d5f6803c3b25

3. Openstax, *Organizational Behavior* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/LZQauaxb@5.3:dqdBmNy_@7/5-4-Challenges-of-Diversity

4. Canen, A., & Canen, A. (2008). Multicultural leadership: The cost of its absence in organizational conflict management. *International Journal of Conflict Management, 19*(1), 4-19.

5. Storlie, C. A., Parker-Wright, M., & Woo, H. (2015). Multicultural leadership development: A qualitative analysis of emerging leaders in counselor education. *Journal of Counselor Leadership and Advocacy, 2*(2), 154-169. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/2326716X.2015.1054078?needAccess=true

6. Alison Yeung, S-W., Lee, Y., and Ryan Yue, K. W. (2006). Multicultural leadership, sustainable total school environment. *Educational Research for Policy and Practice, 5*(2), 121-131.

7. *Canadian Human Rights Act*, R. S. C. 1985, c H-6. https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/h-6/fulltext.html

8. *Civil Rights Act*, H. R. 7152, 1964. https://www.ourdocuments.gov/doc.php?flash=false&doc=97#

9. Openstax, *Organizational Behavior* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/LZQauaxb@5.3:dqdBmNy_@7/5-4-Challenges-of-Diversity

10. European Union: Council of the European Union, *Treaty of Amsterdam amending the treaty of the European Union, the treaties the European communities and related acts*, 10 November, 1997, p. 26. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/topics/treaty/pdf/amst-en.pdf

11. Tymowski, J. (2016). The employment equality directive: European implementation assessment. *European Parliamentary Research Service*, p. 1. http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2016/536346/EPRS_STU(2016)536346_EN.pdf

12. Government of Great Britain (n. d.). *Countries in the EU and EAA*. https://www.gov.uk/eu-eea

13. Kristl Davison, H., Maraist, C., & Bing, M. (2011). Friend or foe? The promise and pitfalls of using social networking sites for HR decisions. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 26*(2), 153- 159. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/41474863.pdf

14. Sadiq, K. D. (2005). Race, ethnicity and immigration in the workplace. *Canadian Issues, Spring 2005*, 61-66. https://search.proquest.com/docview/208680208/fulltextPDF/5E71B73907234C91PQ/1?accountid=14789

15. Namie, G. (2003). Workplace bullying and escalated incivility. *Ivey Business Journal Online*, 1-6. https://workplacebullying-org.garynamie.com/multi/pdf/N-N-2003A.pdf

16. Openstax, *Business Ethics* (2019, May 6). https://cnx.org/

contents/kUrGbuHs@4.2:dXXQJfuJ@3/
8-2-Accommodating-Different-Abilities-and-Faiths

17. US Department of Labor Employment Laws: Disability & Discrimination. *(8/5/2022). https://www.dol.gov/agencies/odep/publications/fact-sheets/employment-laws-disability-and-discrimination#*

18. *United States Office of Human Resources Management. (2022) Federal holidays.* https://www.commerce.gov/hr/employees/leave/holidays

19. Openstax, *Business Ethics* (2019, May 6). https://cnx.org/contents/kUrGbuHs@4.2:dXXQJfuJ@3/
8-2-Accommodating-Different-Abilities-and-Faiths

20. United States Federal Trade Commission. I(8/5/2022). *Protections Against Discrimination and other Prohibited Practices. https://www.ftc.gov/policy-notices/no-fear-act/protections-against-discrimination*

21. Rock, D., & Grant, H. (2016). Why diverse teams are smarter. *The Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2016/11/why-diverse-teams-are-smarter

22. Joyce, C. (2012). The impact of direct and indirect communication. *The Independent Voice*. https://uiowa.edu/conflictmanagement/sites/uiowa.edu.conflictmanagement/files/Direct%20and%20Indirect%20Communication.pdf

23. Rock, D., & Grant, H. (2016). Why diverse teams are smarter. *The Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2016/11/why-diverse-teams-are-smarter

24. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31).

Retrieved from https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:ifTG0E5k@5/15-6-Multicultural-Teams

25. Hofstede, G. (1993). Cultural constraints in management theories. *The Executive 7*(1), 81-94. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4165110.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3Abb86a3ee4dabd341a558f3a4461ee703

26. House, R. J.; Hanges, P. J.; Mansour, J.; Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage Publications.

27. Spiegel, R. (2018, August 29). Gender bias in higher education: Why we need to develop self-aware leaders. Academic Impressions. https://www.academicimpressions.com/blog/gender-bias-higher-education/

28. Baker, C. (2014). Stereotyping and women's roles in leadership positions. *Industrial and Commercial Training, 46*(6), 332-337.

29. Way, A. D., & Marques, J. (2013). Management of gender roles: Marketing the androgynous leadership style in the classroom and the general workplace. *Organization Development Journal, 31*(2), 82-94.

30. Kleihauer, S., Stephens, C., Hart, W. E., & Stripling, C. T. (2013). How six women deans of agriculture have attained their leadership role: A qualitative study. *Journal of Agricultural Education, 54*(3), 15-27. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1122308.pdf

31. Cuadrado, I., Garcia-Ael, C., & Molero, F. (2015). Gender-typing of leadership: Evaluations of real and ideal managers. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 56*(2)

236-244. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/sjop.12187

32. Montgomery, K. (2018, November 13). Race and racism. *Learning Organizations: Leadership and Management*. University of Windsor, Windsor, ON.

33. Shuker, D. (2018, October 30). Impactful inclusion and diversity in teamwork. *Learning Organizations: Leadership and Management*. University of Windsor, Windsor, ON.

34. US Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. *(8/5/2022). How to file a complaint.* https://www.eeoc.gov/youth/how-file-complaint#:~:text=A%20job%20discrimination%20complaint%20may,the%20office%20closest%20to%20you.

35. US Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. *(8/5/2022). Prohibited Employment Policies/Practices.* *https://www.eeoc.gov/prohibited-employment-policiespractices*

CHAPTER 12: LEADERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT REDUX

This is the final stage of this leadership and management journey. This chapter will act as a restoration, or a redux of leadership and management. It is time to reflect on all of the ideas presented thus far. John Dewey says that reflection, at its core, is the combining of observation and memory, and to actively recall what happened in the past[1]. This chapter will attempt to bring back the previous ideas of leadership and management and converge them with your own.

The learning outcomes for this chapter are:

1. Contextualize how the historical understanding of leadership and management affects its future.
2. Define the achievement of organizational culture in a learning organization.
3. Distinguish whether there is a difference between leadership and management? Develop and critique a complete definition of leadership and management.

Chapter Tips:

- Use previous chapters of the text to formulate an understanding of leadership and

management at this final stage.

- Use your map from Chapter 1 for reference.
- Develop your own conclusions about leadership and management.

12.1 Leadership and Management: Past and Future

The great French revolutionary, Napoleon Bonaparte, once said, “A leader is a dealer in hope”[2]. When looking into the past’s understanding of leadership, concepts can be traced all the way back to the age of Antiquity, the Roman Empire, the Renaissance, and the Age of Enlightenment to find small pieces of understandings about the ideas of leadership.

Plato and Aristotle – School of Athens.
(Source: Raphael 1509, This work is in the public domain in its country of origin and other countries and areas where the copyright term is the author’s life plus 100 years or fewer. Retrieved from https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Sanzio_01_Plato_Aristotle.jpg)

Aristotle

In the ***Nicomachean Ethics***, Aristotle claims that through practical wisdom one sees truth, and one who deliberates their practical wisdom effectively is the basis for acting good[3]. This means that the idea of pursuing wisdom to see truth leads to a virtuous deed. This follows the idea of a leader inside of a learning organization. Leaders or managers should not feel that they have to lead, but that they ***want*** to lead. Leaders want to use knowledge that builds toward truth-creating good in society. Aristotle states, "The happy life is thought to be virtuous; now a virtuous life requires exertion"[3], Michael Nelson sees this quote as the idea that happiness is not for fun but for satisfaction and accomplishment, concluding that successful leaders are happy and content, which makes them effective leaders[4]. When looking at a learning organization, such as a school, for the most part educators are there because they enjoy knowledge, learning, and passing the learning onto prospective learners. It is that lineage of knowledge that truly makes leaders in learning organizations happy and virtuous.

Francis Bacon, Viscount St. Alban *(Source: John Vanderbank, 1731?, after a portrait by an unknown artist (circa 1618), Public domain works must be out of copyright in both the United States and in the source country of the work in order to be hosted on the Commons. If the work is not a U.S. work, the file must have an additional copyright tag indicating the copyright status in the source country. Retrieved from https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Francis_Bacon#/media/File:Francis_Bacon,_Viscount_St_Alban_from_NPG_(2).jpg)*

Francis Bacon

In the famous author's work, ***The Essays***, first published in

1601, Bacon writes on a host of different topics from society, to science, to education, to love and mischief. In his discussion about honour and reputation, he mentions that envy is the detriment to honour. Individuals, including past Roman and English kings, were great leaders of the battlefield, due to the sacrifices they made for the country over themselves[5]. This takes virtue one step further, explaining that leadership is a selfless act. Leaders who truly lead another individual or group take something away from themselves to help another. Be it time, effort, or physical ability, they must be willing to commit a sacrifice for the greater good. To foster learning leaders in an organization, they must be willing to sacrifice their time, effort, ideals, and convictions with the hope that learning is fostered. This relates to the Napoleon quote as leadership and management, in a learning organization, or any other organization, require a sacrifice for the good and a sense of positive hope for the people within a society.

From Past to Future

What does the past have to say about leadership now and in the future? First, it tells that leaders must be ethically sound and enjoy their art. In addition, they must be willing to sacrifice comforts to achieve goals and objectives. This means that leaders manage for specific outcomes that are morally sound, and attempt to achieve goals outside their and possibly others' comfort realm. Sigler outlines three outcomes of managers within organizations, and what is needed to achieve success[6]:

- moving from process and activities to results
- a change in paradigms for learning organizations
- leader as a catalyst: pushing the organization to further points, than previously for growth.

Leaders are to promote improvements, much like those in a learning organization develop learning. This means moving beyond actions, showing results, and reflecting on past activities to show the learning that occurred from the activity. With a shift in paradigms towards a reflective focus, leaders become the great motivators to push the organization further through their will, determination, and sacrifice for the good of individuals, teams, and organizational growth.

Looking into the future, it is clear that leadership and management will require the same tenants of the past, but with actions that understand the world and what drives the modern age. This action is called **innovation,** which is the advancement or 'newness' in development of processes or products within an organization[7]. Innovation within learning organizations, such as a school setting, focuses more on the processes of divergent methods with teaching in schools, embracing and enhancing technological classrooms, and re-framing the focus of a learning institution to be more reflective and actionable. These processes relate to **management of innovation** which includes change in management and managing processes that enhance innovation[7]. Figure 1 shows a list of the 5 C's of management innovation:

The 5 C's	1. Casting a Wide Net 2. Creating Newness 3. Creating Culture 4. Communicating Knowledge 5. Changing with Courage.

Figure 1: Innovation Management. *(Source: Copyright Rice University, OpenStax, under CC-BY 4.0 license)*

Review Questions:

1. What outcomes does Sigler outline that managers need in order to achieve success in an organization?
2. What is the definition of innovation?
3. What are the five C's of innovation management?

12.2 Achievement of Organizational Culture in a Learning Organization

How is achievement defined in a learning organization? At this point, it must be said that learners achieve their goals with learning objectives over an amount of time. However, a more applied approach is in the different processes that might be needed to achieve organizational success. Here is a list of the different processes of organizational culture that have an impact on the future of learning organizations:

- hiring philosophy
- institutional policies
- budgeting process
- rewards
- decision-making style

Hiring philosophy, in many ways, is how leaders or managers align themselves when hiring individuals. For example, when looking at a résumé of an individual, does his or her ability to be proficient in Microsoft Office offer an advantage to the job prospect, or is it seen as an expectation in the modern age of computers and technology? Should that space on a résumé be substituted by a more advanced skill? Is a cover letter important? is an attached application form on a résumé redundant? These can play a role in how effectively leaders recruit and hire members in their organizations. This leads to **institutional policies** of how directives of government and society affect the direction of a learning organization. Will a learning organization guide all of their programs with a divergent method, or take on a previous method? This can all depend on internal factors (i.e. change in upper management) or external factors (i.e. change in government and legislation) to influence how institutional policies will be shaped.

Budgeting process is how learning organizations will budget programs within their walls. The challenge with this is that

since the 2008 recession, most nations have bounced back financially, but are more fiscally aware, and have not left a savings-model. The classic corporate philosophy of **maximize productivity + minimize wage = maximal profit** seems to be a trend in some learning organizations. This trend must be understood, so that the goal of learning falls to the wayside. Therefore, a more learning-focused budget on using funds to enhance learning will render a more *utilitarian* outcome, as outcomes will be dispersed throughout the organization and community, as opposed to strict savings, which benefit only a few.

Rewards should be thoughtful in a twenty-first-century framework. How will learners be rewarded in a modern society? First, there is a growing loss of work due to external factors such as technology and an increased population. Rewards in a modern sense means tailoring learning authentically and experientially to help learners gain further workplace and societal skills, and profit financially from their achievements of learning outcomes. Of course, do not forget that, as leaders, that passion and care should be paramount. Rewards still play a significant factor, which leads to the decisions made by leaders affecting these processes. **Decision-making styles** follow Chapter 8, and apply to managing achievement for the future of a learning organization. Effectively being able to share important ideas and processes for success will be foremost in how future learning organizations are impacted.

Recall Peter Senge, and his prediction of learning organizations, where he outlines a social responsibility of organizations to lead and manage effectively in the areas of diversity and environmental responsibility[8]. He points to focused understandings and deep habits of thought, as opposed to quick-fixes, both economically and philosophically[8]. This means not just listing the processes, but

using them effectively to lead, manage, and guide the learning organization into the future.

Review Questions:

1. What are the different processes of organizational culture that have an impact on learning organizations?
2. What does Senge outline as his prediction of the learning organization?

12.3 Is There a Difference between Management and Leadership? (The Redux)

As previously stated, a redux can be an understanding of a particular idea or concept. The ideas of leadership and management can be placed in a framework for discerning their traits.. In Chapters 1 through 3, leadership and management were discussed, along with what others defined leadership and management to be.



Figure 2 (From Chapter 1) Separate and Similar Descriptors of Leadership and Management. Long description.

Looking at this section, is this Venn diagram of leadership and management accurate in showing their similarities and differences? Are leadership and management their own ideals? Each has differences, but are both needed to be an effective member within an organization? Management is the planning, organizing, directing, and controlling the systems of an organization, however, to get to the managing stage of an organization, it must start through social influence: an idea or a relationship between individuals that begin at the onset of a project or organization. Sometimes, this influence starts

even before entering the workforce. It may be a world view or specific philosophy developed either naturally or through environmental experiences.

One way to look at leadership and management is as an iceberg. In many ways, this can relate to **Edward T. Hall's Cultural Iceberg Model,** which follows behaviors and external beliefs (the seen top of the iceberg) are supported by values, thought patterns, and internal beliefs (unseen, below the surface)[9]. To an onlooker of an organization, the iceberg model can apply: we can see and observe systems in place within an organization, and we know that a specific mission, vision, and value is supporting the management, but we cannot tangibly observe a relationship, social influence, or phenomena. Figure 3 shows the model of leadership and management using the iceberg model.



Figure 3: The Iceberg of Leadership and Management (Attribution: Carson Babich, University of Windsor Image from: Continuity SA: https://stock.adobe.com/145924672)

It is not only what is seen within the organization, it is also

what is not seen that can be a catalyst for creating a successful organization. Within the learning organization, it is through effective leadership and management, proper communication channels, ethics, learning theories, job design, decision-making, and teamwork that all relate to Peter Senge's five disciplines[10]:

- **Personal Mastery** – building your leadership ability through scaffolding
- **Mental Models** – natural and sociological influences
- **Building a Shared Vision** – collaborative and communicative approach to the future
- **Team Learning** – using communication, decision-making, and collaboration to develop a collective ideal and avoid group-think
- **Systems Learning** – building up the iceberg moving from leadership to mission, vision, and values and using all of those factors to run learning systems in the organization

Moving forward in future management and leadership endeavors, the most important takeaway is that an iceberg is not an iceberg without it being both above and below the surface. Much like an organization is nothing without the characteristics of leadership and management, the iceberg is not an iceberg without ice above and below water. The iceberg's parts have the same goals: to float. Floating requires both seen and unseen portions in order to succeed. Leadership and management have the same goals. Leadership and management must also use seen and unseen factors in order to achieve their goals.

Activity: Leadership Map Before and After

Step 1: Use your Leadership and Management Map in Chapter 1.4 from earlier



Step 2: Using the information that you know now, develop a new, restructure, or keep the same map from Chapter 1.4 into a new map below



Questions to Consider

- Did anything change from your initial assessment of leadership and management?
- If so, how do you see leadership and management within a learning organization?
- Do you feel further learning can be achieved in this area? How would you achieve it?

Chapter Conclusion

In summation, the role of leadership and management can work in different capacities, yet be the same when developing an organization. Leadership is nothing if it doesn't build a systems' based management structure, and management would have no support without the work of leadership as the backbone of ideals.

A review of this chapter's major conclusions, include:

1. Being ethically sound and sacrificing comforts for goals and objectives (classical ideals) make leaders strive for innovation and the improvement of society (contemporary ideals).
2. Developing and systematically organizing hiring philosophies, institutional policies, budgeting processes, rewards, and decision-making styles are effective measures for managing organizations into the future.
3. Leadership and management are both the same and different.
4. Using the iceberg analogy and the five disciplines, leadership and management are both independent and dependent from each other, especially when achieving goals in a learning organization.

To offer parting words after this journey, it is important to understand that whatever leadership or management style chosen, it has to relate to inherent beliefs. Essentially, the iceberg below the surface is not just made in one day, it is shaped and cultivated throughout life through natural and social occurrences, assumptions, and inherent beliefs. It is very important for leaders to find their own icebergs and self-reflect on what their beliefs mean to their leadership styles and how they develop their management strategies. As prospective leaders and managers in society, it is highly important to locate

and cultivate a personal leadership style to become successful in a future society.

1. Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and education*. Macmillan.

2. BrainyQuote. (n.d). Napoleon Bonaparte quotes. https://www.brainyquote.com/quotes/napoleon_bonaparte_106371

3. Aristotle. (1999). *The nicomachean ethics*. (W. D. Ross, Trans.). Batoche Books. (Original work published 340 BC). https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/econ/ugcm/3ll3/aristotle/Ethics.pdf

4. Nelson, M. (2015). Aristotle and leadership. *University of the Cumberlands*, 1-4. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318280859_Aristotle_and_Leadership

5. Bacon, F. (2014). *The essays* (University of Adelade, Trans.). The University of Adelade Library. (Original work published 1601). https://ebooks.adelaide.edu.au/b/bacon/francis/b12e/complete.html

6. Sigler, W. (2007). *Managing for outcomes*. American Association of College Registrars and Admissions Officers.

7. Openstax, *Principles of Management* (2019, May 31). https://cnx.org/contents/w6yyq31c@8.84:64NAdG7X@5/18-1-MTI-Its-Importance-Now-and-In-the-Future

8. Senge, P. M. (2003). Taking personal change seriously: The impact of organizational learning on management practice. *Academy of Management Executive, 17*(2), 47-50.

9. Hall, E. T. (1976). Cultural iceberg model. https://www.spps.org/cms/lib/MN01910242/Centricity/Domain/125/iceberg_model_3.pdf

10. Senge. P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art & practice of the learning organization*. Doubleday/ Currency.

Looking back on this part, and to a greater extent, this leadership and management journey, how were you able to develop your understanding in constructive and reflective way? Were you able to incorporate the concepts from Senge with restructuring your ideals and rewriting the codes of your mental models? With diverse leadership practices, reformation of norms, and effective communication practices, understanding the mental models will become evident, and authentic reflection on your own styles will become clear.

Take time to read the question pertaining to the final reflection, to round out your conceptualization and restructuring of leadership and management.

An interactive H5P element has been excluded from this version of the text. You can view it online here:
https://spscc.pressbooks.pub/leadershipinofficeadministration/?p=277#h5p-19

TRANSCRIPTS FOR EMBEDDED VIDEOS

Transcripts for Transactional vs Transformational Leadership by Communication Coach Alexander Lyon viewed on YouTube.

We are going to look at the transactional leadership style

and the transformational leadership style.

We're gonna compare these head-to-head,

and I'm basing this almost all

on Johnson and Hackman's book on leadership.

I will put a link to that in the description

below this video.

So let's get into the details.

(lively rock music)

I've been talking a lot about leadership lately.

In fact, I have three related videos

I wanted to tell you about.

The first is on the traits approach to leadership.

The second is on the transformational approach.

That's a solo video

just on the transformational approach to leadership.

And the third is a solo video

on the transactional approach to leadership.

And I'll put links to all three of those videos

in that section below this one.

But in this video, we're going to compare and contrast

transactional and transformational leadership side-by-side

so you can see how these two relate to each other.

So here we go.

So James McGregor Burns years ago

wrote a book called "Leadership."

And he looked at these two leadership styles

by using Maslow's hierarchy of needs.

And there are five levels to the Maslow's hierarchy.

And what he said was that transactional leaders

were really in the business of helping followers

meet those three lower level needs on the hierarchy.

So these leaders are most concerned with satisfying

the *physiological*, safety and belonging needs

of their followers.

And it's an exchange.

The leader exchanges the pursuit of these rewards,

these needs, with good performance, good outcome.

So if the followers are doing a good job,

the leader does what he or she can to satisfy these needs.

If the follower does not do a good job,

then the leader may take these away from them,

they may not support the pursuit of these three needs.

One of the concepts, a couple of the concepts,

that go with this were developed

by Bernard Bass and his associates.

He called these transactional factors,

and the first is a contingent reward.

So the approach here is that the leader

looks at performance and then rewards good performance.

It's the reward is only there

if it's tied to that good performance.

And the reverse is also true.

It's called management-by-exception.

The leader will step in and will punish poor performance.

They'll give corrective action and feedback

for bad performance.

So you reward the good, you punish the bad,

and it's all a transaction.

Here are a few examples of this.

Bill Belichick from the New England Patriots

is an infamous transactional leader.

He's won a bunch of Super Bowls,

he's won even more games.

So this leadership style can be effective,

but he is very known for simple rewards and punishments.

If you do a good job, you keep your position,

you get to start, you get to play.

If you don't do a good job, then you get benched,

you become a second stringer, you get let go from the team.

They have an expression on the Patriots, “Do your job.”

This is a classic transactional leadership philosophy.

You do your job, things work out.

You don’t do your job, we get someone else who will do it.

So it’s easy to blow off this transactional approach

as being old school and ineffective,

but here we have a coach of the NFL

who’s won more Super Bowls than anybody.

So you can’t really blow off this style

as ineffective or outdated

because it still does work under certain circumstances.

Another one is a fictitious example, is Magneto.

If you’ve ever watched the “X-Men,”

Magneto is very much about moving forward,

accomplishing the goals for the people that are around him,

the X-Men that around him.

It's very task-oriented,

if you're off the team, you're off the team.

He doesn't have a lot of bad feelings about that.

He just moves forward.

We'll contrast him with Professor X later.

And the third one, the one I really wanna talk about,

is Johnny Lawrence from "Cobra Kai."

This is from the show "Cobra Kai,"

which is sort of a sequel to "Karate Kid."

So Johnny Lawrence is a very interesting figure,

but he is a leader.

There's no doubt about it.

He organizes his dojo around some very basic

leadership philosophies that are all transactional.

For example, we don't see him much caring

for the physiological needs of his people,

like food, shelter, clothing,

but he does provide safety.

When he teaches his students karate,

they can protect themselves.

And also, he's all about this belonging.

I wouldn't say it's about love and belonging,

but it's about belonging.

Once you're on Cobra Kai, you are a member of the team,

you have the other team members who have your backs.

They're definitely a group,

a certain kind of dysfunctional group, but they are a group.

And if you're part of that group, great.

If you don't play by his rules, he kicks you out.

Like he makes a lot of students leave in the first episodes

because they just don't fit Cobra Kai.

And so he's a very transactional leader,

but he can be an effective leader nonetheless.

If you're doing well,

you get trophies for winning tournaments.

If you're doing poorly, you do pushups.

You know, there's a consequence,

reward for good performance,

punishment for bad performance.

That's all transactional.

So let's turn now to transformational leadership.

This is where leaders,

according to Burns and his book "Leadership,"

engaged the followers on all five levels of the hierarchy.

So these leaders are still trying to help followers fulfill

those lower levels and accomplish those successfully,

but they're also trying to help followers fulfill

those next two level needs, those higher levels,

that esteem and self-actualization.

So they're trying to empower followers

to feel good about themselves by reinforcing

those inner feelings that the follower has,

of competence, respect, self-worth,

and providing external feedback and recognition

to support self-esteem.

And on the top level is self-actualization.

They are providing support and encouraging

followers' pursuit of self-actualization and the desire

to become the best person they can possibly become.

Let's look at some examples.

Ann Hathaway from the movie "The Intern"

is a great example of this in film.

She's a very young CEO, but she has vision.

She wants to create an amazing, different kind of company.

She even invests in her aging intern

played by Robert de Niro.

So he's a guy who came out of retirement

to just do something interesting.

She spends a lot of time with him,

invests in the relationship.

She wants to, even though this guy is older

and almost retired again, become all he can be.

She's a special kind of CEO that wants to do great things

with her company, great things with her people.

She's helping them reach those higher level needs

of the hierarchy.

Professor X, or Professor Xavier, from the "X-Men"

is another excellent example and a counterpoint to Magneto.

So he has a school that's for gifted youngsters.

These are mutants basically,

but he wants them to become well-rounded people,

to explore their gifts, to literally become everything

they're possibly capable of becoming.

He provides safety and belonging in his school,

but he also helps them to feel good about themselves

and wants them to stretch into the best people

they can be in the long run.

And finally, the one I really want to talk about,

Daniel LaRusso.

This is the “Karate Kid,” the original,

and on this show “Cobra Kai,”

he now has his own karate school, Miyagi-Do Karate.

And Daniel LaRusso is much like Mr. Miyagi.

Mr. Miyagi said to him in the original movie,

“We make a sacred pact.

I promise to teach you karate, you promise to learn.”

So you still see the exchange happening there.

That’s a transaction,

but Mr. Miyagi wanted to take things to the next level

and invest in the whole person.

He does this frequently by talking about balance.

Mr. Miyagi said, “The lesson is not just about karate only.

It’s a lesson about your whole life.

When your whole life has a balance,

everything will be better.”

He invests in Daniel LaRusso's self-esteem.

He invests in Daniel becoming the best possible person

he can become.

And this is exactly the same teaching approach

that Daniel LaRusso takes in "Cobra Kai" with his students.

He has a fewer number of students.

He invests in their whole life.

And you see him passing on this lesson of balance

to his students very carefully, very deliberately.

He's helping them become all they can be.

He is a transformational leader.

So he's satisfying those lower levels of the hierarchy,

but he's also helping them to meet those higher levels

of the hierarchy, which qualifies him

as a transformational leader.

And there are some key characteristics

of transformational leadership.

I go into these in more depth in that video,

that's dedicated to transformational leaders.

I'll put a link to that in the description below.

But briefly, here are the top five.

Transformational leaders are creative.

They really think outside of the box.

They often go against the norms and the standards

of a given industry or organization to do something new.

They're interactive.

They engage their followers quite a bit.

They talk to them.

They interact with them daily, they are hands-on.

They are free, visionary.

They have a clear vision for the future

and inspiring vision for the future

that brings their followers along.

Number four, they are empowering.

So they really give their followers a lot of latitude

to grow and to become all they can be.

And they are, number five, passionate.

They have a real excitement for their work.

It's not just a job for these leaders,

they're really into it.

And that passion then bleeds out onto everybody else,

and they get excited too.

The goal of transformational leadership is to help transform

followers into leaders themselves.

Here's a critique of both of these.

The transactional leadership style has some advantages.

Clear structure, achievable goals.

It can lead to high performance that we've seen,

like Bill Belichick and some other transactional leaders.

There's a very straightforward motivation,

and it can be very efficient.

The disadvantages of the transactional leadership approach

is it's inflexible and rigid,

can lack inspiration, and it can really limit

the engagement of followers.

In terms of the transformation leadership style,

the advantages are, it taps into those higher level needs

and more personal motivations of followers.

It looks to empower and develop the whole person

and to transform them into a leader themselves.

And it can lead to amazing and even life-changing results.

The disadvantages, because no approach is perfect,

it can be very complex.

And sometimes it represents a blurry collection

of leadership attributes.

That's one of the criticisms.

It also might not be all that trainable.

Some people think that transformation leaders

are just that way, and you can't really teach it

as a skill set.

And the third is that charisma like this

can be potentially abused.

So sometimes transformational leaders

have such personal charisma that it can blind people

to their faults.

So question of the day,
which of these do you find most interesting and most useful?
Which one do you see yourself in?

I would love to hear your comments

in that section below the video.

I look forward to reading them all.

So thanks, and I will see you soon.
End of video.

Active Listening: transmitting the message from the sender, and taking action to help someone say what she or he actually means

Autocratic Leaders: directive leaders, allow little input or discussion from subordinates

Behavioral Management Theory: theory developed by Chester Barnhard, uses the concepts that approaches to the workplace should be in the interest of the company and the workers; workers are viewed as psychological and social beings

Boundary Dynamic: delicate boundary between strategy, stakeholder management, and organizational management

Bounded Rationality: the notion that the challenge of complex issues that leaders cannot fully grasp makes them unable to be rational about the situation, and incapable of understanding an alternative

Brainstorming: the generating of a variety of solutions or possible outcomes for an effective decision

Broadcast Media Transmission: follows a one-to-many approach (e.g., a newscast)

Bureaucratic Theory: theory, developed by Max Weber, that explains the formal hierarchy in organizations in which tasks are delegated

Business Ethics: an applied ethic that focuses on real-world situations in the environment where transactions occur

Canadian Human Rights Act: Canadian, federal act passed in 1985, which requires all individuals to have the opportunity to pursue life and work without being discriminated against because of race, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, and other issues

Charisma: compelling interest or charm

Charismatic Leadership: leader who uses charisma to influence members within an organization to bring about change

Checks and Balances: within an education context, when the students check and balance the outlines from the

professor, and the professor checks and balances the expectations of the students

Civil Rights Act: federal act passed in the United States in 1964, which proposes the prohibition of discrimination in public places (e.g., schools, government building)

Classic Entrepreneur: inherent drive to start a business based on an original idea

Code of Ethics: piece of organizational legislation that provides employees with the knowledge, expectations, responsibilities, and behaviors toward fellow employees, customers, and suppliers

Coercive Power: leader who uses negative outcomes to achieve results

Cognitivism: identifying mental processes: internal and conscious representations of the world

Communication Process: when a communicator encodes a message and a receiver decodes the message

Communication Richness: the effectiveness of communication media dependent on the communication tool used

Communication: the act of relaying messages, either verbal or non-verbal, from one person or entity to another person or entity through signs, symbols, and syntactics

Communicative Media Transmission: a many-to-many approach (e.g., YouTube Live Stream)

Competing Values Framework (CVF): an awareness check for an organization regarding corporate culture

Confirmation Bias: the idea that our initial beliefs are given more attention, and pay less attention to beliefs outside of our world view

Connectivism: the belief that knowledge is created beyond the level of individuals, and that knowledge through a network of connections is continuously changing

Consensual Leaders: encourage discussion, requires parties to make final decisions

Constructive Feedback: making sure feedback is useful, and following up to ensure the learner is progressing

Constructivism: the belief that all knowledge is subjective in nature, constructed from reality, and mutually agreed upon in a consensus

Consultative Leaders: encourages discussion; leader retains final authority over decision

Contingent Reinforcement: used when desired behavior is exhibited, relating to a team reaching a quarterly goal, or daily working within the mission, vision, and values of an organization

Corporate Culture: focuses on the values of an organization, and relates to its socio-environmental characteristics

Corporate Governance: the different relationships a manager will have within an organization

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): a form of self-regulation, where firms take action and create initiatives to help people and the environment

Cross-Functional Teams: members within different groups who work together on a project

Cultural Iceberg Model: developed by Edward T. Hall, follows behavior and external beliefs (the top of the iceberg, seen) are supported by values, thought patterns, and internal beliefs (below the surface, unseen)

Cultural Intelligence: a competency that allows individuals from cultures to function effectively in other cultural environments

Decision-Making: the action of thinking through a process and coming to a consensus, either personal, or collaborative, and following through with that action

Decoding: during the communication process, the receiver accepts the encoded message as an interpretation

Delegating: the use of other individuals in a collaborative situation to handle tasks that have initially been brought to attention

Democratic Leaders: a leadership style that embraces input from all members and puts decisions to a vote

Deontology: the concept that ethical individuals will meet their duties as obligated by society

Departmentalization: maintaining control of different structures with an organization

Devil's Advocate: provides an alternate view, or a challenge to an individual's logic on a decision

Direct Communication: the use of communication tools through the direct words used with literal interpretations

Direct Report: a member, within an organization, who is directly higher than a particular individual (i.e. the owner is the direct report of the CEO)

Discrimination: the unjust treatment of different categories of people or culture

Diversity: the identity-based differences between people

Division of Labor: the breakdown of work into well-defined tasks made to be manageable

Dynamic Forces: the different forces that can affect organizations in a global marketplace (e.g., economic, legal-political, technological, socio-cultural, natural)

Economic Forces: forces that pertain to an organizations role within its national or global economy

Educational Policy: creation, implementation, and revision of educational factors, such as class size, teacher education, teaching methods, and curriculum

Effective Communication: when a manager tells an employee something using jargon or colloquialisms, the employee understands completely and carries out the task

Electronic Grapevine: the grouping of different electronic mediums connecting organizational members in many different ways

Emotional Intelligence: Goleman's definition: the ability to "manage ourselves and our relationships effectively"

Entrepreneur: someone with vision, drive, creativity, and committed to taking on risk to start a business for profit

Entrepreneurial Culture: mixture of personality and management, identified by those who create not only businesses, but the culture that surrounds it

Epistemology: the philosophy of the justification or nature of knowledge (Hofer and Pintrich)

Equity Theory: organizational learning theory, developed by J. Stacy Adams, based on the logic of social comparisons, when perceived inequality is a motivating state, and people have different interpretations of equity

Escalation of Commitment: when leaders and managers remain committed to a poor decision, or find it hard to remove themselves from it rationally

Ethical Leadership: enacting of ethical values central to organizational alignment

Ethics Self-Governance: performance guidelines requiring high ethical standards and social responsibility

Ethics: code of moral principles that set the standards of good and bad, right and wrong

Expectancy Theory: organizational learning theory, developed by Victor Vroom, that motivation comes from three separate factors: expectancy, instrumentality, and valence

Experiential Learning: coined by John Dewey and perfected by David Kolb: empirical experience playing a role in the learning process

Expert Power: a leader's ability to have extensive knowledge in one or more areas within an organization

Formal Organization: set of relationships and responsibilities set from upper management to subordinates

Formal Teams: a group designed to ensure that personal development is the most important goal of formal learning

Globalization: the phenomena that organizations move out of a local or national influence, and expand to have a global influence

Goals: an organization's general aims as expressed in public statements

Goal-Setting Theory: an organizational learning theory developed by Edwin Locke, that goals can be motivating if they are properly set (planning) and well managed (execution) through four outlines: direction, clarity of expectations, reference for feedback, and foundation for self-management

Group-Think: when one person uses his or her power in a group process, and individuals remain silent so as not to disturb the peace (i.e., going along with what the individual thinks as a group)

Hawthorne Effect: the organizational phenomena that employees in a workplace work better, and are generally happier, when management is concerned about their welfare

Human Relation's Theory: a theory developed by Mary Parker Follet, that humans have a more satisfying life through work, and that they solve conflicts through a process of democracy and conversation

Human Rights: an ethical concept that the human race has the right to live, have freedom, and pursue happiness

Hybrid Classrooms: a transmission approach to delivering course content, either separately or with connection, face-to-face and online

Hygiene Factors: the nature of a job that is unsatisfying

Immediate Reinforcement: positive feedback right after the action

Indirect Communication: the use of non-verbal behaviors and implications to convey meaning within the communication process

Individual Needs: the concept of deficient psychological or physiological needs of an individual

Influence: the ability to have an effect on a person, ability, or situation within an organizational structure

Informal Organization: run as a network of different individuals with evolving relationships

Informal Teams: a group designed to ensure that the organizational task is the most important goal of informal learning, and the the learning outcome is secondary

Information and Communication Technology (ICT): a medium designed to develop deep learning in students and educators through the use of technology (e.g., computers, Internet)

Innovation: the advancements and new developments of processes or products within an organization

Inquiry-Based Learning: when a leader provided help, but the learner explores her or his own themes, develops actions, and comes to conclusions

Interdisciplinary: the combining of two different specializations and augmenting them into a process

Interpersonal Communication: individuals who share ideas about the company's incentives and discuss their personal feelings to others within the organization

Intrapreneur: someone who has the freedom to develop new products and ideas under the guidance of an employer

Job Design: scope, depth, and specialization of the job, relating to job simplification, rotation, enlargement, and enrichment

Job Enlargement: the increase of task variety by combining two or more tasks that were previously assigned to different workers

Job Enrichment: the practice of expanding job content through depth to create more opportunities for satisfaction

Job Rotation: the increase in task variety by periodically shifting workers between jobs

Job Simplification: standardizing work procedures and employing people in well-defined and highly specialized tasks

Justice: ethical concept of what is fair according to the prevailing standards of society

Laissiez-Faire Leaders: a leadership style where leaders leave

the control to their employees, so long as it remains within existing company ethics and standards

Leadership Characteristics: specific traits within one leadership style, where projects are planned to achieve goals

Leadership: the social relationship between two or more people who depend on each other to attain certain mutual goals in a group situation

Learning Organization: an organization that fosters growth and learning, and continues growth into the future

Legitimate Power: leaders who use their heightened positions within an organization as an influence

Long-Term Memory: a passive approach regarding a series of data links retained in our minds

Macropreneur: business based off of an original idea that starts small, and grows into a major corporation; business is based on personal satisfaction and pursuing profit

Management: the process of planning, organizing, directing, and controlling the activities of employees in combination with other resources to accomplish organizational objectives

Mechanistic Organization: run as top-down hierarchies with formal rules and a narrow span of control

Michigan Studies: research done at the University of Michigan where actions are identified as job-centered behaviors and employee/member-centered behaviors

Micropreneur: business based off of an original idea, starts small and stays small; business is based on personal satisfaction and lifestyle

Motivation Needs: the ability to use action within an organization to account for the persistence to do work

Multiculturalism: the process of ethnic pluralism within a state or society through legal, social, and economic factors

Multipreneur: someone who starts a series of companies

Nonverbal Communication: the transmission of communication without reading or writing

Normative Ethics: the field of ethics of how one ought and should act

Ohio State Studies: research done at the Ohio State University, which developed the behavioral structures of consideration and initiating structure

Online Collaborative Learning (OCL) : the model of learning where learners are supported to work together, create knowledge, invent, innovate, and develop the conceptual knowledge needed to solve problems, all while engaging in modern technology, and working in that technology collaboratively

Oral Communication: the exchange of messages that are spoken and listened to

Organic Organization: run as a flat span of governance with flexible rules and a participatory model of decision making

Organization: collection of people with a common purpose and aligned goals

Participative Leaders: shared leadership that allows input from individuals, and brainstorms discussion about organizational issues

Philanthropy: the helping or donating of money to promote the welfare of the less-fortunate

Planning: creating an outline to guide operations in a direction of a mission, vision, and values

Positive Reinforcement: the action taken upon by a leader to reward someone for doing good work

Power: the ability to influence someone, within an organization, to get something done that needs to be completed

Project-Based Learning: providing a student, in a classroom setting, with a sense of responsibility and ownership in conducting projects with other students

Reasonable Accommodation: in employment legislation, the employer must make a wholesome attempt to allow for differences among the workforce

Referent Power: a leader's ability to use charisma to garner admiration from peers

Reward Power: a leader who gains influence through the use of rewards within an organization

Satisfier Factors: the nature of a job that is satisfying

Scientific Management Theory: theory, developed by Frederick Taylor, that claims that organizational task completion can be improved through scientific engineering and mathematical analysis

Self-Awareness: the inherent knowledge of one's character, emotions, and motivations

Self-Efficacy Theory: organizational learning theory, developed by Albert Bandura, that suggests an individual feels self-efficient, or personally capable, when performing a task

Self-Managing Teams: sets of teams with autonomy who are given authority as to how they go about their tasks

Short-Term Memory: active approach to learning in the moment

Small Businesses: defined by certain characteristics, such as: physical space, economic annual revenue, and social makeup (e.g., number of employees)

Social Entrepreneurship: defined by J. Gregory Dees, adopting an organizational mission to create and sustain social values and pursue new opportunities to serve it

Socio-Cultural Forces: the values, beliefs, customs, and traditions of different people regarding race, religion, gender, and other socio-cultural backgrounds

Stakeholders: the individuals or groups who are affected by the organization (e.g., employees, vendors, customers, and shareholders)

Strategic Giving: philanthropy tied to the organization's corporate-social responsibility (CSR) of mission, vision, and values

Subordinate: a member within an organization, who is in

a lower rank than another individual (i.e., the CEO is a subordinate to the founder/owner)

Sustainability: the organizational practice of hiring local labour, creating new jobs, developing industry sectors, providing business and learning skills, helping to decrease the country's debt and improving the standard of living

Theory X: theory developed by Douglas McGregor that managers believe that subordinates dislike work, lack ambition, do not take responsibility, and prefer to be led rather than lead

Theory Y: theory developed by Douglas McGregor that managers believe that subordinates are willing to work, accept responsibility, and are capable of self-control and self-direction

Time Constraints: when there is little time available to collect information with rationality and make an effective decision

Timeline: a given, set time to complete a task in order to be successful

Transactional Leadership: leadership that changes styles, adjusts tasks, and allocates rewards to achieve a positive influence

Transformational Leadership: leadership that heightens aspirations and shifts people and organizations to new levels

Treaty of Amsterdam: the European Union's anti-discrimination law, enacted in 1997

Triple-Bottom-Line: three p's, profit, people and planet, used to measure a level of organizational accountability towards ethical, social, and environmental causes

Tuckman Stages of Group Development: developed by Bruce Tuckman, describes the stages of forming, storming, norming, performing, and adjourning within organizational groups

Utilitarianism: an ethical concept that people should act, and decisions should be made to reflect the greater good for the greatest number of people

Virtual Teams: cross-functional groups that are computer-orientated, rather than face-to-face

Visionary Leaders: those who heighten aspirations through emotional and intellectual attractions

Whistle-Blower: an individual who exposes wrongdoing by an employer or by other employees, often motivated by the public interest

Workplace Diversity: the identity-based differences of processes or outcomes within a work environment

Written Communication: the use of email messages, texts, reports, and other annotations relayed from messenger to receiver for precise information